

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



# Weak Scattering of Sound Waves in Random Media That Have Arbitrary Power-Law Spectra

D. Keith Wilson

ARL-TR-1866

April 1999

19990526 099

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

# Army Research Laboratory

Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

---

ARL-TR-1866

March 1999

## Weak Scattering of Sound Waves in Random Media That Have Arbitrary Power-Law Spectra

D. Keith Wilson

Information Science and Technology Directorate

---

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

---

---

## Abstract

---

Log-amplitude and phase variances of a weakly scattered acoustic signal are calculated for line-of-sight propagation through a random medium. The spectrum of the index-of-refraction fluctuations in the random medium is assumed to scale in proportion to the wavenumber raised to an arbitrary power in the limit of large wavenumbers (small spatial scales). Both scalar and vector contributions to the index of refraction are considered. Most of the calculated results reduce to those given by Tatarskii (1971) and Ostashev (1994) when the power law exponent is  $-5/3$ , which is the value characteristic of turbulence. However, the results do not exactly reduce to an equation given by Flatté et al (1979) for the log-amplitude variance in terms of strength and diffraction parameters. The equation from Flatté et al is shown to be an approximation, valid only when the spectral energy in the random medium is concentrated at a well-defined outer scale.

---

## Contents

---

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Review of Previous Formulations for Weak Scattering</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Turbulence Modeling—Assumptions and Parameters</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4 Derivation of the Log-Amplitude and Phase Variances</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1 Scalars, Plane Waves . . . . .	8
4.2 Vectors, Plane Waves . . . . .	11
4.3 Scalars and Vectors, Spherical Waves . . . . .	12
<b>5 Summary</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Distribution</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Report Documentation Page</b>	<b>19</b>

---

## Tables

---

1	Log-amplitude variances for weak scattering and weak diffraction written in terms of structure-function parameter $C_N^2$ , acoustic wavenumber $k_0$ , and propagation distance $X$ . . . . .	15
2	Log-amplitude variances for weak scattering and weak diffraction written in terms of diffraction parameter $\Lambda$ , strength parameter $\Phi$ , and ratio of correlation length to integral length scale, $L/\mathcal{L}$ . . . . .	15

---

## 1. Introduction

---

Sound waves that have propagated through the atmosphere exhibit random behavior. The cause for this behavior in most cases is atmospheric turbulence, although other random atmospheric phenomena such as internal waves can also play a role. The random behavior of the sound wave can significantly impact acoustical systems used for target detection, identification, and location. Hence a capability to estimate the statistics of sound waves is needed to quantify and predict the performance of Army acoustical systems operating in atmospheric environments.

This report concerns the calculation of the variances in the phase and the logarithm of the amplitude (log amplitude) of a received signal. These variances have been the subject of much research during the past several decades. Two contrasting formulations of the variance statistics can be found in the literature: one originating with the pioneering work on random scattering by Tatarskii (1971), and the other with the elegant treatment of propagation through the random ocean by Flatté et al (1979). Tatarskii's results are specifically for the scattering of waves by turbulent phenomena; Flatté et al adopt a more general approach, although its application to turbulence is not obvious from their book. More recently, Ostashev (1994) pointed out that the results from researchers such as Tatarskii and Flatté et al apply strictly to scattering driven by fluctuations in an isotropic scalar field, as opposed to fluctuations in an isotropic vector field. In the atmosphere, for example, this would mean that the previous results apply only to scattering by random temperature fluctuations, and not to scattering by wind velocity fluctuations. This is a significant concern, since sound scattering by atmospheric turbulence comes primarily from the velocity fluctuations. Fortunately, Ostashev (1994) was able to extend Tatarskii's results to isotropic vector fields.

This report bridges the approaches of Tatarskii and Flatté et al, and extends their results to velocity fluctuations. To this end, I devise a very general formulation of wave scattering by a random medium that has an arbitrary power-law spectrum. The formulation is compared to the results of Tatarskii and Flatté et al. Specifically, I consider the scattering of plane waves by scalar and vector fields, and the scattering of spherical waves by scalar and vector fields.

The report presumes that the reader has some familiarity with the literature on propagation through random media, such as the books by

Flatté et al and Tatarskii, or Rytov et al (1989). The reader may also find my earlier work (Wilson, 1998b) a useful reference on modeling of turbulence spectra and correlations.

---

## 2. Review of Previous Formulations for Weak Scattering

---

Flatté et al (1979) analyzed the scattering of waves by random media using two parameters: a strength parameter  $\Phi$  and a diffraction parameter  $\Lambda$ . These parameters are given by the equations

$$\Phi^2 = 2\sigma^2 \mathcal{L} k_0^2 X, \text{ and} \quad (1)$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{X}{6L^2 k_0}. \quad (2)$$

In the preceding equations,  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of the (normalized) sound-speed fluctuations,\*  $\mathcal{L}$  is the integral length scale,  $L$  is the correlation length scale of the sound-speed fluctuations,  $k_0$  is the acoustic wavenumber ( $= 2\pi f/c_0$ , where  $f$  is the frequency and  $c_0$  the mean sound speed), and  $X$  is the propagation distance. This formulation involves two distinct length scales: the integral and correlation length scales. The integral length scale is calculated by integrating the correlation function with respect to separation, and then dividing by the variance. As a result,  $\mathcal{L}$  is representative of the size of the largest, most energetic motions in the flow. On the other hand, the correlation length scale is defined by an expansion of the correlation function for small arguments and is representative of the outer scale, where the power-law scaling becomes invalid. The correlation length scale will be defined more precisely in the next section.

Those situations where the strength parameter is much less than 1 are termed *weak scattering*. A large strength parameter indicates *strong scattering*. Similarly, *weak* and *strong diffraction* correspond to small and large values of the diffraction parameter, respectively. Only weak scattering is considered in this report, since the statistical properties of strong scattering are already well established (Flatté et al, 1979). (In strong scattering, the complex acoustic signal has independent real and imaginary parts possessing zero-mean Gaussian statistics.) Weak

---

\*For an acoustic wave propagating through the atmosphere, the normalized sound-speed fluctuation is

$$N \simeq \frac{T'}{2T_0} + \frac{v'}{c_0},$$

in which  $T'$  is the fluctuation in temperature about the mean temperature  $T_0$ , and  $v'$  is the fluctuation in the wind speed (along the direction of propagation) about the mean.

scattering is in many ways the more difficult problem. More importantly, it is also pertinent to most Army scenarios, where the frequencies are low and the propagation distances are moderate.

For weak scattering and strong diffraction ( $\Phi \ll 1$ ,  $\Lambda \gg 1$ ), Flatté et al gave the results

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = \frac{\Phi^2}{2}, \quad \langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{\Phi^2}{2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$  are the phase and log-amplitude variances, respectively. For weak scattering and weak diffraction ( $\Phi \ll 1$ ,  $\Lambda \ll 1$ ), the phase variance is

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = \Phi^2. \quad (4)$$

The calculation of the log-amplitude fluctuations for weak scattering and weak diffraction—the *geometrical acoustics* regime—is the most challenging problem. Flatté et al gave but did not present a derivation for the equation (their equation (8.3.2))

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle \approx \frac{1}{4} \Lambda \Phi^2. \quad (5)$$

Following this equation, they state:

*The log-amplitude fluctuations in the geometrical-optics region are thus of second order, and a careful treatment yields (8.3.2), where the exact coefficient of  $\Lambda \Phi^2$  depends on the details of the ocean-fluctuation spectrum . . . but is of order unity.*

A primary purpose of this report is to explore the general validity of equation (5).

One issue concerning the general validity of equation (5) is evident in Flatté et al's remark that the coefficient (1/4 in the equation) depends on the "details of the ocean-fluctuation spectrum." And so, we ask the following: How much does the coefficient actually vary? On what properties of the propagation medium does it depend? Can the coefficient be defined more precisely?

A broader issue concerns whether the general relationship that  $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$  is proportional to  $\Lambda \Phi^2$  holds for propagation through random media besides the ocean. The main interest of Flatté et al was propagation through ocean internal (buoyancy) waves. Does their result apply to propagation through

turbulence? An important clue to answering this question is in the authors' discussion in section 6.2 of their book regarding the transition from the geometric acoustics to the partially saturated scattering regime. There they state that the boundary between these two regimes is given by  $\Lambda^{p/2}\Phi^2 = 1$ , where  $p$  is the exponent in the power-law spectrum for the random fluctuations ( $F(k) \propto k^{-p}$ ). Based on well-known arguments first made by Kolmogorov,  $p = 5/3$  for turbulence. However, for the internal waves considered by Flatté et al,  $p = 2$ . Therefore, we might anticipate that

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle \propto \Lambda^{p/2}\Phi^2. \quad (6)$$

As discussed earlier, equations for the log-amplitude variance have been derived for propagation through turbulence by Tatarskii (1971). For plane waves,

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = 0.308 C_N^2 k_0^{7/6} X^{11/6}, \quad (7)$$

and for spherical waves,

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = 0.124 C_N^2 k_0^{7/6} X^{11/6}, \quad (8)$$

in which  $C_N^2$  is called the *structure-function parameter* for the index-of-refraction fluctuations. The results for plane and spherical waves differ only with regard to their numerical coefficients. If one substitutes the values for  $\Phi$  and  $\Lambda$  in equations (1) and (2) into equation (6), the dependence on frequency and propagation distance agrees with Tatarskii's results. Nonetheless, there is an indication that something is amiss: equation (6) involves two parameters associated with the large-scale (energy-subrange) structure of the turbulence,  $\sigma^2$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ . In equation (7),  $C_N^2$  is strictly a property of the small-scale (inertial-subrange) structure of the turbulence. Unless the product  $\sigma^2\mathcal{L}$  in equation (1) has a universal relationship to  $C_N^2$ , there is a fundamental disagreement between the results. The solution to this quandry, as well as to the other questions posed earlier, will become apparent in the following sections.

---

### 3. Turbulence Modeling—Assumptions and Parameters

---

In this section, I discuss the statistical assumptions regarding the fluctuations in the propagation medium that will be used to derive the propagation statistics. Only two basic assumptions are made; additional assumptions are avoided so as not to restrict applicability of the results. The first assumption is simply that the medium has a finite variance and integral length scale. This leads to the requirement for the one-dimensional (1D) spectrum  $F(k)$  of the fluctuations in the propagation medium that (Wilson, 1998b)

$$F(k=0) = \frac{\sigma^2 \mathcal{L}}{\pi}. \quad (9)$$

The second assumption is that for large wavenumber  $k \gg \mathcal{L}$ , the spectrum obeys a power-law scaling:

$$F(k) = \gamma k^{-p}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\gamma$  is some constant (which may depend on the properties of the flow), and  $p$  is the power-law exponent. Equivalently, we can set

$$F(k) = \frac{\sigma^2 \mathcal{L}}{\pi} S(k\mathcal{L}), \quad (11)$$

where  $S(k\mathcal{L})$  is a function equal to 1 for  $k = 0$ , and proportional to  $\beta (k\mathcal{L})^{-p}$  at large wavenumber, where

$$\beta = \frac{\pi \gamma \mathcal{L}^{p-1}}{\sigma^2}. \quad (12)$$

An alternative formulation to equation (10) involves the structure function. The structure function for separations parallel to the direction of propagation is by definition

$$D_{\parallel}(r) = \langle [N(r) - N(0)]^2 \rangle = C_N^2 r^{p-1}. \quad (13)$$

(When the preceding equation is applied to the velocity field, the separation  $r$  between the measurement points is implicitly in the same

direction as the velocity component being measured.) A relationship between  $\gamma$  and  $C_N^2$  can be derived using the relationship

$$D_{\parallel}(r) = 4 \int_0^{\infty} (1 - \cos kr) F(k) dk. \quad (14)$$

The function  $(1 - \cos kr)$  filters out the dependence of  $F(k)$  on small wavenumbers. Hence we can substitute equation (10) into (14). Integration then leads to

$$\frac{C_N^2}{\gamma} = -4\Gamma(1-p) \sin \frac{p\pi}{2}. \quad (15)$$

A second alternative formulation to equation (10) was used by Flatté et al. For the correlation function at small separations, they wrote

$$R_{\perp}(r) = \sigma^2 \left( 1 - \left| \frac{r}{L} \right|^{p-1} \right), \quad (16)$$

where the subscripted  $\perp$  means that the separation between the measurement points is perpendicular to the direction of propagation. (In an isotropic scalar field, it does not matter in which direction the displacement is taken. For an isotropic vector field, however, the displacement direction is relevant.) For scalars, it follows directly from the definition of the structure function that

$$C_N^2 = \frac{2\sigma^2}{L^{p-1}}. \quad (17)$$

For a divergenceless vector field (such as velocity fluctuations in turbulence),

$$R_{\perp}(r) = R_{\parallel}(r) + \frac{r}{2} \frac{dR_{\parallel}(r)}{dr}. \quad (18)$$

Using this relationship, we derive for vector fields

$$C_N^2 = \frac{4\sigma^2}{(p+1)L^{p-1}}. \quad (19)$$

---

## 4. Derivation of the Log-Amplitude and Phase Variances

---

Under the conditions of weak scattering, Rytov's method can show that the variance of log amplitude and phase for a propagating plane wave are (Rytov et al, 1989)

$$\langle \chi^2, \phi^2 \rangle = 2\pi^2 k_0^2 X \int_0^\infty \left[ 1 \mp \frac{k_0}{X k_\perp^2} \sin \frac{X k_\perp^2}{k_0} \right] \Theta(0, k_\perp) k_\perp dk_\perp, \quad (20)$$

where the minus sign applies to the log-amplitude variance, and the plus sign to the phase variance. The 3D spectrum of the turbulence is indicated by  $\Theta(0, k_\perp)$ , where the first argument is the wavenumber component parallel to the direction of propagation, and the second argument is the wavenumber component perpendicular to it. For velocity fluctuations, the pertinent velocity component is the one parallel to the direction of propagation.

### 4.1 Scalars, Plane Waves

To determine the log-amplitude and phase variances, we need to determine the 3D spectrum  $\Theta(0, k)$  from the 1D spectrum  $F(k)$ . A helpful intermediate step is to calculate the energy spectrum  $E(k)$ , which specifies the energy in the random fluctuations per unit wavenumber, from  $F(k)$ . For scalar fluctuations, the needed relationship is

$$E(k) = -k \frac{dF}{dk}. \quad (21)$$

Hence we have

$$E(k) = -\frac{\sigma^2 \mathcal{L} k}{\pi} \frac{dS}{dk}. \quad (22)$$

For the 3D spectrum, we multiply by 2 to go from energy to variance, and then divide by the area of a spherical shell in the wavenumber space,  $4\pi k^2$ . Hence

$$\Theta(0, k_\perp) = -\frac{\sigma^2 \mathcal{L}}{2\pi^2 k_\perp} \frac{dS}{dk_\perp}. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, for large wavenumbers,

$$\Theta(0, k_{\perp}) = \frac{p\beta\sigma^2\mathcal{L}}{2\pi^2k_{\perp}^2} (k_{\perp}\mathcal{L})^{-p}. \quad (24)$$

It follows from equations (20) and (23) that

$$\langle \chi^2, \phi^2 \rangle = -\frac{\Phi^2}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \left[ 1 \mp \frac{k_0}{Xk_{\perp}^2} \sin \frac{Xk_{\perp}^2}{k_0} \right] \frac{dS}{dk_{\perp}} dk_{\perp}. \quad (25)$$

By changing the integration variable to the normalized wavenumber  $\bar{k} = k_{\perp}\mathcal{L}$ , one can rewrite this equation as

$$\langle \chi^2, \phi^2 \rangle = -\frac{\Phi^2}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \left[ 1 \mp \frac{1}{D\bar{k}^2} \sin D\bar{k}^2 \right] \frac{dS}{d\bar{k}} d\bar{k}, \quad (26)$$

in which

$$D = X/k_0\mathcal{L}^2. \quad (27)$$

By comparing the definition of  $D$  to Flatté et al's diffraction parameter in equation (2), we see that the two are proportional:  $D = 6(L/\mathcal{L})^2\Lambda$ . For strong diffraction ( $D, \Lambda \gg 1$ ), contributions from the second term in the square brackets in equation (26) become negligible, and we can verify equation (3). For weak diffraction ( $D, \Lambda \ll 1$ ), the second term is significant. In fact, assuming that most of the spectral energy is concentrated at low wavenumber (which is the case for most media of interest), the second term is approximately 1, and for the phase fluctuations we arrive at equation (4). The only nontrivial case is the log-amplitude variance for weak diffraction. Here the two terms in the square brackets nearly cancel out at low wavenumber, so that the significant contribution to the integral occurs at high wavenumber. Hence it is reasonable to use the high-wavenumber limit of the spectrum, equation (10). With this substitution we find

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{p\beta\Phi^2}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{D\bar{k}^2} \sin D\bar{k}^2 \right] \bar{k}^{-p-1} d\bar{k}. \quad (28)$$

Changing the integration variable to  $u = D\bar{k}^2$ , we find

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{p\beta}{4} D^{p/2} \Phi^2 \int_0^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{u} \sin u \right] u^{-p/2-1} du. \quad (29)$$

I could not find the integral in any standard table. It can be solved, however, by first replacing the difference in square brackets with an equivalent integral representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \frac{\sin u}{u} &= 1 - j_0(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi [1 - \cos(u \cos \theta)] \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
&= \int_0^\pi \sin^2\left(\frac{u \cos \theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2\left(\frac{u \cos \theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In the first line,  $j_0$  is the spherical Bessel function. The second line follows from Poisson's integral, equation (10.1.13) in Abramowitz and Stegun (1965). The third line follows from the trigonometric identity  $2 \sin^2 A = 1 - \cos 2A$ . The fourth line is a consequence of the symmetry properties of the integrand. Substituting this result into equation (29), and reversing the order of integration between  $\bar{k}$  and  $\theta$ , we have

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{p\beta}{2} D^{p/2} \Phi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \left[ \int_0^\infty \sin^2\left(\frac{u \cos \theta}{2}\right) u^{-p/2-1} \, du \right] \sin \theta \, d\theta. \tag{31}$$

The integral within the square brackets is given by Gradshteyn and Ryzhik's (1994) equation (3.823), with the restriction  $0 < p < 4$ . We now have

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{\beta}{2} \Gamma(1 - p/2) \cos \frac{\pi p}{4} D^{p/2} \Phi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{p/2} \theta \sin \theta \, d\theta, \tag{32}$$

in which  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma function. Finally, the remaining integral is known from Gradshteyn and Ryzhik's (1994) equation (3.621.5), leading to the result

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{\beta}{2+p} \Gamma(1 - p/2) \cos \frac{\pi p}{4} D^{p/2} \Phi^2. \tag{33}$$

First we will verify that equation (33) reduces to Tatarskii's result, equation (7). We start by replacing  $\Phi$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $D$  with their definitions (equations (1), (12), and (27), respectively), and this leads to

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{2\pi\gamma}{2+p} \Gamma(1 - p/2) \cos \frac{\pi p}{4} k_0^{2-p/2} X^{1+p/2}. \tag{34}$$

Next, using equation (15) to eliminate  $\gamma$  in favor of  $C_N^2$ , and performing some manipulations on the gamma functions, we have

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = h_s(p) C_N^2 k_0^{2-p/2} X^{1+p/2}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$h_s(p) = -\frac{2^{p-2}\sqrt{\pi}}{2+p} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \frac{\cos \pi p/2}{\sin \pi p/4}. \quad (36)$$

This very general equation holds for any power-law exponent  $0 < p < 4$ . For turbulence ( $p = 5/3$ ), it does reduce exactly to equation (7).

Similar manipulations on equation (33) produce

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = h_s(p) 6^{p/2} \left(\frac{L}{\mathcal{L}}\right) \Lambda^{p/2} \Phi^2. \quad (37)$$

This equation does have the basic form of equation (6). However, the factor  $(L/\mathcal{L})$  is not strictly a constant. The correlation length  $L$  is a property of the inertial subrange, whereas the integral length scale  $\mathcal{L}$  depends on the large-scale turbulence structure. In fact, it can be shown for the atmosphere that the ratio depends strongly on the relative importance of shear and buoyancy forcings of the turbulence; for turbulence generated almost entirely by wind shear, the ratio is close to 1, whereas for turbulence generated by buoyancy, the ratio may be 1/10 or less (Wilson, 1998a). If one sets  $p = 2$ , and assumes that  $\mathcal{L} \approx 0.2L$ , as was done by Flatté et al, one finds

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle \approx 12 \Lambda \Phi^2. \quad (38)$$

This result has the same form as equation (5), although the constant 12 appears in place of 1/4. The cause of this discrepancy is unclear, since Flatté et al do not provide a derivation of their equation. The discrepancy could be due, in part, to confusion in the definition of the diffraction parameter; in much of the literature it is defined with a factor of 4 in the numerator, rather than 6 in the denominator, as in equation (2).

## 4.2 Vectors, Plane Waves

The spectrum of fluctuations of an isotropic vector field differs somewhat from that of an isotropic scalar field. The energy spectrum follows from the equation (Batchelor, 1953)

$$E(k) = k^3 \frac{d}{dk} \left[ \frac{1}{k} \frac{dF}{dk} \right]. \quad (39)$$

Therefore, at large wavenumber, we have

$$E(k) \simeq p(p+2) \frac{\sigma^2 \mathcal{L} \beta}{\pi} (k\mathcal{L})^{-p}. \quad (40)$$

The spectral density of the  $i$ th velocity component can be determined using (Batchelor, 1953)

$$\Theta(k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp}) = \frac{E(k) k_{\perp}^2}{4\pi k^4}, \quad (41)$$

where  $k_{\parallel}$  is the wavenumber in the  $i$ th direction. Hence, in place of equation (24), we have

$$\Theta(0, k_{\perp}) = \frac{p(p+2) \beta \sigma^2 \mathcal{L}}{4\pi^2 k_{\perp}^2} (k_{\perp} \mathcal{L})^{-p}. \quad (42)$$

This is the same as equation (24), except that it incorporates an extra factor  $(p+2)/2$ . Hence the scalar results apply to vectors after multiplication by this extra factor. Equivalently, one can replace  $h_s(p)$  in equations (35) and (37) by

$$h_v(p) = -2^{p-3} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \frac{\cos \pi p/2}{\sin \pi p/4}. \quad (43)$$

### 4.3 Scalars and Vectors, Spherical Waves

For weakly scattered spherical waves, we start with (Rytov et al 1989)

$$\langle \chi^2, \phi^2 \rangle = 2\pi^2 k_0^2 X \int_0^1 \int_0^{\infty} \left[ 1 \mp \cos \frac{X k_{\perp}^2 t (1-t)}{k_0} \right] \Theta(0, k_{\perp}) k_{\perp} dk_{\perp} dt, \quad (44)$$

instead of equation (20). In the preceding, the integration over  $t$  represents averaging over the propagation path. From this equation it can be shown that equations (3) and (4) are still valid for spherical waves. As with plane waves, the difficulty is in the log-amplitude variance for conditions of weak scattering and weak diffraction. Substituting equation (24) into (44), making the substituting  $u = Dk^2 \mathcal{L}^2$ , and using the trigonometric identity  $2 \sin^2 A = 1 - \cos 2A$ , we find that

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{p\beta}{2} D^{p/2} \Phi^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{\infty} \left[ \sin^2 \frac{ut(1-t)}{2} \right] u^{-p/2-1} du dt. \quad (45)$$

Performing the integrations as with the plane waves results in

$$\langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{\beta}{2} \Gamma(1 - p/2) B(1 + p/2, 1 + p/2) \cos \frac{\pi p}{4} D^{p/2} \Phi^2, \quad (46)$$

where  $B(x, y)$  is the beta function. Comparing this equation with equation (33), one finds that

$$\frac{\langle \chi^2 \rangle_{\text{sphere}}}{\langle \chi^2 \rangle_{\text{plane}}} = (1 + p/2) B(1 + p/2, 1 + p/2). \quad (47)$$

This result is valid for vectors as well as scalars.

---

## 5. Summary

---

The log-amplitude variances for weak scattering and weak diffraction (the geometric acoustics regime) are summarized in tables 1 and 2. The equations in the two tables are equivalent, but the first table specifies the variances in terms of structure-function parameters, whereas the second specifies them in terms of the strength and diffraction parameters. These tables tie together and generalize the previous results of Tatarskii (1971), Flatté et al (1979), and Ostashev (1994).

An interesting conclusion of this study is that one cannot generally calculate the log-amplitude variance from the strength and diffraction parameters alone, as suggested by equation (5). Knowledge of the length-scale ratio  $L/\mathcal{L}$  is also required. Alternatively, one could define a modified strength parameter based on  $L$  (i.e.,  $\Phi_L^2 = 2\sigma^2 L k_0^2 X$ ) and use  $\Phi_L^2$  to calculate the log-amplitude variance in the geometric acoustics regime. The distinction between the length scales would have little practical importance if the scales were nearly equal. However, in many propagation media, including the atmosphere, it appears that  $\mathcal{L}$  can be an order of magnitude or more longer than  $L$  (Wilson, 1998a).

Table 1. Log-amplitude variances for weak scattering and weak diffraction (the geometric acoustics regime) written in terms of structure-function parameter  $C_N^2$ , acoustic wavenumber  $k_0$ , and propagation distance  $X$ . The function  $h_s(p)$  is defined in equation (36).

Wave type	Fluctuations	General ( $0 < p < 4$ )	Turbulence ( $p = 5/3$ )	Internal waves ( $p = 2$ )
Plane	Scalar	$h_s(p) C_N^2 k_0^{2-p/2} X^{1+p/2}$	$0.307 C_N^2 k_0^{7/6} X^{11/6}$	$0.393 C_N^2 k_0 X^2$
Plane	Vector	$(1 + \frac{p}{2}) h_s(p) C_N^2 k_0^{2-p/2} X^{1+p/2}$	$0.563 C_N^2 k_0^{7/6} X^{11/6}$	$0.785 C_N^2 k_0 X^2$
Spherical	Scalar	$(1 + \frac{p}{2}) B(1 + \frac{p}{2}, 1 + \frac{p}{2}) h_s(p) C_N^2 k_0^{2-p/2} X^{1+p/2}$	$0.124 C_N^2 k_0^{7/6} X^{11/6}$	$0.131 C_N^2 k_0 X^2$
Spherical	Vector	$(1 + \frac{p}{2})^2 B(1 + \frac{p}{2}, 1 + \frac{p}{2}) h_s(p) C_N^2 k_0^{2-p/2} X^{1+p/2}$	$0.228 C_N^2 k_0^{7/6} X^{11/6}$	$0.262 C_N^2 k_0 X^2$

Table 2. Log-amplitude variances for weak scattering and weak diffraction (the geometric acoustics regime) written in terms of diffraction parameter  $\Lambda$ , strength parameter  $\Phi$ , and ratio of correlation length to integral length scale,  $L/L$ . The function  $h_s(p)$  is defined in equation (36).

Wave type	Fluctuations	General ( $0 < p < 4$ )	Turbulence ( $p = 5/3$ )	Internal waves ( $p = 2$ )
Plane	Scalar	$h_s(p) \frac{L}{L} (6\Lambda)^{p/2} \Phi^2$	$1.37 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda^{5/6} \Phi^2$	$2.36 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda \Phi^2$
Plane	Vector	$(1 + \frac{p}{2}) h_s(p) \frac{L}{L} (6\Lambda)^{p/2} \Phi^2$	$2.51 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda^{5/6} \Phi^2$	$4.71 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda \Phi^2$
Spherical	Scalar	$(1 + \frac{p}{2}) B(1 + \frac{p}{2}, 1 + \frac{p}{2}) h_s(p) \frac{L}{L} (6\Lambda)^{p/2} \Phi^2$	$0.553 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda^{5/6} \Phi^2$	$0.785 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda \Phi^2$
Spherical	Vector	$(1 + \frac{p}{2})^2 B(1 + \frac{p}{2}, 1 + \frac{p}{2}) h_s(p) \frac{L}{L} (6\Lambda)^{p/2} \Phi^2$	$1.01 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda^{5/6} \Phi^2$	$1.57 \frac{L}{L} \Lambda \Phi^2$

---

## References

---

- [1] Abramowitz, M., and I. A. Stegun (1965): *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover, San Francisco, 675–679.
- [2] Batchelor, G. K. (1953): *The Theory of Homogeneous Turbulence*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- [3] Flatté, S. M., R. Dashen, W. H. Munk, K. M. Watson, and F. Zacharasen (1979): *Sound Transmission Through a Fluctuating Ocean*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- [4] Gradshteyn, I. S., and I. M. Ryzhik (1994): *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- [5] Ostashev, V. E. (1994): Sound propagation and scattering in media with random inhomogeneities of sound speed, density and medium velocity. *Waves Random Media*, 4, 403–428.
- [6] Rytov, S. M., Y. A. Kravtsov, and V. I. Tatarskii (1989): *Principles of Statistical Radio Physics, Part 4: Wave Propagation Through Random Media*. Springer, Berlin.
- [7] Tatarskii, V. I. (1971): *The Effects of the Turbulent Atmosphere on Wave Propagation*. Keter, Jerusalem.
- [8] Wilson, D. K. (1998a): On the application of turbulence spectral/correlation models to sound propagation in the atmosphere. *Eighth International Symposium on Long Range Sound Propagation*, Pennsylvania State University, State College, pp 296–312.
- [9] Wilson, D. K. (1998b): *Turbulence Models and the Synthesis of Random Fields for Wave Propagation and Scattering Calculations*. U.S. Army Research Laboratory, ARL-TR-1677.

## Distribution

Admnstr  
Defns Techl Info Ctr  
Attn DTIC-OCP  
8725 John J Kingman Rd Ste 0944  
FT Belvoir VA 22060-6218

Mil Asst for Env Sci Ofc of the Undersec of  
Defns for Rsrch & Engrg R&AT E LS  
Pentagon Rm 3D129  
Washington DC 20301-3080

Ofc of the Dir Rsrch and Engrg  
Attn R Menz  
Pentagon Rm 3E1089  
Washington DC 20301-3088

Ofc of the Secy of Defns  
Attn ODDRE (R&AT)  
Attn ODDRE (R&AT) S Gontarek  
Attn OUSD(A&T)/ODDR&E(R) R J Trew  
The Pentagon  
Washington DC 20301-7100

ARL Chemical Biology Nuc Effects Div  
Attn AMSRL-SL-CO  
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21005-5423

Army Dugway Proving Ground  
Attn STEDP 3  
Attn STEDP-MT-DA-L-3  
Attn STEDP-MT-M Bowers  
Dugway UT 84022-5000

Army Missile Cmnd  
Attn AMSMI-RD-AS-SS R Alongi  
Redstone Arsenal AL 35898-5253

Army Rsrch Ofc  
Attn AMXRO-GS Bach  
PO Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park NC 27709

Hdqtrs Dept of the Army  
Assist Secy of the Army for RD&A  
Attn SARD-DOV H Fallin Rm 3E411  
The Pentagon  
Washington DC 20301-0103

Natl Security Agency  
Attn W21 Longbothum  
9800 Savage Rd  
FT George G Meade MD 20755-6000

Redstone Scientific Info Ctr  
Attn AMSMI-RD-CS-R  
Bldg 4484  
Redstone Arsenal AL 35898

TECOM  
Attn AMSTE-CL  
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21005-5057

US Army CECOM RDEC Night Vsn & Elect  
Sensors Dirctr  
Attn AMSEL-RD-NV F Milton  
10221 Burbuck Rd Ste 430  
FT Belvoir VA 22060-5806

US Army CRREL  
Attn CEREL-GP R Detsch  
72 Lyme Rd  
Hanover NH 03755-1290

US Army Edgewood RDEC  
Attn SCBRD-TD G Resnick  
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21010-5423

US Army Nuclear & Chem Agency  
Attn MONA-ZB  
Bldg 2073  
Springfield VA 22150-3198

US Army OEC  
Attn CSTE-EFS  
Park Center IV 4501 Ford Ave  
Alexandria VA 22302-1458

Director  
US Army Rsrch Ofc  
4300 S Miami Blvd  
Research Triangle Park NC 27709

US Army TRADOC Anlys Cmnd—WSMR  
Attn ATRC-WSS-R  
White Sands Missile Range NM 88002

## Distribution (cont'd)

US Army Train & Doctrine Cmnd Battle Lab  
Integration & Technl Dirctr  
Attn ATCD-B J A Klevecz  
FT Monroe VA 23651-5850

US Military Academy  
Mathematical Sci Ctr of Excellence  
Attn MDN-A MAJ M D Phillips  
Dept of Mathematical Sci Thayer Hall  
West Point NY 10996-1786

Nav Air War Cen Wpn Div  
Attn CMD 420000D C0245 A Shlanta  
1 Admin Cir  
China Lake CA 93555-6001

Nav Surface Warfare Ctr  
Attn Code B07 J Pennella  
17320 Dahlgren Rd Bldg 1470 Rm 1101  
Dahlgren VA 22448-5100

Naval Surface Weapons Ctr  
Attn Code G63  
Dahlgren VA 22448-5000

AF Rsrch Lab Phillips Lab  
Atmospheric Sci Div Geophysics Dirctr  
Hanscom AFB MA 01731-5000

Air Weather Service  
Attn Technl Lib FL4414 3  
Scott AFB IL 62225-5458

Phillips Laboratory  
Attn AFRL-VSBE Chisholm  
29 Randolph Rd  
Hanscom AFB MA 01731-3010

DARPA  
Attn B Kaspar  
3701 N Fairfax Dr  
Arlington VA 22203-1714

NASA Marshal Space Flt Ctr  
Atmospheric Sciences Div  
Attn E501 Fichtl  
Huntsville AL 35802

NASA Spct Flt Ctr  
Atmospheric Sciences Div  
Attn Code ED 41 1  
Huntsville AL 35812

Univ of Mississippi  
NCPA  
Attn H E Bass  
University MS 38577

Dept of Commerce Ctr  
Mountain Administration  
Attn Spprt Ctr Library R51  
325 S Broadway  
Boulder CO 80303

Hicks & Associates, Inc  
Attn G Singley III  
1710 Goodrich Dr Ste 1300  
McLean VA 22102

Natl Ctr for Atmospheric Research  
Attn NCAR Library Serials  
PO Box 3000  
Boulder CO 80307-3000

NCSU  
Attn J Davis  
PO Box 8208  
Raleigh NC 27650-8208

US Army Rsrch Lab  
Attn AMSRL-D R Whalin  
Attn AMSRL-DD J Rocchio  
Attn AMSRL-CI-LL Technl Lib (3 copies)  
Attn AMSRL-CS-AS Mail & Records Mgmt  
Attn AMSRL-CS-EA-TP Technl Pub (3 copies)  
Attn AMSRL-IS-E Battlefield Environ Div  
Attn AMSRL-IS-EE D K Wilson (10 copies)  
Attn AMSRL-SE-EE Z G Sztankay  
Attn AMSRL-SE-SA N Srour  
Adelphi MD 20783-1197

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			<i>Form Approved</i> <i>OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY</b> (Leave blank)		<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> March 1999	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> Final, 10/1/98—11/15/98	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Weak Scattering of Sound Waves in Random Media That Have Arbitrary Power-Law Spectra			<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b> DA PR: B53A PE: 61102A	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> D. Keith Wilson				
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> U.S. Army Research Laboratory Attn: AMSRLIS-EP email: dkwilson@arl.mil 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b> ARL-TR-1866	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197			<b>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> ARL PR: 9FEJ61 AMS code: 611102.53A				
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>	
<b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 words) Log-amplitude and phase variances of a weakly scattered acoustic signal are calculated for line-of-sight propagation through a random medium. The spectrum of the index-of-refraction fluctuations in the random medium is assumed to scale in proportion to the wavenumber raised to an arbitrary power in the limit of large wavenumbers (small spatial scales). Both scalar and vector contributions to the index of refraction are considered. Most of the calculated results reduce to those given by Tatarskii (1971) and Ostashev (1994) when the power law exponent is $-5/3$ , which is the value characteristic of turbulence. However, the results do not exactly reduce to an equation given by Flatte et al (1994) for the log-amplitude variance in terms of strength and diffraction parameters. The equation from Flatte et al is shown to be an approximation, strictly valid only when the spectral energy in the random medium is concentrated at a well-defined outer scale.				
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Acoustics, scattering, turbulence, internal waves, spectral functions			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 25	
			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b>	
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> SAR	