

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-TR-2105

October 1999

Mechanical and Ballistic Properties of Composites of Polypyrrole-Coated S-2 Glass Fabrics

William A. Spurgeon, J. Robert Klinger, and Margaret E. Roylance
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

Abstract

This report presents the results of mechanical and ballistic tests on polyester and polyurethane-based composites of polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass fabrics. The fabrics were obtained from the Milliken Research Corporation, Spartanburg, SC. The data support the proposition that the glass fibers are, at most, slightly damaged by the coating process that requires an acidic solution of pH 1. The mechanical properties of the interface between the glass fibers and the matrix resin are weakened by the presence of the polypyrrole coating in the polyester resin composites. As a consequence, the tensile, compressive, flexure, and short-beam-shear strengths are all lower than comparable composites made with uncoated fabrics. The ballistic properties of the polypyrrole-coated-fabric polyester resin composites are as good or perhaps even slightly better, however, as a result of increased delamination. The tensile strengths of the polypyrrole-coated-fabric polyurethane composites were slightly higher than for similar composites made with uncoated fabrics, although the flexural modulus was not changed. The tensile strength of the polypyrrole-coated fabrics was also measured and found to be about 20% higher than comparable amino-silane-sized fabrics, apparently as a consequence of the increased lubricity of the polypyrrole-coated fibers.

Acknowledgments

Messrs. Timothy O'Shea and David Chandlee (University of Delaware) assisted with the preparation of the vacuum-assisted resin-transfer molding (VARTM) panels from which test specimens were cut. Messrs. Steven Ngyuen, Paul Moy, David Flanagan, and Alan Teets and Mrs. Linda Ghiorse (U.S. Army Research Laboratory [ARL] Polymers Research Branch) helped set up the mechanical tests. Mr. Matthew Burkins and his ballistic range assistants (in the ARL Armor Mechanics Branch) performed the 22-cal. ballistic tests. Mr. William E. Haskell III (currently at the U.S. Army Natick Laboratory) arranged for the thick test panel fabrication and 20-mm fragment ballistic testing. Mr. Jeffery Swab (ARL Metals and Ceramics Research Branch) ran the Weibull analyses on the fabric tensile strength data. Mr. Dana Granville (ARL Composites and Lightweight Structures Branch) and Dr. Steven McKnight (ARL Polymers Research Branch) performed critical reviews of the manuscript. Dr. Hans Kuhn (Milliken Research Corporation) provided much technical insight into conductive polymers and, particularly, their application to textiles.

The assistance of these people is gratefully appreciated.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Acknowledgments	iii
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
1. Introduction	1
2. Sample Preparation	2
3. Mechanical Property Tests	5
3.1 Polyester Matrix Composites.....	5
3.2 Polyurethane-Based Composites.....	10
4. Ballistic Properties	11
4.1 Pre-1995 Work.....	11
4.2 New Work.....	12
5. Tensile Tests on the Fabrics	15
6. Conclusions	22
7. References	23
Distribution	25
Report Documentation Page	43

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Mechanical Test Specimens From the Polyester Matrix Composite Panels - From Left to Right, Tension Compression, Flexure, and Short-Beam-Shear.....	6
2.	Mechanical Test Specimens From the Polyurethane Matrix Composite Panels - Tension (Left) and Flexure (Right)	7
3.	Ballistically Tested Panels From Uncoated Glass Fabric - Back (Left) and Front (Right) Sides	13
4.	Ballistically Tested Panels From Polypyrrole - Back (Left) and Front (Right) Sides.....	14
5.	The Delaminated Area on the Coated Glass Panel as Determined by a Simple Percussion Test.....	16
6.	The Delaminated Area in a Ballistically Tested Panel Made From Uncoated Glass, as Seen in a Cut Through the Center of the Sample.....	17
7.	The Delaminated Area in the Ballistically Tested Panel Made From Coated Glass, as Seen in a Cut Through the Center of the Sample.....	17
8.	A Weibull Plot for the Tensile Strength of a Batch of Samples of Type 6781 S-2 Glass Fabric With an Amino-Silane Sizing.....	18
9.	A Weibull Plot for the Tensile Strength of a Batch of Samples of Type 6781 S-2 Glass Fabric With an Amino-Silane Sizing That Had Been Polypyrrole-Coated to Produce a 1,200- Ω -Per-Square-Sheet Resistance	20
10.	A Weibull Plot for the Tensile Strength of a Batch of Samples of Type 6781 S-2 Glass Fabric With a Volan Sizing	21

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Required Tests and ASTM Specifications	8
2.	Test Results for Samples From Woven Roving Fabrics	8
3.	Data for Samples Cut From Composite Panels.....	9
4.	Data for Samples Cut From Composite Panels Made by the VARTM Process	10
5.	Test Results for Polyurethane Resin Matrix Composite Samples	11
6.	Ballistic Test Results.....	12
7.	Average and Weibull Strengths.....	19

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

Polypyrrole-coated fabrics have now been available from the Milliken Research Company for a number of years.* In previous research at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL), these fabrics were found to exhibit interesting dielectric properties when fabricated into composites of thermoset resins [1]. These dielectric properties make such composites interesting for use in a variety of structural applications. A similar analysis of the mechanical and ballistic properties of these composite materials, the focus of the work presented in this report, is also needed if they are to be used for such structural applications.

The polypyrrole coating applied to the fabrics is very thin, far less than a micron thick [2]. The coating is applied by a fabric dyeing process in an aqueous acid bath with a pH of approximately 1. It can be applied to a variety of fabrics including nylon, polyester, Kevlar, quartz, and S-2 glass. S-2 glass fabrics to be coated must be protected from the acid bath by the polymeric sizing that is applied to protect the fibers in handling and to control the mechanical properties of the fiber matrix interface in composites. The polypyrrole coating is made conductive by doping with an aromatic disulphonic acid, so the sizing must continue to protect the glass, even after it is coated and dried. For the Milliken Research Corporation process, amino-silane sizings have been found to be best for protecting the glass in the acid bath and adhering to the polypyrrole. Because the fabrics are immersed in a highly acidic bath and are handled extensively during the coating process, it is possible that the process could decrease the strengths of fibers in the fabric. The handling of the fabrics during the coating process can introduce weft distortion in the fabric and mechanical damage to the fibers. Both can adversely affect the mechanical properties of composites made from these fabrics.

The polypyrrole coating is applied over the sizing on the fabric as an additional layer of material between the glass and the matrix resin. This can have a potentially adverse effect on the mechanical properties of the interface, particularly if the adhesion of the coating to the sized fibers is poor.

* Milliken Research Company, PO Box 1926, Spartanburg, SC 29303.

Previous work at ARL, presented at the December 1994 Materials Research Society meeting [3], did not clearly answer questions of the effects of the polypyrrole coating on mechanical and ballistic properties of polyester resin matrix composites fabricated with these coated fabrics. Since no account of this work has been published, it is presented in this report. In new work, also presented here, the tensile, compressive, and flexure strengths and moduli and the short-beam-shear strength of polyester resin matrix composites of polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass were compared with those of similarly prepared composites of uncoated glass. The tensile strength and flexure modulus of composites of uncoated and polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass in polyurethane resins are also of interest, and the results of these measurements are presented here. Ballistic tests of the polyester composites were also performed using a 22-cal. fragment-simulating projectile. Finally, tensile tests on the uncoated and polypyrrole-coated fabrics were performed in an attempt to separate effects of the coating on the fiber strength from its effects on the mechanical properties of the interface.

A literature search was conducted on composites of polypyrrole-coated fabrics. The only article of interest found was a report on polypyrrole-coated E-glass by Attias et al. [4]. The polypyrrole coating in this work was prepared using a different dopant to obtain a high conductivity. In their work, Attias et al. studied both a commercial amino-silane sizing and a special amino-silane sizing in which the amine was pyrrole. The adherence of their polypyrrole coating to sized fibers was rather poor with the commercial sizing, leading to low interlaminar shear strength in an epoxy composite. The special sizing adhered to the polypyrrole coating quite well, however, and no decrease in interlaminar shear strength was noted in the epoxy matrix composites. No tensile, compressive, or flexure strength data were reported for these composites.

2. Sample Preparation

Most of the fabrics used in this work were type 6781 S-2 glass, an 8.8-oz, 8-harness satin weave, with 58 ends (fibers in the long direction of a roll) and 55 picks (fibers in the direction

across the roll) per inch. These glass fabrics were obtained from JPS Glass* and were sized with JPS's standard 9827 amino-silane sizing. Batches of these fabrics were coated with polypyrrole by Milliken Research Corporation. Uncoated fabrics were also obtained directly from JPS Glass. Some composites were also made with a 6781 fabric with a Volan sizing obtained from Lydall-Manning† in order to have samples with another sizing for comparison. Polypyrrole-coated, 24-oz S-2 glass woven roving fabrics with five picks and five ends per inch with an Owens-Corning‡ type 933 high-temperature sizing were also available. In preliminary experiments, however, these were found to have too much weft distortion that could not be straightened out well enough to provide meaningful mechanical test results. Finally, a quantity of the 24-oz 5 × 5 woven roving with Owens-Corning's type 463 epoxy-based sizing that had been coated to have a sheet resistance of 250 Ω per square was also available. Although the adhesion of the polypyrrole coating to this particular fabric was described by the supplier as not being as good as it could be, it was tested to see how well it would perform in polyester resin-based composites. This fabric was also used in a thick section composite for a special ballistic test.

Previous applications of the polypyrrole-coated fabrics at ARL have been in composites in which the matrix polymer resin was either polyester (Alpha Owens Corning's E-701-3§) or polyurethane (Uniroyal Chemical's Adiprene-L 100, cured with Catur 21**). No evidence of a chemical reaction of these resins with the polypyrrole coating has been detected, and it is also relatively easy to work with the resins. These two resins were therefore selected as a matrix for the mechanical property test samples.

The initial composite panels for mechanical test specimens were fabricated using standard hand lay-up methods with wet resins. This process has some drawbacks that make it poorly suited for preparing mechanical test specimens. First, it is hard to get the resin content truly

* JPS Glass, PO Box 260, Slater, SC 29683.

† Lydall-Manning, 2800 Turnpike Drive, Hatboro, PA 19040.

‡ Owens-Corning Fiberglas, 2790 Columbus Road, Route 16, Granville, OH 43023-1210.

§ Alpha Owens Corning, 2552 Industrial Drive, Valparaiso, IN 46383-9510.

** Uniroyal Chemical Company, Benson Road, Middlebury, CT 06749.

uniform throughout the panel. This can lead to resin-rich and resin-poor areas in the composite, which can adversely affect the mechanical properties. Similarly, the overall resin content is difficult to control. Also, the process involves handling of wet fabrics, which can lead to significant weft distortion.

In later work, the hand lay-up process was replaced by a vacuum-assisted resin-transfer molding (VARTM) process [4]. This process produces panels with fiber-volume fractions that are as consistent as those obtained by any other method, with the possible exception of matched metal molding, and effectively eliminated fiber-volume fraction as a variable. Since the process used dry fabrics, it is also much easier to control weft distortion in the panels. Care was taken to align the fabrics in the same direction. For the polyester resin-based samples, a 2-ft-wide \times 4-ft-long composite panel of 23 layers of polypyrrole-coated type 6781 S-2 glass fabric and 5 plies of uncoated S-2 glass was fabricated using the VARTM method.

This mix of fabrics is a worst-case simulation of how the coated fabrics might actually be used. The fabric plies in the panel were aligned so that the fill direction of the fabrics was the long direction of the panel. Two similar panels were made using uncoated S-2 glass fabrics, one with the JPS Glass amino-silane sizing and the other with a polyester/epoxy-compatible "Volan" sizing. The polyester-based panels were cured 1 hr at 160° F and post-cured 1 hr at 250° F to develop the full mechanical strength of the resin. The panels were kept under vacuum over night as they cooled to room temperature.

The polyurethane matrix composites were also fabricated by the VARTM process. The fabrics and resin were heated to about 140° F to lower the viscosity of the resin and ease its flow into the panels. Ten-ply panels, each 10 in wide \times 16 in long, were fabricated, one from a fabric coated to have a 120- Ω -per-square-sheet resistance and one from a uncoated amino-silane-sized fabric. These panels were made side by side in the same vacuum bag to reduce the difference in fiber volume in the samples as much as possible. Two additional panels of similar size were also made side by side in a vacuum bag, one with uncoated fabric and one with a mixture of five plies each of 3,750- and 530- Ω -per-square fabrics. The panels were cured for 1 hr at 250° F and allowed to cool overnight to room temperature while under vacuum.

The initial, pre-1995, mechanical test samples (all with polyester resin) were machined in the ARL shop at Watertown, MA, prior to the move of the ARL Materials Directorate to Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG) that year. All other mechanical test samples were cut from polyester resin-based composite panels made after the move by Dess Machine and Manufacturing.* The long axis of the samples was the warp direction of the fabrics in all cases, except for a few of the earliest samples for which samples were also cut in the orthogonal (fill) direction. Typical samples are shown in Figure 1. For the polyurethane-based panels, mechanical test samples similar to those in Figure 2 were cut using a sharp die in a hydraulic cutting machine.

3. Mechanical Property Tests

3.1 Polyester Matrix Composites. The mechanical tests performed on the polyester matrix composites and American Society for Testing of Materials (ASTM) specifications [5-8] for the tests are shown in Table 1. These are the standard tests for S-2 glass composites required in MIL-PRF-46197A [9].

The tensile strength and modulus of a composite are determined in large part by the strength of the reinforcement materials, although the matrix and interface also play a significant role. As a consequence, the tensile strength and modulus are less affected by variations in the resin content of the composite than the other tests. The flexure, compression, and shear properties are strongly affected by the resin, the fiber concentration, and interface properties. Meaningful comparisons of these properties for samples with coated and uncoated glass are possible only if the percentage of fiber in the samples does not differ greatly from one sample to another. Of the early (pre-1995) samples, only those made with the 24-oz 5 × 5 woven roving with the Owens-Corning type 463 sizing were sufficiently close in fiber content to permit comparison. The results of these tests are shown in Table 2. These samples contained about 51 ±1% glass fiber by volume.

* Dess Machine & Manufacturing, Inc., 5049 North Dupont Highway, Dover, DE 19901.




Figure 1. Mechanical Test Specimens From the Polyester Matrix Composite Panels - From Left to Right, Tension Compression, Flexure, and Short Beam Shear.




Figure 2. Mechanical Test Specimens From the Polyurethane Matrix Composite Panels - Tension (Left) and Flexure (Right).

Table 1. Required Tests and ASTM Specifications

Test	Specification No.
Tensile Strength and Modulus	ASTM-D638 [5]
Compressive Strength and Modulus	ASTM-D695 [6]
Flexure Strength and Modulus	ASTM-D790 [7]
Short-Beam-Shear Strength	ASTM-D2344 [8]

Table 2. Test Results for Samples From Woven Roving Fabrics

Test Sample No.	1	2	3	4
Type	Uncoated		Coated	
Test Direction (°)	0	90	0	90
Tensile Strength (ksi)	71.6 ±3.7	72.5 ±1.6	58.5 ±4.6	62.7 ±3.9
Tensile Modulus (Msi)	3.3 ±0.2	3.2 ±0.2	2.7 ±0.4	2.7 ±0.2
Flexure Strength (ksi)	33.2 ±1.0	34.0 ±1.3	23.5 ±1.3	26.4 ±1.5
Flexure Modulus (Msi)	3.6 ±0.2	3.5 ±0.04	3.28 ±0.09	3.4 ±0.09
Short-Beam-Shear Strength (ksi)	3.0 ±0.3	2.8 ±0.1	1.6 ±0.5	1.9 ±0.5

It is not surprising that all mechanical properties are at least a little lower in the coated fabric samples, since the bonding of the polypyrrole coating to the sized glass fiber was not optimized. The short-beam-shear strength, which is a measure of the interlaminar shear strength, is the property most affected by the polypyrrole coating, as expected. It is entirely possible that the poor adhesion of the polypyrrole coating is the cause of all the loss in mechanical strength and that the strength of the fibers has not been affected by the coating process. Seven to 10 samples were tested for each of the reported results.

Several composite panels were also prepared by hand lay-up using the finer weave type 6781 S-2 glass fabric. Only the tensile strength and modulus data can be meaningfully compared for these samples, however, because of the variations in glass-fiber concentration. These data are presented in Table 3. The adherence of the polypyrrole coating was much better for these fabrics, according to the supplier.

Table 3. Data for Samples Cut From Composite Panels

Sample	Type	Percent of Glass ($\pm 2\%$)	Tensile Strength (ksi)	Modulus (Msi)
B1X	Coated	38.0	55.9 \pm 1.4	2.6 \pm 0.2
B1Y	Coated	37.5	55.7 \pm 1.5	2.8 \pm 0.4
B1AX	Coated	40.1	55.5 \pm 1.0	2.9 \pm 0.04
B2X	Coated	35.7	54.7 \pm 1.1	2.5 \pm 0.06
B2Y	Coated	37.3	55.4 \pm 0.6	2.8 \pm 0.2
B2XA	Coated	36.6	51.9 \pm 1.8	2.7 \pm 0.3
SW3X	Uncoated	38.7	54.8 \pm 1.8	2.6 \pm 0.1
SW3Y	Uncoated	37.3	53.9 \pm 1.3	2.6 \pm 0.1

These results indicate that the coating process had very little effect on the tensile strength and modulus of the composites, and by inference on the strength of the glass fibers. A $\pm 7.7\%$ variability of the glass-fiber concentration for these samples was inferred from the thickness of the individual specimens. This is undesirably large, however, and the relative constancy of the results is probably fortuitous. Although the results are highly suggestive, they present only a part of the picture of the effects of the coating on the mechanical properties.

More consistent results for all of the mechanical properties tested were obtained when samples cut from panels made by the VARTM process were tested. The results of these tests are presented in Table 4. The glass concentration in these composites was $45.7 \pm 1\%$ by volume. These samples were made with fabrics from a lot of polypyrrole-coated fabrics different from those used in the samples in Table 3. The scatter in the data for the different groups of samples is reasonable for these measurements.

The differences in tensile, compressive, and flexure strengths between the polypyrrole-coated samples and the Volan-sized samples are about as large as those between the Volan-sized and amino-silane-sized samples. The values of the tensile and compressive moduli are relatively unchanged, however. The flexure modulus actually increases for the polypyrrole-coated samples. The short-beam-shear strength decreases significantly, however. The results for the

Table 4. Data for Samples Cut From Composite Panels Made by the VARTM Process

Test	Amino-Silane Sizing	Volan Sizing	Polypyrrole Coated
Tensile Strength (ksi)	71.5 ±3.0	58.9 ±2.1	49.2 ±3.1
Tensile Modulus (Msi)	3.05 ±0.2	3.02 ±0.25	2.95 ±0.23
Flexure Strength (ksi)	84.6 ±1.1	69.6 ±1.6	45.3 ±1.5
Flexure Modulus (Msi)	3.37 ±0.01	3.34 ±0.04	4.44 ±0.06
Compressive Strength (ksi)	33.6 ±2.6	26.2 ±2.0	18.3 ±1.7
Compressive Modulus (Msi)	1.33 ±0.03	1.30 ±0.03	1.34 ±0.04
Short-Beam-Shear Strength (ksi)	4.72 ±0.5	3.86 ±0.04	1.78 ±0.04

flexure, compressive, and short-beam-shear strengths also indicate that the polypyrrole coating has a significant effect on the fiber matrix interface, as might be expected.

It is possible that the fiber matrix interface properties are also the cause of the lower tensile strength of the composites with the polypyrrole-coated fibers and that the strengths of the fibers is not greatly affected by the coating process. The reason why the tensile strength is not as high for these polypyrrole-coated samples as it was for the samples in Table 3 is not clear. The samples came from lots of fabrics made at different times several years apart. The adhesion of the coating was nominally equally good for both lots of coated fabrics, although it might not have been. The data clearly demonstrate that the polypyrrole coating alters the interface properties, but do not indicate whether the fiber strength is also affected.

3.2 Polyurethane-Based Composites. The tensile strength and flexure modulus of composites of the polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass in a Uniroyal Adiprene L-100 matrix resin was also measured. This resin is flexible, rather than brittle, and has a hardness of Shore A-90. Composites of this resin therefore have mechanical properties that differ from polyester-based composites. The interface mechanical properties are also different for the polyurethane resin as a consequence of the different chemical composition of the resin. Test results on samples of these composites are shown in Table 5. The glass concentration in these composites was 41 ±1% by volume.

Table 5. Test Results for Polyurethane Resin Matrix Composite Samples

Test	Uncoated 1	Uncoated 2	120 Ω	3,520 and 530 Ω
Tensile Strength (ksi)	27.7 \pm 1.7	26.5 \pm 2.1	31.0 \pm 0.8	28.4 \pm 2.2
Flexure Modulus (Msi)	—	1.32 \pm 0.05	—	1.30 \pm 0.04

Surprisingly, the tensile strength of the polypyrrole-coated fabric-based composites was slightly higher than for those made with the uncoated amino-silane-sized fabrics. This strength difference could be due to the mechanical properties of the interface, although there is the possibility that the polypyrrole-coated fibers are stronger. The results are consistent with the postulate that the fibers are not seriously weakened by the coating process. The flexure modulus is essentially the same for the uncoated-fabric and coated-fabric composites.

4. Ballistic Properties

4.1 Pre-1995 Work. In previous unpublished work, a 69-ply composite panel was fabricated in which the first 10 plies were polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass woven roving with a polyester semicompatible sizing (Owens-Corning's type 463). The resin in the first 10 plies was Alpha Owens-Corning's E-701-3 polyester. The balance of the composite was made using S-2 glass roving that had been prepregged with Cytec-Fiberite CYCOM 4102 polyester resin.* This 69-ply panel was tested against a 20-mm fragment-simulating projectile. The V_{50} results were well within the range typical for similar S-2 glass laminates without polypyrrole-coated fabrics. The glass fibers in the first layer of such a composite are simply cut through by this type of projectile. No effect from the polypyrrole coating would be expected, provided that the fibers are not too badly damaged by the coating process. This type of thick S-2 glass polyester resin composite is similar to that used as weight bearing structural armor on the Composite Infantry Fighting Vehicle (CIFV). Although this data point is certainly encouraging, a more definitive test would have to be performed on a thinner laminate with a smaller projectile. No other

* Cytec-Fiberite, 1440 North Kraemer Boulevard, Anaheim, CA 92806.

ballistic tests have previously been reported for composite samples made using polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass fabrics.

4.2 New Work. The samples used in ballistic tests in this work were also prepared using the VARTM process with dry fabrics and Alpha Owens Corning E701-3 polyester resin. A 2-ft x 4-ft laminate of 25 plies of type 6781 S-2 glass fabrics with the JPS amino-silane sizing was fabricated and cut into 1-ft square test samples using a panel saw with a diamond blade. A similar laminate was fabricated using 20 plies of polypyrrole-coated fabrics, with three plies of uncoated fabric at the front and two plies at the rear. As with the mechanical test samples, the polypyrrole-coated fabrics were a random mixture of fabrics with different sheet resistances.

The 1-ft square panels were tested vs. a 22-cal. fragment-simulating projectile per MIL-STD-662 [10]. The V_{50} values for the uncoated and polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass composites were essentially the same, with the polypyrrole-coated composites possibly, but not definitely, just a little higher. The V_{50} , high-partial, and low-complete velocities in feet per second are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Ballistic Test Results

Velocity	Coated Fabric (ft/s)	Uncoated Fabric (ft/s)
V_{50}	1,520 ±86	1,590 ±46
High-Partial	1,523	1,583
Low-Complete	1,590	1,600

The entrance holes on the uncoated samples were very similar, as expected (see Figures 3 and 4), while the exit holes on the backs of the samples were quite different. The delaminated areas on the polypyrrole-coated glass panels were much less obvious than on the uncoated glass panels and also had an apparently different shape. Percussion tests, however, show that the delaminated area on the polypyrrole-coated glass panel was much larger than it appeared to be, was more or less circular, and was actually larger than that in the uncoated glass panel. The




Figure 3. Ballistically Tested Panels From Uncoated Glass Fabric – Back (Left) and Front (Right) Sides.




Figure 4. Ballistically Tested Panels From Polypyrrole – Back (Left) and Front (Right) Sides.

apparent delaminated area is marked in black on Figure 5. The percussion test results were verified by direct observation after cutting through two of panels, as shown in Figures 6 and 7.

These ballistic test results are consistent with the postulate that the fibers were not seriously damaged by the polypyrrole-coating process. However, it is impossible to say just how much damage they may have incurred, if any. The lower interlaminar shear strength of the polypyrrole-coated fabric composite improves the ballistic performance a little by increasing the energy lost in delamination. The extra delamination apparently compensates for the lower tensile strength of the composite caused by the changed interface properties. The difference in ballistic strengths is small in any event.

5. Tensile Tests on the Fabrics

In order to try to establish the effect of the polypyrrole coating on the strengths of the fibers, some tensile strength tests were run on samples of the bare fabrics. Samples 8 in long \times 3 in wide were cut from a similar roll of uncoated type 6781 fabric and from a roll of fabric that had a 1,200- Ω -per-square coating applied. These samples were held in 1-in-wide rubber-coated jaws and pulled at a rate of 0.1 in/min. Two batches of 30 samples of the uncoated 6781 fabric were tested to identify the problems that could be encountered and to develop technique. The tensile strength of glass fibers is determined by a distribution of minute flaws, which results in a large variation in the apparent fiber strength. Weibull statistics are best used to present the data. This methodology is described in ASTM-C1239-95 [11]. A Weibull plot of the data for one of the batches for the 6781 S-2 glass fabric with the amino-silane sizing is shown in Figure 8. The test data for the other batch are nearly identical. The results are consistent with the proposition that a single flaw population with a distribution of defect sizes determines the strength of the material.

The lines in the Weibull plots represent a fit of the data to the formula

$$P_f = 1 - \exp - (\sigma/\sigma_\theta)^m,$$




Figure 5. The Delaminated Area on the Coated Glass Panel as Determined by a Simple Percussion Test.




Figure 6. The Delaminated Area in a Ballistically Tested Panel Made From Uncoated Glass, as Seen in a Cut Through the Center of the Sample. About 5 in of the Sample Are Shown.




Figure 7. The Delaminated Area in a Ballistically Tested Panel Made From Coated Glass, as Seen in a Cut Through the Center of the Sample. About 5 in of the Sample Are Shown.




Figure 8. A Weibull Plot for the Tensile Strength of a Batch of Samples of Type 6781 S-2 Glass Fabric With an Amino-Silane Sizing.

where P_f is the probability of failure, σ is the strength (load in this case), σ_θ is the characteristic strength, and m is an experimentally determined constant. In general, the higher the “ m ” value, the narrower the distribution of strength-limiting flaws. The characteristic strength (load), σ_θ , is that at which 63.2% of the samples have failed. Average and Weibull strengths for the samples tested are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Average and Weibull Strengths

Fabric	Average Strength and Standard Deviation (lb)	Weibull Strength (lb)	Weibull Slope (m)
Amino-Silane 1	262 ±29.9	274	10.6
Amino-Silane 2	263 ±27.5	275	10.5
Volan	212 ±17.3	220	11.2
1,200-Ω Polypyrrole	320 ±29.0	333	13.5

When comparable tests were performed on an S-2 glass fabric that had been coated with polypyrrole, it was immediately obvious that this fabric was stronger. A Weibull plot for the 1,200-Ω-per-square fabric is shown in Figure 9. The reasons for the apparently higher tensile strength are not entirely clear. However, the coated fabrics are somewhat smoother to the touch than the uncoated fabrics, and the most likely explanation for the apparent strength difference is that the coating has increased the lubricity of the fibers. This reduces friction as the fibers rub against each other and makes the fibers less likely to break when the fabric is pulled. It is also possible that the polypyrrole coating simply coats over minute bare spots on the sized fibers. The acid bath treatment could possibly help round out and thus reduce the sharpness of the strength-limiting flaws in the glass, although this seems unlikely since the fabrics are sized.

In order to test the lubricity effect argument, samples of a very stiff type 6781 S-2 glass fabric with a nonlubricious “Volan” sizing were also tested. The test results for samples of this fabric were about 20% lower than for the fabrics with the amino-silane sizing. Figure 10 shows the Weibull plot for the data. The results support the argument that the lubricity is the major source of the difference in the test results for the different fabrics.




Figure 9. A Weibull Plot for the Tensile Strength of a Batch of Samples of Type 6781 S-2 Glass Fabric With an Amino-Silane Sizing That Had Been Polypyrrole-Coated to Produce a 1,200- Ω -Per-Square-Sheet Resistance.




Figure 10. A Weibull Plot for the Tensile Strength of a Batch of Samples of Type 6781 S-2 Glass Fabric With Voaln Sizing.

6. Conclusions

The results of the ballistic and tensile tests on the polyester and tensile tests on the polyurethane resin matrix composites and on the bare fabrics support the proposition that damage to S-2 glass fibers by the polypyrrole-coating process is not large and is very possibly negligible. True proof of this proposition would require direct tests on large samples of single fibers, which would be very difficult and possibly inconclusive in view of the fragility of the single fibers. The interface properties of the fibers in polyester resin matrix composites are significantly affected by the polypyrrole coating, as evidenced by the flexure, compression, and short-beam-shear results. However, these composites should still be strong enough for the applications envisioned for them. The ballistic test results on coated-fabric-based and uncoated-fabric-based polyester resin matrix composites are comparable, although the coated-fabric composites show a larger degree of delamination.

7. References

1. Sengupta, L. C., and W. A. Spurgeon. "Dielectric Properties of Polymer Matrix Composites Prepared From Conductive Polymer Coated Fabrics." BRL-TR-92-3, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, February 1992.
2. Gregory, R. V., W. C. Kimball, and H. H. Kuhn. "Conductive Textiles." *Synthetic Metals*, February 1989.
3. Klinger, J. R., W. A. Spurgeon, and M. E. Roylance. "Mechanical Properties of Polypyrrole Coated S-2 Glass - Polyester Resin Matrix Composites." Poster paper presented at Materials Research Society Meeting, Boston, MA, December 1994.
4. Attias, A. J., F. Faverolle, J. Ancelle, and B. Bloch. *Comptes - Rendus des 8me Journees sur les Composites (JCN8)*, p. 185, Plaiseau (AMAC, Paris), 16-18 November 1992.
5. American Society for Testing of Materials. "Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics." ASTM-D638, West Conshohocken, PA, 10 October 1997.
6. American Society for Testing of Materials. "Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics." ASTM-D695, West Conshohocken, PA, 10 April 1996.
7. American Society for Testing of Materials. "Standard Test Methods for Flexural Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastics and Insulating Materials." ASTM-D790, West Conshohocken, PA, 10 October 1997.
8. American Society for Testing of Materials. "Standard Test for Apparent Interlaminar Shear Strength of Parallel Fiber Composites by Short Beam Shear Method." ASTM-D2344, 1 January 1984.
9. U.S. Department of the Army. "A Laminate, High Strength Glass Fiber Reinforced Polyester Resin." MIL-PRF-46197A, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 16 April 1997.
10. U.S. Department of Defense. "V₅₀ Ballistic Test for Armor." MIL-STD-662, 18 December 1997.
11. American Society for Testing of Materials. "Standard Practice for Reporting Uniaxial Strength Data and Estimating Weibull Distribution Parameters for Advanced Ceramics." ASTM-C1239-95, 10 September 1995.
12. Seeman, W. H. "Plastic Transfer Molding Techniques for the Production of Fiber Reinforced Plastic Structures." U.S. Patent No. 4,902,215, 20 February 1990.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER DTIC DDA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
1	HQDA DAMO FDQ D SCHMIDT 400 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460
1	OSD OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R) R J TREW THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100
1	DPTY CG FOR RDA US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMCRDA 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
1	INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN PO BOX 202797 AUSTIN TX 78720-2797
1	DARPA B KASPAR 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714
1	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR CODE B07 J PENNELLA 17320 DAHLGREN RD BLDG 1470 RM 1101 DAHLGREN VA 22448-5100
1	US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR OF EXCELLENCE DEPT OF MATHEMATICAL SCI MADN MATH THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL DD J J ROCCHIO 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CS AS (RECORDS MGMT) 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI LL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
	<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>
4	DIR USARL AMSRL CI LP (BLDG 305)

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CP CA D SNIDER 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSE T GORA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
3	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR TD R PRICE V LINDNER C SPINELLI PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
5	PM SADARM SFAE GCSS SD B ELLIS M DEVINE W DEMASSI J PRITCHARD S HROWNAK PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC SFAE FAS PM F MCLAUGHLIN PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
7	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH S MUSALLI P CHRISTIAN R CARR M LUCIANO T LOUCEIRO P LUTZ PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR E FENNELL PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSF T C LIVECCHIA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR QAC T/C C PATEL PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR M D DEMELLA F DIORIO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
3	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSA A WARNASH B MACHAK C CHIEFA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER WATERVLIET ARSENAL SMCWV QAE Q B VANINA BLDG 44 WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMMANDER WATERVLIET ARSENAL SMCWV SPM T MCCLOSKEY BLDG 253 WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050
8	DIRECTOR BENET LABS AMSTA AR CCB J KEANE J BATTAGLIA J VASILAKIS G FFLAR V MONTVORI J WRZUCHALSKI R HASENBEIN SMCAR CCB R S SOPOK WATERVLIET NY 12189
1	COMMANDER WATERVLIET ARSENAL SMCWV QA QS K INSCO WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC PRODUCTION BASE MODERN ACTY AMSMC PBM K PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY BELVOIR RD&E CTR STRBE JBC FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5606
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSP G M SCHIKSNIS D CARLUCCI PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	US ARMY COLD REGIONS RSRCH & ENGRG LAB P DUTTA 72 LYME RD HANOVER NH 03755

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
3	US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE A CROWSON J CHANDRA J PRATER R SINGLETON G ANDERSON K IYER PO BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211
5	PM TMAS SFAE GSSC TMA COL PAWLICKI K KIMKER E KOPACZ R ROESER B DORCY PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	PM TMAS SFAE GSSC TMA SMD R KOWALSKI PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	PEO FIELD ARTILLERY SYSTEMS SFAE FAS PM H GOLDMAN T MCWILLIAMS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	PM CRUSADER G DELCOCO J SHIELDS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR AMSRL VS W ELBER F BARTLETT JR MS 266 HAMPTON VA 23681-0001

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	COMMANDER DARPA J KELLY B WILCOX 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714
3	COMMANDER DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE RSRCH LAB S DONALDSON T BENSON TOLLE J MCCOY BLDG 654 WPAFB OH 45433-7750
1	NSWC DAHLGREN DIV CODE G06 DAHLGREN VA 22448
1	NAVAL RSRCH LAB I WOLOCK CODE 6383 WASHINGTON DC 20375-5000
1	OFFICE OF NAVAL RSRCH MECH DIV CODE 1132SM Y RAJAPAKSE ARLINGTON VA 22217
1	NSWC CRANE DIV M JOHNSON CODE 20H4 LOUISVILLE KY 40214-5245
1	DAVID TAYLOR RSRCH CTR SHIP STRUCTURES & PROT DEPT J CORRADO CODE 1702 BETHESDA MD 20084
2	DAVID TAYLOR RSRCH CTR R ROCKWELL W PHYLLAIER BETHESDA MD 20054-5000

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY INNOVATIVE CONCEPTS DIV R ROHR 6801 TELEGRAPH RD ALEXANDRIA VA 22310-3398
1	EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE DIV N85 F SHOUP 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20350-2000
1	OFFICE OF NAVAL RSRCH D SIEGEL 351 800 N QUINCY ST ARLINGTON VA 22217-5660
1	NSWC J H FRANCIS CODE G30 DAHLGREN VA 22448
2	NSWC D WILSON R D COOPER CODE G32 DAHLGREN VA 22448
4	NSWC J FRAYSSE E ROW T DURAN L DE SIMONE CODE G33 DAHLGREN VA 22448
1	COMMANDER NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS CMD D LIESE 2531 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY ARLINGTON VA 22242-5160
1	NSWC M E LACY CODE B02 17320 DAHLGREN RD DAHLGREN VA 22448

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 NSWC
TECH LIBRARY
CODE 323
17320 DAHLGREN RD
DAHLGREN VA 22448

4 DIR LLNL
R CHRISTENSEN
S DETERESA
F MAGNESS
M FINGER
PO BOX 808
LIVERMORE CA 94550

1 LANL
F ADDESSIO
MS B216
PO BOX 1633
LOS ALAMOS NM 87545

1 LANL
J REPPA MS F668
PO BOX 1663
LOS ALAMOS NM 87545

1 OAK RIDGE NATL LAB
R M DAVIS
PO BOX 2008
OAK RIDGE TN 37831-6195

1 PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV
C BAKIS
227 N HAMMOND
UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802

3 UDLP
4800 EAST RIVER RD
P JANKE MS170
T GIOVANETTI MS236
B VAN WYK MS389
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55421-1498

1 DREXEL UNIV
A S D WANG
32ND AND CHESTNUT ST
PHILADELPHIA PA 19104

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

4 DIR SNL
APPLIED MECHANICS DEPT
DIV 8241
W KAWAHARA
K PERANO
D DAWSON
P NIELAN
PO BOX 969
LIVERMORE CA 94550-0096

1 BATTELLE
C R HARGREAVES
505 KING AVE
COLUMBUS OH 43201-2681

1 PACIFIC NW LAB
M SMITH
PO BOX 999
RICHLAND WA 99352

1 LLNL
M MURPHY
L 282
PO BOX 808
LIVERMORE CA 94550

1 NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIV
CIVIL ENGRG DEPT
W RASDORF
PO BOX 7908
RALEIGH NC 27696-7908

1 PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV
R MCNITT
227 HAMMOND BLDG
UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16801

1 PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV
R S ENGEL
245 HAMMOND BLDG
UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16801

1 PURDUE UNIV
SCHOOL OF AERO & ASTRO
C T SUN
W LAFAYETTE IN 47907-1282

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	STANFORD UNIV DEPT OF AERONAUTICS AND AEROBALLISTICS DURANT BLDG S TSAI STANFORD CA 94305
1	UCLA MANE DEPT ENGR IV H HAHN LOS ANGELES CA 90024-1597
2	UDRI R Y KIM A K ROY 300 COLLEGE PARK AVE DAYTON OH 45469-0168
1	UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON J M WHITNEY COLLEGE PARK AVE DAYTON OH 45469-0240
3	UND CTR FOR COMPOSITE MATL J GILLESPIE M SANTARE K BERNASEK 201 SPENCER LAB NEWARK DE 19716
1	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA CHAMPAIGN NATL CTR FOR COMPOSITE MATLS RSRCH 216 TALBOT LAB J ECONOMY 104 S WRIGHT ST URBANA IL 61801
1	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY LYNN PENN 763 ANDERSON HALL LEXINGTON KY 40506-0046
1	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH DEPT OF MECH & INDUST ENGRG S SWANSON SALT LAKE CITY UT 84112

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
3	UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN CTR FOR ELECTROMECHANICS J PRICE A WALLS J KITZMILLER 10100 BURNET RD AUSTIN TX 78758-4497
3	VA POLYTECHNICAL INST & STATE UNIV DEPT OF ESM M W HYER K REIFSNIDER R JONES BLACKSBURG VA 24061-0219
1	UNIVERSITY OF MD DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENGRG A J VIZZINI COLLEGE PARK MD 20742
1	AAI CORP T G STASTNY PO BOX 126 HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126
1	J HEBERT PO BOX 1072 HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126
1	ARMTEC DEFENSE PRODUCTS S DYER 85 901 AVE 53 PO BOX 848 COACHELLA CA 92236
2	ADV COMPOSITE MATLS CORP P HOOD J RHODES 1525 S BUNCOMBE RD GREER SC 29651-9208
1	SAIC D DAKIN 2200 POWELL ST STE 1090 EMERYVILLE CA 94608

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	SAIC M PALMER 2109 AIR PARK RD S E ALBUQUERQUE NM 87106
1	SAIC R ACEBAL 1225 JOHNSON FERRY RD STE 100 MARIETTA GA 30068
1	SAIC G CHRYSSOMALLIS 3800 W 80TH ST STE 1090 BLOOMINGTON MN 55431
6	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC. C CANDLAND R BECKER L LEE R LONG D KAMDAR G KASSUELKE 600 2ND ST NE HOPKINS MN 55343-8367
1	AMOCO PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS INC M MICHNO JR 4500 MCGINNIS FERRY RD ALPHARETTA GA 30202-3944
1	APPLIED COMPOSITES W GRISCH 333 NORTH SIXTH ST ST CHARLES IL 60174
1	BRUNSWICK DEFENSE T HARRIS STE 410 1745 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY ARLINGTON VA 22202
1	PROJECTILE TECHLGY INC 515 GILES ST HAVRE DE GRACE MD 21078

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	CUSTOM ANALYTICAL ENGRG SYS INC A ALEXANDER 13000 TENSOR LANE NE FLINTSTONE MD 21530
1	NOESIS INC A BOUTZ 1110 N GLEBE RD STE 250 ARLINGTON VA 22201-4795
1	ARROW TECH ASSOC 1233 SHELBURNE RD STE D 8 SOUTH BURLINGTON VT 05403-7700
1	NSWC R HUBBARD G33-C DAHLGREN DIV DAHLGREN VA 2248-5000
5	AEROJET GEN CORP D PILLASCH T COULTER C FLYNN D RUBAREZUL M GREINER 1100 WEST HOLLYVALE ST AZUSA CA 91702-0296
7	CIVIL ENGRG RSRCH FOUNDATION H BERNSTEIN PRESIDENT C MAGNELL K ALMOND R BELLE M WILLETT E DELO B MATTES 1015 15TH ST NW STE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005
1	NIST STRUCTURE & MECHANICS GROUP POLYMER DIV POLYMERS G MCKENNA RM A209 GAITHERSBURG MD 20899

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	DUPONT CO COMPOSITES ARAMID FIBERS S BORLESKE CHESNUT RUN PLAZA PO BOX 80702 WILMINGTON DE 19880-0702
1	GENERAL DYNAMICS LAND SYSTEMS DIV D BARTLE PO BOX 1901 WARREN MI 48090
3	HERCULES INC R BOE F POLICELLI J POESCH PO BOX 98 MAGNA UT 84044
3	HERCULES INC G KUEBELER J VERMEYCHUK B MANDERVILLE JR HERCULES PLAZA WILMINGTON DE 19894
1	HEXCEL M SHELENDICH 11555 DUBLIN BLVD PO BOX 2312 DUBLIN CA 94568-0705
5	INSTITUTE FOR ADV TECHLGY T KIEHNE H FAIR P SULLIVAN W REINECKE I MCNAB 4030 2 W BRAKER LN AUSTIN TX 78759
1	INTEGRATED COMPOSITE TECH H PERKINSON JR PO BOX 397 YORK NEW SALEM PA 17371-0397

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	INTERFEROMETRICS INC R LARRIVA 8150 LEESBURG PIKE VIENNA VA 22100
1	AEROSPACE RSRCH & DEV ASRDD CORP D ELDER PO BOX 49472 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80949-9472
1	PM ADVANCED CONCEPTS LORAL VOUGHT SYSTEMS J TAYLOR PO BOX 650003 MS WT 21 DALLAS TX 76265-0003
2	LORAL VOUGHT SYSTEMS G JACKSON K COOK 1701 W MARSHALL DR GRAND PRAIRIE TX 75051
1	BRIGS CO J BACKOFEN 2668 PETERBOROUGH ST HERDON VA 22071-2443
1	SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE ENGR & MATERIAL SCIENCES DIV J RIEGEL 6220 CULEBRA RD PO DRAWER 28510 SAN ANTONIO TX 78228-0510
1	ZERNOW TECHNICAL SERVICES L ZERNOW 425 W BONITA AVE SUITE 208 SAN DIMAS CA 91773
1	R EICHELBERGER 409 W CATHERINE ST BEL AIR MD 21014-3613
1	DYNA EAST CORP P C CHOU 3201 ARCH ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19104-2711

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	MARTIN MARIETTA CORP P DEWAR L SPONAR 230 EAST GODDARD BLVD KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406
2	OLIN CORP FLINCHBAUGH DIV E STEINER B STEWART PO BOX 127 RED LION PA 17356
1	OLIN CORP L WHITMORE 10101 9TH ST NORTH ST PETERSBURG FL 33702
1	RENSAELER POLYTECHNIC INST R B PIPES PRESIDENT OFC PITTSBURGH BLDG TROY NY 12180-3590
1	SPARTA INC J GLATZ 9455 TOWNE CTR DRIVE SAN DIEGO CA 92121-1964
2	UNITED DEFENSE LP P PARA G THOMAS 1107 COLEMAN AVE BOX 367 SAN JOSE CA 95103
1	MARINE CORPS SYSTEMS COMMAND PM GROUND WEAPONS R OWEN 2083 BARNETT AVE SUITE 315 QUANTICO VA 22134-5000
1	ONR J KELLY 800 NORTH QUINCEY ST ARLINGTON VA 22217-5000

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	NSWC CARDEROCK DIV R CRANE CODE 2802 C WILLIAMS CODE 6553 3A LEGGETT CIRCLE ANNAPOLIS MD 21402
5	SIKORSKY H BUTTS T CARSTENSAN B KAY S GARBO J ADELMANN 6900 MAIN ST PO BOX 9729 STRATFORD CT 06601-1381
1	UNIV OF WYOMING D ADAMS PO BOX 3295 LARAMIE WY 82071
1	MICHIGAN STATE UNIV R AVERILL 3515 EB MSM DEPT EAST LANSING MI 48824-1226
1	AMOCO POLYMERS J BANISAUKAS 4500 MCGINNIS FERRY RD ALPHARETTA GA 30005
1	HEXCEL T BITZER 11711 DUBLIN BLVD DUBLIN CA 94568
1	BOEING R BOHLMANN MC 5021322 PO BOX 516 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0516
1	NAVSEA OJRI G CAMPONESCHI 2351 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY ARLINGTON VA 22242-5160

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	LOCKHEED MARTIN R FIELDS 1195 IRWIN CT WINTER SPRINGS FL 32708
1	USAF WL MLS OL A HAKIM 5225 BAILEY LOOP 243E MCCLELLAN AFB CA 55552
1	PRATT & WHITNEY D HAMBRICK MS 114-37 400 MAIN ST EAST HARTFORD CT 06108
1	BOEING DOUGLAS PRODUCTS DIV L J HART SMITH 3855 LAKEWOOD BLVD D800 0019 LONG BEACH CA 90846-0001
1	MIT P LAGACE 77 MASS AVE CAMBRIDGE MA 01887
1	NASA LANGLEY J MASTERS MS 389 HAMPTON VA 23662-5225
2	CYTEC FIBERITE M LIN W WEB 1440 N KRAEMER BLVD ANAHEIM CA 92806
2	BOEING ROTORCRAFT P MINGURT P HANDEL 800 B PUTNAM BLVD WALLINGFORD PA 19086
2	FAA TECH CTR D OPLINGER AAR 431 P SHYPRYKEVICH AAR 431 ATLANTIC CITY NJ 08405

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	NASA LANGLEY RC CC POE MS 188E NEWPORT NEWS VA 23608
1	LOCKHEED MARTIN S REEVE 8650 COBB DR D 73 62 MZ 0648 MARIETTA GA 30063-0648
1	WL MLBC E SHINN 2941 PST STE 1 WPAFB OH 45433-7750
1	IIT RSRCH CTR D ROSE 201 MILL ST ROME NY 13440-6916
1	MATERIALS SCIENCES CORP B W ROSEN 500 OFFICE CTR DR STE 250 FT WASHINGTON PA 19034
1	DOW UT S TIDRICK 15 STERLING DR WALLINGFORD CT 06492
3	TUSKEGEE UNIV MATERIALS RSRCH LAB SCHOOL OF ENGRG & ARCH S JEELANI H MAHFUZ U VAIDYA TUSKEGEE, AL 36088
4	NIST POLYMERS DIV R PARNAS J DUNKERS M VANLANDINGHAM D HUNSTON GAITHERSBURG MD 20899

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	NORTHROP GRUMMAN ENVIRONMENTAL PRGMS R OSTERMAN 8900 E WASHINGTON BLVD PICO RIVERA CA 90660
1	OAK RIDGE NATL LAB A WERESZCZAK BLDG 4515 MS 6069 PO BOX 2008 OAKRIDGE TN 37831-6064
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC INDUSTRIAL ECOLOGY CTR T SACHAR BLDG 172 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ATCOM AVIATION APPLIED TECH DIR J SCHUCK M DINNING K UNSWORTH FT EUSTIS VA 23604-5577
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR SRE D YEE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
7	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH B B KONRAD E RIVERA G EUSTICE S PATEL G WAGNECZ R SAYER F CHANG BLDG 65 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR QAC T D RIGOGLIOSO BLDG 354 M829E3 IPT PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARMOR CTR ATZK MW S BLASKE FT KNOX KY 40121
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH C S CHICO BLDG 65 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY AVMCOM AMC SMART WEAPONS MGMT OFC AMSMI SW D SAINSBURY REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5000
1	COMMANDANT US ARMY FAC ATFS CD LTC BUMGARNER FT SILL OK 73503-5600
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY AVMCOM COMANCHE PMO SFAE AV RAM TV D CALDWELL BLDG 5300 REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898
3	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM PM TACTICAL VEHICLES SFAE TVL SFAE TVM SFAE TVH WARREN MI 48397-5000

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY TACOM
PM ABRAMS
SFAE ASM AB
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY TACOM
PM BFVS
SFAE ASM BV
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY TACOM
PM AFAS
SFAE ASM AF
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY TACOM
PM SURVIVABILITY SYSTEM
SFAE ASM SS T DEAN
WARREN MI 48397-5000

3 COMMANDER
US ARMY SOLDIER SYS CMD
NATICK RD&E CTR
SSCNC WST
W NYKVIST
T MERRILL
S BEAUDOIN
NATICK MA 01760-5018

1 GEORGIA TECH RSRCH INST
GEORGIA INST OF TECHLGY
P FRIEDERICH
ATLANTA GA 30392

1 LOCKHEED MARTIN SKUNK WORKS
D FORTNEY
1011 LOCKHEED WAY
PALMDALE CA 93599-2502

1 COMMANDER
NSWC
CADEROCK DIV
R PETERSON CODE 2020
M CRITCHFIELD CODE 17302
BETHESDA MD 20084

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 UNITED DEFENSE LIMITED
PARTNERS
R BRYNSVOLD
D MARTIN
PO BOX 359
SANTA CLARA CA 95052-0359

2 UNITED DEFENSE LIMITED
PARTNERS
R BARRETT
V HORVATICH
MAIL DROP M53
328 W BROKAW RD
SANTA CLARA CA 95052-0359

1 OSD
JOINT CCD TEST FORCE
OSD JCCD R WILLIAMS
3909 HALLS FERRY RD
VICKSBURG MS 29180-6199

2 GDLS
D REES
M PASIK
PO BOX 2074
WARREN MI 48090-2074

1 BATTELLE NW DOE PNNL
T HALL
MS K231
BATTELLE BLVD
RICHLAND WA 99352

2 BOEING DEFENSE AND SPACE GROUP
W HAMMOND
J RUSSELL
MS 4X55
PO BOX 3707
SEATTLE WA 98124-2207

1 GDLS
MUSKEGON OPERATIONS
W SOMMERS JR
76 GETTY ST
MUSKEGON MI 49442

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSP A P KISATSKY PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	DIRECTOR DA OASARDA SARD SO 103 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
1	DPTY ASST SCY FOR R&T SARD TT RM 3EA79 THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100
9	DIRECTOR US ARMY NGIC D LEITER S EITELMAN M HOLTUS M WOLFE S MINGLEDORF H C ARDLEIGH J A GASTON W P GSTATTENBAUER R WARNER 220 SEVENTH ST NE CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22091
8	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM AMSTA TR R D THOMAS J BENNETT D HANSEN AMSTA JSK S GOODMAN J FLORENCE AMSTA TR D D OSTBERG L HINOJOSA B RAJU WARREN MI 48397-5000

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY CECOM NVESD AMSEL RD NV CM CCD R MCLEAN A YINGST AMSEL RD NVVISP E JACOBS 10221 BURBECK RD FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5806
2	NORTHRUP GRUMMAN CORP ELECT SENSORS AND SYS DIV K RADFORD K F SCHOCH 1350 BEULAH RD PITTSBURG PA 15235-5080
1	GRAPHITE MASTERS INC J WILLIS 3815 MEDFORD ST LOS ANGELES CA 90063-1900
1	ROM DEV CORP R OMEARA 136 SWINEBURNE ROW BRICK MARKET PLACE NEWPORT RI 02840
1	ADVANCED GLASS FIBER YARNS T COLLINS 281 SPRING RUN LANE SUITE A DOWNINGTON PA 19335
1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC D SHORTT 19105 63 AVE NE PO BOX 25 ARLINGTON WA 98223
1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC R HOLLAND 11 JEWEL CT ORINDA CA 94563

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC C RILEY 14530 S ANSON AVE SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670
2	HEXCEL W SWANSON D HARTMAN 5794 W LAS POSITAS BLVD PLEASANTON CA 94588
2	COMPOSIX D BLAKE L DIXON 120 ONEILL DR HEBRUN OH 43025
2	SIMULA J COLTMAN R HUYETT 10016 S 51ST ST PHOENIX AZ 85044
1	SIOUX MFG B KRIEL PO BOX 400 FT TOTTEN ND 58335
2	PROTECTION MATERIALS INC M MILLER F CRILLEY 14000 NW 58 CT MIAMI LAKES FL 33014
1	B & R SPECIALITIES INC J R KLINGER 9G CENTER STAATSBURG NY 12580
2	FOSTER MILLER 195 BEAR HILL RD J J GASSNER M ROYLANCE WALTHAM MA 02354-1196
2	TEXTRON SYSTEMS R E SINGLER M FAVALORO 201 LOWELL ST WILMINGTON MA 08870-2941

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	CYTEC FIBERITE R DUNNE 1300 REVOLUTION ST HAVRE DE GRACE MD 21078
1	JPS GLASS L CARTER PO BOX 260 SLATER RD SLATER SC 29683
1	PEO GCSS SFAE GCSS W GSI M D COCHRAN WARREN MI 48397-5001
1	CHIEF USAIC LTC T J CUMMINGS ATZB COM FT BENNING GA 31905-5800
1	PM BFV SCOTT DAVIS SFAE GCSS W BV 11 MILE RD WARREN MI 48307-5000
1	PM ABRAMS APM M1AZ K S FLYNN 6501 11 MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	O GARA HESS & EISENHARDT M A GILLESPIE 9113 LESAINTE DR FAIRFIELD OH 45014
1	ABRAMS TANK SYSTEM APM RDT&E J A GODELL SFAE GCSS W AB WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR WEL F C T GUERRIERE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 COMMANDER
US ARMY TACOM
AMSTA CS SF
H HUTCHINSON
F SCHWARZ
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 CIA
OTI WDAG GT
W L WALTMAN
PO BOX 1925
WASHINGTON DC 20505

1 GENERAL DYNAMICS
AMPHIBIOUS SYSTEMS
G WALKER
SURVIVABILITY LEAD
991 ANNAPOLIS WAY
WOODBIDGE VA 22191

1 PM SURVIVABLE SYSTEMS
PMGSI HTI DIV
SFAE GCSS W GSI H
M G RYZYI
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 UNITED DEFENSE LP
GROUND SYSTEMS DIV
M PEDRAZZI
1205 COLEMAN AVE
MAIL DROP N09
SANTA CLARA CA 95052

1 SYSTEM MANAGER ABRAMS
ATZK TS
LTC J H NUNN
BLDG 1002 RM 110
FT KNOX KY 40121

1 PM LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLES
AMSTA TR S
J B MILLS
MS 209
WARREN MI 48397-5000

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 UNITED DEFENSE LP
GROUND SYS DIV
A P LEE
1205 COLEMAN AVE
MAIL DROP N11
SANTA CLARA CA 95052

1 GROUND SYSTEMS DIV
M MACLEAN
1205 COLEMAN AVE
MAIL DROP N06
SANTA CLARA CA 95052

1 PEO GCSS
GROUND SYSTEMS INTEGRATION
SFAE GCSS W GSI
R J LABATILLE
BLDG 229
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 DIRECTORATE OF COMBAT DEV
C A KJORO
320 ENGR LOOP
SUITE 141
FT LEONARD WOOD MO 65473-8929

1 CHIEF ABRAMS TESTING
SFAE GCSS W AB QT
T J KRASKIEWICZ
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 MARINE CORP INTELL ACT
D C KOSITZKE
SUITE 250
3300 RUSSELL RD
QUANTICO VA 22134-5011

2 MILLIKEN RSRCH CORP
H KUHN
M MACLEOD
PO BOX 1926
SPARTANBURG SC 29303

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND
AMXMI INT
5001 EISENHOWER AVE
ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 COMMANDER
NGIC
IANG TMT
220 SEVENTH ST NE
CHARLOTTESVILLE VA
22902-5396

1 DIRECTOR
DEFENSE INTELL AGCY
TA 5
K CRELLING
WASHINGTON DC 20310

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY ARDEC
SMCAR ASF
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ
07806-5000

1 COMMANDER
US ARMY TACOM
AMSTA SF
WARREN MI 48397-5000

1 HQDA
DAMI FIT
NOLAN BLDG
WASHINGTON DC 20310-1025

1 CONNEAUGHT INDUST INC
J P SANTOS
PO BOX 1425
COVENTRY RI 02816

1 BATTELLE NATICK OPERATIONS
J P CONNORS
209 W CENTRAL ST
SUITE 302
NATICK MA 01760

1 US ARMY SBCCOM
SOLDIER SYSTEMS CTR
J WARD
J MACKIEWICZ
W E HASKELL
KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760-5019

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

13 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRL WM MB
A ABRAHAMIAN
M BERMAN
A FRYDMAN
T LI
W MCINTOSH
E SZYMANSKI
AMSRL SS SD
H B WALLACE
AMSRL SE R
R CHASE
AMSRL SE DS
R REYZER
R ATKINSON
AMSRL SE L
R WEINRAUB
J DESMOND
D WOODBURY
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

86 DIR USARL
AMSRL CI
W STUREK
AMSRL CI S
A MARK
AMSRL CS IO FI
M ADAMSON
AMSRL SL BN
J SMITH
AMSRL SL BA
AMSRL SL BE
D BELY
R HENRY
AMSRL SL BG
A YOUNG
AMSRL WM B
A HORST
E SCHMIDT
AMSRL WM BE
G WREN
C LEVERITT
D KOOKER

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (CONT)

AMSRL WM BC
P PLOSTINS
D LYON
J NEWILL
S WILKERSON
AMSRL WM BD
R FIFER
B FORCH
R PIESCE RODRIGUEZ
B RICE
AMSRL WM M
D VIECHNICKI
G HAGNAUER
J MCCAULEY
LTC TANNER
AMSRL WM MA
R SHUFORD
S MCKNIGHT
L GHIORSE
P MOY
S NGYUEN
G RODRIGUEZ
P PATTERSON
A TEETS
D FLANAGAN
P TOUCHET
D HARRIS
AMSRL WM MB
W DRYSDALE
J BENDER
T BLANAS
T BOGETTI
R BOSSOLI
L BURTON
S CORNELISON
P DEHMER
R DOOLEY
B FINK
G GAZONAS
S GHIORSE
D GRANVILLE
D HOPKINS
C HOPPEL
D HENRY
R KASTE
M LEADORE
R LIEB
E RIGAS

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

AMSRL WM MB (CONT)

D SPAGNUOLO
W SPURGEON
J TZENG
AMRSL WM MC
J BEATTY
J SWAB
AMSRL WM MD
W ROY
S WALSH
AMSRL WM T
B BURNS
D DIETRICH
AMSRL WM TA
W GILLICH
T HAVEL
J RUNYEON
M BURKINS
E HORWATH
AMSRL WM TC
R COATES
W DE ROSSET
AMSRL WM TD
A DAS GUPTA
T HADUCH
T MOYNIHAN
F SEITSINGER
W BRUCHEY
F GREGORY
A RAJENDRAN
D DANDEKAR
AMSRL WM TE
J POWELL
AMSRL WM BA
F BRANDON
W D AMICO
AMSRL WM BR
J BORNSTEIN
AMSRL WM BC
A ZIELINSKI
AMSRL WM BF
J LACETERA

1 DIR USAMSA
AMXSY TD
P DIETZ

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE October 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, January 1994 - April 1999		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Mechanical and Ballistic Properties of Composites of Polypyrrole-Coated S-2 Glass Fabrics		5. FUNDING NUMBERS 62218AH80		
6. AUTHOR(S) William A. Spurgeon, J. Robert Klinger, and Margaret E. Roylance				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WM-MB Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-2105		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report presents the results of mechanical and ballistic tests on polyester and polyurethane-based composites of polypyrrole-coated S-2 glass fabrics. The fabrics were obtained from the Milliken Research Corporation, Spartanburg, SC. The data support the proposition that the glass fibers are, at most, slightly damaged by the coating process that requires an acidic solution of pH 1. The mechanical properties of the interface between the glass fibers and the matrix resin are weakened by the presence of the polypyrrole coating in the polyester resin composites. As a consequence, the tensile, compressive, flexure, and short-beam-shear strengths are all lower than comparable composites made with uncoated fabrics. The ballistic properties of the polypyrrole-coated-fabric polyester resin composites are as good or perhaps even slightly better, however, as a result of increased delamination. The tensile strengths of the polypyrrole-coated-fabric polyurethane composites were slightly higher than for similar composites made with uncoated fabrics, although the flexural modulus was not changed. The tensile strength of the polypyrrole-coated fabrics was also measured and found to be about 20% higher than comparable amino-silane-sized fabrics, apparently as a consequence of the increased lubricity of the polypyrrole-coated fibers.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS S-2 glass fabrics, polypyrrole-coated composites, mechanical properties, ballistic properties, conductive polymers			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 48	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number/Author ARL-TR-2105 (Spurgeon) Date of Report October 1999
2. Date Report Received _____
3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

E-mail Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY


OFFICIAL BUSINESS

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 0001,APG,MD

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRL WM MB
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005-5069



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

