



Numerical Simulation of Adiabatic Shear Bands in Ti-6Al-4V Alloy Due to Fragment Impact

by Müge Fermen-Coker

ARL-RP-91

March 2005

A reprint from the *Proceedings of the 24th Army Science Conference Proceedings*,
Orlando, Florida, Nov 29–Dec 2, 2004.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5513

ARL-RP-91

March 2005

**Numerical Simulation of Adiabatic Shear Bands in
Ti-6Al-4V Alloy Due to Fragment Impact**

Müge Fermen-Coker
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

A reprint from the *Proceedings of the 24th Army Science Conference Proceedings*,
Orlando, Florida, Nov 29–Dec 2, 2004.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGEForm Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) March 2005		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 09/2003-09/2004	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Numerical Simulation of Adiabatic Shear Bands in Ti-6Al-4V Alloy Due to Fragment Impact				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Müge Fermen-Coker				5d. PROJECT NUMBER AH80	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Terminal Effects Division Weapons and Materials Research Directorate ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-TC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-RP-91	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT This report is a reprint of a summary paper from the 24th Army Science Conference Proceedings, prepared in accordance with the format and guidance provided by the conference. It discusses the development and validation of a computational capability to accurately model highly localized deformations in complex projectile-target interactions germane to survivability and lethality technologies for the Army's Future Combat Systems. Onset and propagation of adiabatic shear bands are investigated by studying the ballistic impact of 20-mm steel fragments against Ti-6Al-4V plates. Numerical simulations are carried out using a three-dimensional localization model being developed for CTH, an Eulerian wave propagation code. The results provided good agreement with experimental observations.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Adiabatic Shear Band, Localization, CTH, Impact, Failure, Plugging, Ti-6Al-4V					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Müge Fermen-Coker
a. REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	b. ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	c. THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-278-6018

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the support of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL), Weapons and Materials Research Directorate (WMRD), Impact Physics Branch (IPB), and wishes to thank Dr. Scott Schoenfeld (Chief, IPB, ARL) for his review of this paper, and to Dr. Tusit Weerasooriya (IPB, ARL) for the material characterization data used in this study.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF ADIABATIC SHEAR BANDS IN Ti-6Al-4V ALLOY DUE TO FRAGMENT IMPACT

Müge Fermen-Coker
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

ABSTRACT

Current distributions of continuum mechanics codes used by the Army do not have the capability to model failure associated with plastic shear localizations in ballistic applications, such as plugging failure of targets due to ballistic impact by blunt-nosed projectiles. This paper discusses the development and validation of a computational capability to accurately model highly localized deformations in complex projectile-target interactions germane to survivability and lethality technologies for the Army's Future Combat Systems. Onset and propagation of adiabatic shear bands are investigated both experimentally and computationally by studying the ballistic impact of 20-mm steel fragments against Ti-6Al-4V plates. Numerical simulations are carried out using a three-dimensional localization model being developed for CTH, an Eulerian wave propagation code. A failure criterion that uses homogenous material response and scaling laws to estimate the plastic strain at which stress collapse due to adiabatic shear should occur, for rate dependent, work-hardening, thermally softening materials, has been implemented into CTH, and is used as a nucleation criterion. Numerical results provided good agreement with experimental observations.

1. INTRODUCTION

By Zener and Hollomon's widely accepted postulate, an adiabatic shear band (ASB) is a localized band-like narrow deformation zone of intense plastic shear strain that occurs when the strain rates are so high that there is not enough time for the heat due to plastic work to diffuse away from the deforming zone, causing a local thermal softening effect that exceeds the strain, or strain rate, hardening [Zener & Hollomon, 1944]. Under intense dynamic loading due to ballistic impact of a projectile against a target plate, the plate material is rapidly accelerated ahead of the projectile, creating a velocity discontinuity within the target, which gives rise to plastic localization under adiabatic conditions. Near ballistic limit velocities, shear bands propagate towards the back of the target to form a plug. The ballistic limit velocity (V_{50}) is a measure of armor effectiveness, and is defined as the velocity at which a projectile has 50 percent probability of perforating the target. Even though the impact speed (V_s) of the projectile may not be high enough to perforate the target, the armor plate still fails via plugging or discing, depending on the material properties of the plate. The ballistic performance, crater morphology, and the dominant failure mechanism for Ti-

6Al-4V processed above and below β -transus temperature differ drastically [Burkins et. al, 1997]. However, shear bands were observed regardless of the annealing temperatures, influencing the dominant failure mechanism, and therefore the V_{50} . The capability to model failure associated with plastic shear localizations has long been desirable, but found to be difficult due to the complexity of the failure process. This paper focuses on simulating shear band nucleation and propagation using an Eulerian hydrocode, CTH, being developed by Sandia National Laboratories [McGlaun & Thompson, 1990].

2. COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

The first ASB model developed and implemented into CTH by Silling [Silling, 1993], was a two dimensional ad-hoc model. The improved ASB model that constitutes the numerical framework for this study is more recently developed by Silling and is three-dimensional (3D). Fermen-Coker implemented a failure criterion by Schoenfeld and Wright [Schoenfeld & Wright, 2003] based on earlier work by Wright [Wright, 1992, 1994], into Silling's ASB model in CTH [Fermen-Coker, 2004]. The criterion emphasizes homogenous behavior as defined by the material's constitutive response, and does not require experimental determination of any additional parameters. The failure strain is estimated by multiplying a scale factor with the perturbation in the velocity field:

$$\varepsilon_{cr} - \varepsilon_{max} = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{2m\sigma}{\pi\sigma_{,\varepsilon\varepsilon}}\right)_{max}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \quad (1)$$

where, ε_{cr} is effective failure strain, ε_{max} is effective strain that corresponds to peak stress in adiabatic response, subscript max indicates values at peak stress, σ is effective stress, $\sigma_{,\varepsilon\varepsilon}$ is the curvature at the peak, and m is strain rate sensitivity. Perturbation velocity is implemented as a variation in effective strain rate:

$$\beta = (\dot{\varepsilon} - \dot{\varepsilon}_{ave}) / \dot{\varepsilon}_{ave} \quad (2)$$

If a requirement for minimum distance to active and inactive shear bands is also satisfied, a shear band is allowed to nucleate by introducing a Lagrangian tracer particle at that location. Upon nucleation, the shear band is allowed to grow at points along its edge, conforming to the local planes of maximum shear, provided that the prescribed growth strain and strain rate criteria are satisfied. The yield stress of cells that contain the shear bands are significantly reduced. Shear band nodes are

convected with the material according to the local cell face velocities.

3. FRAGMENT IMPACT RESULTS

A three-dimensional rectangular mesh is used with 1 mm cubic cells, resulting in 20 cells across the diameter of the projectile. The Mie-Grüneisen equation-of-state, and Johnson-Cook constitutive model are used for both the projectile and the target materials. Earlier experiments indicated that the V_{50} for 0° obliquity is 1.016 km/s [Burkins et. al., 2001]. The dominant failure mode is not plugging but discing in this case, however shear bands still have an influence on the complex failure mechanism and the V_{50} . Without activating the ASB model, CTH predicts only a small bulge in the back of the target as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. CTH result for $V_s = 1100$ m/s V_s at 0° obliquity, prior to the implementation of the ASB model.

For an impact speed of 1009 m/s, i.e. just below V_{50} , the sectioned plate shown in Figure 2a reveals ASB's between the impact crater and the back surface of the target, along with the in-plane delaminations in the rolling direction. Rolling creates directionality of properties, since pre-existing impurities are elongated in the primary rolling direction. These become sites for localized deformation and eventual cracking. An accurate representation of microstructural effects and the mechanism that leads to in-plane cracking and delaminations do not currently exist in the computational model. However, as indicated by the corresponding computational result obtained using the ASB model (Figure 2b), the morphology of shear bands match the experiment qualitatively and the overall ballistic performance prediction is improved significantly. A time sequence of 3D images indicate a spiral pattern of growth, as also indicated by experiments [Fermen-Coker, 2004]. Lighter colors indicate earlier nucleation, whereas shear bands that nucleate later in time are assigned progressively darker colors. For an impact speed of 1021 m/s, i.e. just above V_{50} , both the experimental and computational result indicate that the shear bands reach the back of the target, as shown in Figure 3 (a) and (b), respectively. Cracks formed around the outer edge of the disc shaped delaminated layer nearest to the back surface, where a chip flied out from the back of the target, confirming that the V_{50} was exceeded. Additional numerical experiments indicated that, plugging failure becomes gradually more dominant as the shear band

spacing is decreased, allowing more shear bands to nucleate in the armor material, which suggests a link between ease of ASB nucleation in a material due to its microstructure, and the dominant failure mode.




Figure 2. Results for $V_s = 1009$ m/s. (a) Target cross section (b) Corresponding simulation using ASB model.




Figure 3. Results for $V_s = 1021$ m/s. (a) Target cross section (b) Corresponding simulation using ASB model.

REFERENCES

Burkins, M. S., Love, W. W., Wood, J. R., 1997: Effect of Annealing Temperature on the Ballistic Limit Velocity of Ti-6Al-4V ELI., *ARL-MR-359*.

Burkins, M.S., Wells, M., Fanning, J., Roopchand, B., 2001: The Mechanical and Ballistic Properties of an Electron Beam Single Melt of Ti-6Al-4V Plate, *ARL-MR-515*.

Fermen-Coker, M., 2004: Implementation of Schoenfeld-Wright Criterion into a 3D Adiabatic Shear Band Model in CTH, *ARL-TR-3284*.

McGlaun, J. M., Thompson, S. L., 1990 : CTH: A Three-Dimensional Shock Wave Physics Code, *Journal of Impact Engineering*, 10, 351-360.

Schoenfeld, S. E., Wright, T. W., 2003: A Failure Criterion Based On Material Instability, *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 40, 3021-3037.

Silling, S. A., "Shear Band Formation and Self-Shaping Penetrators", SAND92-2692. UC-405. February, 1993.

Wright, T. W., 1992: Shear Band Susceptibility: Work Hardening Materials, *International Journal of Plasticity*, 8, 583-602.

Wright, T. W., 1994: Toward a Defect Invariant Basis for Susceptibility to Adiabatic Shear Bands, *Mechanics of Materials*, 17, 215-222.

Zener, C., Hollomon, J. H., 1944: Effect of Strain Rate Upon Plastic Flow of Steel, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 15, 22-32.

1 (PDF ONLY)	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CTR DTIC OCA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FOR BELVOIR VA 22060-6218		D SCHEFFLER S SCHRAML B SORENSEN AMSRD WM TD T W BJERKE D CASEM J CLAYTON H W MEYER M RAFTENBERG S SCHOENFELD S SEGLETES T WEERASOORIYA AMSRD WM TE J POWELL
4	SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES DOCUMENT PROCESSING, MS-0617 PO BOX 5800 R BELL, MS0836, 9116 D CRAWFORD, MS0836, 9116 E HERTEL, MS0836, 9116 S SILLING, MS0820, 9232 ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-5800		
1	DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND MECHANICS VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY. R BATRA 220 NORRIS HALL BLACKSBURG, VA 24061	1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARMOR CENTER ATZK-MW FT KNOX KY 40121-5000
	<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>	2	COMMANDER US ARMY AMCOM AMSAM RD W MCCORKLE M SCHEXNAYDER REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5000
38	DIR USARL AMSRD SL BE L ROACH AMSRD WM T ROSENBERGER J SMITH T WRIGHT ..J McCAULEY AMSRD WM BP E SCHMIDT AMSRD WM T B BURNS AMSRD WM TA M BURKINS R DONEY T HAVEL D KLEPONIS M NORMANDIA J RUNYEON M ZOLTOSKI AMSRD WM TB P BAKER R BANTON J STARKENBERG AMSRD WM TC R ANDERSON R COATES T FARRAND M FERREN-COKER (4 CPS) K KIMSEY L MAGNESS	3	COMMANDER US ARMY AMCOM AMSAM RD PS WF G SNYDER 2 CPS G JOHNSON D KIELSMEIER REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5000
		1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR TDC J HEDDERICH PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
		6	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH A S MUSALLI E LOGSDON A SEBASTO M PALATHINGAL S GHAZI R CARR PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
		1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSP I R COLLETT PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

3	PROJECT MANAGER MANEUVER AMMUNITION SYSTEMS AMO-MAS R DARCY D GUZIEWICZ W SANVILLE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	2	AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LAB AFATL DLJR J FOSTER D LAMBERT EGLIN AFB FL 32542-6810
3	COMMANDER US ARMY NGIC LANG SCC W GSTATTENBAUER J MORGAN R AIKEN 220 SEVENTH ST NE CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22902-5396	1	USAF PHILLIPS LABORATORY VTSI R ROYBAL KIRTLAND AFB NM 87117-7345
2	COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE J BAILEY S F DAVIS PO BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211		
12	COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR DAHLGREEN DIVISION H CHEN DLDICKINSON CODE G24 C R ELLINGTON C R GARRETT CODE G22 W HOLT CODE G22 W E HOYE G22 R MCKEOWN J M NELSON M J SILL CODE H11 W J SROTHER A B WARDLAW JR L F WILLIAMS CODE G33 17320 DAHLGREEN RD DAHLGREEN, VA 22448		