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PLASTICITY AND SPALL IN HIGH DENSITY POLYCRYSTALS: MODELING AND SIMULATION

John D. Clayton

Impact Physics Branch, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

Abstract. The high strain rate behavior of multiphase, high density metallic polycrystals is studied via constitutive modeling and numerical simulation. Crystalline elasto-plasticity models are developed to account for the thermomechanical response of each bulk phase, and a cohesive zone approach is invoked to model the response of grain and phase boundaries. The following physical phenomena are captured by the bulk constitutive models: finite deformations, temperature- and pressure-dependent elasticity, nonlinear thermal expansion, slip-system level viscoplasticity with thermal softening due to increasing dislocation mobility, and dislocation accumulation in conjunction with strain hardening and the stored energy of cold working. The cohesive laws account for stress-state and temperature effects on interfacial strengths and correlate with the spall strength of the multiphase material. Numerical results are obtained from a 2D finite element implementation of the model under impact loading, in which individual phases, grains, and interfaces within a two-phase tungsten alloy are fully resolved. Effects of lattice orientations, grain morphology, and cohesive model parameters are reflected in the spall behavior and statistics associated with predicted free surface velocity profiles.
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INTRODUCTION

This work investigates the dynamic response of a two-phase tungsten alloy of interest to the defense industry for use in Kinetic Energy (KE) penetration applications [1]. The material consists of pure tungsten (W) grains of body-centered-cubic structure embedded in a face-centered-cubic binder phase of W, nickel (Ni), and iron (Fe). In what follows, we develop crystal plasticity-based constitutive models for each phase that account for the effects of initial lattice orientation (i.e., texture) on the anisotropic stress-strain response [2, 3]. Additionally, cohesive zone models are formulated that account for separation at grain and phase boundaries as a result of the resolved stress components acting on these boundaries, and reflecting the experimentally observed increase in fracture toughness with increasing temperature, i.e., a brittle-to-ductile transition [4]. The theory is implemented in a dynamic Lagrangian finite element (FE) code [5], and 2D FE meshes are constructed of the initial microstructures of a KE rod sectioned either transversely or parallel to the rod axis (i.e., the extrusion direction). Simulations of high rate impact, wave propagation, and spall are conducted, reminiscent of conditions present in plate impact experiments [6, 7]. We show how statistical data acquired from simulations depict effects of the microstructure and model parameters.

THEORY

Only essential aspects of the constitutive theory are given here; the interested reader may
find more details in the references [2,3]. The
kinematics of thermo-elastoplasticity within each
phase of the bulk material is described by the
following decomposition of the deformation
gradient \( f \):

\[
f = \frac{\partial x}{\partial X} = f^r f^p,
\]

where \( f^r \) accounts for rotation of the lattice and
stretching due to applied stresses, \( f^p \) reflects
stress-free isotropic thermal expansion/contraction,
and \( f^p \) is the plastic stretch and rotation due to
inelastic mechanisms, namely dislocation glide.
Spatial and referential coordinates are denoted by \( x \)
and \( X \), respectively. The free energy per unit mass
\( \psi \) of each crystal is decomposed as

\[
\beta \psi = \frac{1}{2} K_o (\theta) \vartheta^2 - \frac{1}{3} K_i \vartheta^3 + \\
\mu(\theta) \vartheta' : \vartheta' + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \mu(\theta) \xi^2 + y(\theta),
\]

where \( \beta \) is the mass density in the intermediate
configuration, \( \theta \) is the temperature, \( \vartheta \) and \( \vartheta' \)
are respectively the scalar elastic volumetric strain and
the elastic deviatoric strain tensor in the
intermediate frame, \( \xi \) is an internal variable
associated with the internal energy contribution from the
microscopic stress fields of lattice defects, and \( y \) accounts for the specific heat. The nominal
bulk modulus and shear modulus are given by \( K_o \)
and \( \mu \), respectively, \( \kappa \) is a scalar parameter, and a
\( K_i \) leads to a volume- (i.e. pressure-) dependent
effective bulk modulus. Note that \( W \) is virtually
elastically isotropic at room temperature and low
pressure. The local energy balance is written as

\[
\rho \dot{\vartheta} = \sum \tau^{(a)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \rho \left( \frac{1}{4} \vartheta \vartheta' - \theta \left( \frac{1}{4} \vartheta \vartheta' \right) \right) \xi^2 \\
+ \rho \theta \vartheta \vartheta' \xi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \theta \vartheta' \xi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \theta \vartheta' \xi^2,
\]

where \( \rho \) is the spatial mass density, \( \dot{\vartheta} \) is the
specific heat capacity, \( \tau^{(a)} \) is the resolved Cauchy
stress on slip system \( \alpha \), \( \vartheta \) is the plastic slip rate,
and \( k \) is the thermal conductivity. The viscoplastic flow rule is given by

\[
\mathbf{\dot{\vartheta}} = \mathbf{\dot{\vartheta}}_o + \dot{\tau} \left( \theta - \theta_o \right) \frac{\delta i}{\delta i},
\]

with \( \mathbf{\dot{\vartheta}}_o \) the resolved Kirchhoff stress, \( \mathbf{\dot{\vartheta}} \) the
evolving temperature-dependent slip resistance, and \( \mathbf{\dot{\vartheta}}_o \) and \( m \) material parameters.

Interface separation is modeled by the cohesive zone approach, whereby crack initiation occurs when the resolved local stress component(s) exceed a user-prescribed value. In the present work, fractures occur when one of the following criteria is achieved locally:

\[
\dot{s} = \dot{s}_o + \dot{s} \left( \theta - \theta_o \right) \frac{\delta i}{\delta i},
\]

where \( \dot{s} \) is the resolved nominal normal stress at
the interface, \( \dot{\tau} \) is the resolved nominal shear
stress at the interface, and the remaining symbols
entering Eq. (5) are material parameters. Upon
damage initiation, the response of the degraded
material at interfaces is dictated by the following
irreversible traction-displacement relations:

\[
\dot{s} = \dot{s}_o + \dot{s} \left( \theta - \theta_o \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\delta} \right),
\]

\[
\dot{t} = \dot{t}_o + \dot{t} \left( \theta - \theta_o \right) \times
\left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\delta} \right),
\]

where \( \dot{s} \) is the resolved incremental normal stress at
the interface, \( \dot{t} \) is the resolved incremental shear
stress at the interface, and the remaining symbols
entering Eq. (5) are material parameters. Upon
damage initiation, the response of the degraded
material at interfaces is dictated by the following
irreversible traction-displacement relations:
with $\delta_n$ the normal crack opening displacement, $\delta_{n\text{m}}$ the maximum previous value of $\delta_n$, $\delta_t$ the tangential opening displacement, $\delta_{t\text{m}}$ the maximum previous value of $\delta_t$, and $c$ a material parameter defining the separation distance beyond which the damaged zone behaves as two free surfaces.

**NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION**

The constitutive models for the pure W and binder phases, as well as those for cohesive interfaces, were implemented within the EPIC dynamic finite element program [5]. For details regarding the FE implementation, please see [3].

Unstructured finite element meshes conforming to realistic microstructures were constructed from optical images of tungsten alloy KE rods, as depicted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Initial lattice orientations of the grains were designated to match experimentally-obtained data from an electron backscattering diffraction technique. The domain size was $L = 150 \mu m$, and on the order of 20000 plane strain triangular elements were used, with more refined areas of the mesh concentrated in the vicinity of grain and phase boundaries. The OOF meshing software [8] was used to this end. Four-node cohesive elements were inserted along these boundaries, with coincident nodes constrained to remain rigidly bonded in the simulations until either of the criteria (5) was attained.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Here we report on impact, wave propagation, and spall behavior of the tungsten alloy. For these simulations, the following boundary conditions were applied:

- Along $X^1 = 0$, a short velocity impulse of duration 10 ns was applied in the positive 1-direction, corresponding to an impact velocity in a plate impact experiment of 250 m/s. Subsequently, the boundary $X^1 = 0$ was held rigid.
- Along $X^1 = L$, null traction conditions were specified.
- Along $X^2 = 0$ and $X^2 = L$, either non-reflecting conditions [5] or uniaxial strain conditions (i.e. null velocity in the 2-direction) were imposed in various simulations.
- Null heat flux conditions were specified along all external surfaces.

The above boundary conditions create a rightward-moving compressive wave that travels through the grid, reflects off the free surface at $X^1 = L$ as a tensile wave, then potentially leading to spall fracture within the sample.

Deformed FE meshes for an equiaxed microstructure in Fig. 3, at an elapsed simulation time of 100 ns, illustrate the spall separation at the material interfaces. On the left image in Fig. 3, we used $\ddot{s}_t = \ddot{t}_t = 0$ in Eqs. (5)-(7), meaning that the brittle-ductile transition was not addressed. On the
right image of Fig. 3, nonzero values were used for \( \dot{s}_i \) and \( \dot{\tau}_i \). Different fracture patterns emerge, with fracture suppressed in the right figure relative to the left due to cohesive strengthening following the temperature rise that occurs commensurate with wave propagation and plastic dissipation.

**FIGURE 3.** Deformed FE meshes at \( t = 100 \) ns. Temperature-independent cohesive law used on left simulation, temperature-dependent law used on right.

![Deformed FE meshes](image)

**Figure 4.** Standard deviation in free surface velocity for selected simulations featuring various microstructures and cohesive parameters.

Figure 4 shows the time history of the standard deviation in the free surface velocities recorded at \( X = L \). Prior to \( t = 25 \) ns, velocities are zero as the compressive wave has not yet reached the surface. We see that the velocity statistics are influenced by grain shape (i.e., equiaxed versus elongated) and choice of cohesive parameters. In the extreme case of infinite cohesive strength in which spall fractures are suppressed, the standard deviation values are generally much smaller than the other cases in which spallation occurs.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A numerical model of plastic deformation and spallation in multiphase metallic crystals has been exercised, capturing finite anisotropic elasto-plasticity, thermodynamics of energy storage, dissipation, and heat conduction, as well as interfacial fracture. The results presented here indicate relationships between microstructural features, fracture patterns, and free surface velocity statistics. Such information should provide increased understanding of experimentally-obtained results from plate impact tests [6, 7].
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