



## **A Preparation Technique for Microscopy Samples of Mechanically Alloyed Nickel-Coated Aluminum Powders**

**by George T. Dewing, Franklyn R. Kellogg,  
Bradley R. Klotz, and Laszlo J. Kecskes**

**ARL-TN-0256**

**April 2006**

## **NOTICES**

### **Disclaimers**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

**DESTRUCTION NOTICE**—For unclassified, limited documents, destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

# **Army Research Laboratory**

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

---

**ARL-TN-0256**

**April 2006**

---

## **A Preparation Technique for Microscopy Samples of Mechanically Alloyed Nickel-Coated Aluminum Powders**

**George T. Dewing, Franklyn R. Kellogg,  
and Laszlo J. Kecskes**  
**Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL**

**Bradley R. Klotz**  
**Dynamic Science, Inc.**

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>					
<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> April 2006		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Final		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b> September 2005 to March 2006	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> A Preparation Technique for Microscopy Samples of Mechanically Alloyed Nickel-Coated Aluminum Powders			<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b>		
			<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>		
			<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>		
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> George T. Dewing, Franklyn R. Kellogg, and Laszlo J. Kecskes (all of ARL); Bradley R. Klotz (DSI)			<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> 1L1622618.H80		
			<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b>		
			<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>		
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> U.S. Army Research Laboratory Weapons and Materials Research Directorate Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b> ARL-TN-0256		
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>			<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>		
			<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b>		
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b>  A method for the preparation of fine powders for microscopic examination of their cross section is described. Heavily worked nickel-coated aluminum powders were mounted in epoxy and polished to a mirror finish to allow for the characterization of their interior structure. The method presented would be applicable to all types of finely divided media.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> aluminum; method; microscopy; mounting; nickel; powder; resin					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>  SAR	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>  15	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b> George T. Dewing
<b>a. REPORT</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> UNCLASSIFIED			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)</b> 410-306-0722

---

## Contents

---

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Experimental Procedure</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3. Results and Discussion</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4. Conclusions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Distribution List</b>	<b>5</b>

---

## List of Figures

---

- Figure 1. Schematic of preparation of the powder plug which is filled with resin, allowed to cure, then remounted and prepared metallographically for microscopy.....2
- Figure 2. Backscattered SEM micrographs of the high energy milled nickel-coated aluminum in the (a) as-received, (b) 5-minute milled, (c) 10-minute milled, and (d) 30-minute milled conditions demonstrate the rapid intermixing of the two precursors. ....3

---

## **Acknowledgments**

---

This research was supported in part by an appointment to the research participation program at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE), Tennessee, through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and ARL.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

---

## 1. Introduction

---

The alloying process between elemental nickel and aluminum precursors is being studied on a microscopic level. We conduct alloying by subjecting a specially prepared nickel-coated aluminum powder to extreme deformation in a high energy mechanical ball mill. The breakdown, deformation, and intimate mixing between the two elements take place very rapidly so that microscopic observation of the exterior surface of the powders is insufficient to adequately interpret the effectiveness of the milling process. Particularly, usually one element smears over the other, obscuring the mixing process. Consequently, examination of the exterior morphology must be complemented by an examination of the interior of the particles. Therefore, a need arose to develop a reliable technique to facilitate the evaluation of cross sections of a representative population of the fine particles.

---

## 2. Experimental Procedure

---

To demonstrate the powder preparation technique, two nickel-coated aluminum powder samples, labeled A and B, were prepared in a high energy ball mill. Two grams of each composition were weighed and added to separate steel milling vials with two 7/16-inch steel pellets and five 1/16-inch steel pellets in each vial. The amount of powder used in this experiment is more than enough for preparing microscopic specimens. On many occasions, there is much less powder available for mounting. The specimen mounting technique described offers sufficient flexibility for larger and smaller amounts of powder.

The vials containing the powder samples were loaded into the mill, which was situated in a glove box equipped with a cooling system. The glove box was then filled with argon gas and evacuated several times so that it would be purged of air. Milling was performed inside the cooled glove box in an argon atmosphere to prevent oxidation of the powders, as well as overheating of the vials. Each composition was run at nine different time intervals: the first four runs were at 5, 10, 15, and 30 minutes, and the other five runs were at 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 hours. Additional samples of the B composition were mixed with 30 milliliters of ethanol and milled for the same time periods (four runs at 5, 10, 15, and 30 minutes and five runs at 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 hours). After milling, the samples were removed from the vials and bagged. (The samples milled in ethanol were exposed to air and allowed to air dry in the vials before being removed.)

Representative samples of each milled powder then needed to be mounted in an epoxy resin and polished to allow for cross-sectional examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The technique developed to obtain the best representation of a large population of particles for use in microscopy is shown in the schematic in figure 1. First, we created a loosely packed powder plug by pouring powder from each milled sample into the tip of a small, 1/4-inch-diameter

plastic pipette. Enough powder was used to create a plug approximately 1/4-inch high from the tip of the pipette. After packing each pipette, we added a few drops of mounting resin to each sample. The sample was placed in a bell jar, and a partial vacuum (about 1 torr) was “pulled” to assist in drawing the liquid resin into the powder bed. If the application of a vacuum was not adequate to draw the resin into the powder bed, a thin wooden pick was used to agitate the mixture to properly disperse the powder in the epoxy. The samples were then allowed to cure overnight. After curing, the plastic pipette sheaths were removed and the hardened pre-mounted samples were placed into 1-1/4-inch-diameter plastic cups with mounting clips to hold the samples vertically in the bottom of the cup. Enough mounting resin was then added to the cups to create approximately 3/4-inch-thick standard SEM mounts. The samples were then allowed to cure under vacuum.

After mounting, the samples were polished for microscopy. They were sequentially ground on a series of silicon carbide papers, with grit sizes of 320, 500, 1000, 1200, 2400, and 4000 (increasing grit number corresponds to a decreasing abrasive size). Samples were ground for 20 to 30 seconds on each paper. Final polishing was done on a 1-micron diamond wheel for 20 to 30 seconds. After the mounts were cleaned in alcohol to remove dirt, polishing residue, and other contaminants, they were examined by SEM.




Figure 1. Schematic of preparation of the powder plug which is filled with resin, allowed to cure, then remounted and prepared metallographically for microscopy.

---

### 3. Results and Discussion

---

The use of the mounting technique described previously is critical to generate a large enough collection of particles for examination by SEM. Previous attempts at mounting powders for cross-sectional examination involved pouring the powder directly into the 1-1/4-inch diameter mounting cup and adding the epoxy resin. However, this technique resulted in a thinly spread dispersion of powder in the epoxy mount, which was often polished away during grinding of the sample. It also made the creation of the conductive path required for SEM examination difficult in that the powder was too spread out to adequately create a path between the particles.

SEM micrographs of the composition-A powder at different milling times are shown in figure 2, which displays the rapid breakdown and comminution (pulverization) of the coated powder. In the images, the light areas correspond to the nickel coating, the dark gray areas are the aluminum particles, and the black regions correspond to the epoxy resin. The nickel layer on the particles was observed to separate and fragment, forming alternating layers of nickel and aluminum that continued to be refined and more intimately mixed. Samples of composition A milled for longer times and samples of composition B have been prepared but not yet examined in the SEM.




Figure 2. Backscattered SEM micrographs of the high energy milled nickel-coated aluminum in the (a) as-received, (b) 5-minute milled, (c) 10-minute milled, and (d) 30-minute milled conditions demonstrate the rapid intermixing of the two precursors.

The micrographs clearly illustrate that this modification in the mounting technique permits the simultaneous evaluation of several particles. During pre-mounting and re-mounting, the epoxy appeared to infiltrate well into the powder plug so that there are no apparent voids. Also, as apparent in the figures, there is little or no debonding or pull-out<sup>1</sup> of the nickel layer. This is indicative that there was good adhesion between the epoxy and powder particles. While this mounting technique did require the additional step of creating the powder plug, the overall procedure was seen to expedite the microscopic evaluation process, as well as improve the particle adhesion and retention in the mounting medium.

---

#### **4. Conclusions**

---

A convenient technique was developed for the mounting and polishing of loose powders. This technique permits the examination of finely divided powder particle cross sections. While the overall research effort is continuing, it is of interest to observe the deformation and extent of intermixing between the nickel and aluminum precursors after milling.

---

<sup>1</sup>Pull-out refers to the particles separating from the epoxy mount, leaving a hole behind.

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL  
(PDF INFORMATION CTR  
ONLY) DTIC OCA  
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD  
STE 0944  
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 US ARMY RSRCH DEV & ENGRG CMD  
SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS  
INTEGRATION  
AMSRD SS T  
6000 6TH ST STE 100  
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5608

1 INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY  
THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN  
3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400  
AUSTIN TX 78759-5316

1 DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB  
IMNE ALC IMS  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB  
AMSRD ARL CI OK TL  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

2 DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB  
AMSRD ARL CS OK T  
2800 POWDER MILL RD  
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 OFC OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
J CHRISTODOULOU  
ONR CODE 332  
800 N QUINCY ST  
ARLINGTON VA 22217-5600

1 DARPA  
L CHRISTODOULOU  
3701 N FAIRFAX DR  
ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

4 NIST  
F BIANCANIELLO  
S RIDDER  
S MATES  
100 BUREAU DR  
GAITHERSBURG MD 20899

1 GA TECH RESEARCH INST  
GA INST OF TCHNLGY  
N THADHANI  
ATLANTA GA 30392

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

1 DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY  
ATTN AMSRD ARL CI OK (TECH LIB)  
BLDG 4600

87 DIR USARL  
AMSRD ARL CI  
AMSRD ARL O AP EG M ADAMSON  
AMSRD ARL SL BM D BELY  
AMSRD ARL WM J SMITH  
AMSRD ARL WM B M ZOLTOSKI  
T KOGLER  
AMSRD ARL WM BA D LYON  
AMSRD ARL WM BC J NEWILL  
P PLOSTINS  
AMSRD ARL WM BD P CONROY  
B FORCH M LEADORE  
C LEVERITT R LIEB  
R PESCE-RODRIGUEZ  
A ZIELINSKI  
AMSRD ARL WM BF S WILKERSON  
AMSRD ARL WM M J MCCAULEY  
S MCKNIGHT R DOWDING  
AMSRD ARL WM MA L GHIORSE  
M VANLANDINGHAM  
J HIRVONEN T JULIANO  
E WETZEL  
AMSRD ARL WM MB J BENDER  
T BOGETTI J BROWN  
L BURTON R CARTER  
K CHO W DE ROSSET  
G DEWING (10 CY)  
W DRYSDALE R EMERSON  
D GRAY D HOPKINS  
R KASTE L KECSKES  
M MINNICINO B POWERS  
D SNOHA J SOUTH  
M STAKER J SWAB  
J TZENG

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

AMSRD ARL WM MC R BOSSOLI  
S CORNELISON  
D GRANVILLE B HART  
M MAHER F PIERCE  
E RIGAS W SPURGEON  
AMSRD ARL WM MD B CHEESEMAN  
E CHIN P DEHMER  
R DOOLEY S GHIORSE  
M KLUSEWITZ J LASALVIA  
J MONTGOMERY J SANDS  
D SPAGNUOLO S WALSH  
S WOLF  
AMSRD ARL WM RP J BORNSTEIN  
C SHOEMAKER  
AMSRD ARL WM T B BURNS  
AMSRD ARL WM TA N BRUCHEY  
M BURKINS W GILLICH  
B GOOCH T HAVEL  
C HOPPEL E HORWATH  
J RUNYEON S SCHOENFELD  
AMSRD ARL WM TB P BAKER  
AMSRD ARL WM TC R COATES  
B SORENSEN  
AMSRD ARL WM TD D DANDEKAR  
M RAFTENBERG  
T WEERASOORIYA  
AMSRD ARL WM TE CHIEF  
J POWELL

NO. OF  
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 TNO DEFENSE SECURITY & SAFETY  
E. CARTON  
LANGE KLEIWEG 137  
P.O. BOX 45  
2280 AA RIJSWIJK  
THE NETHERLANDS