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This report explores the geometrical effects of a cylindrical chopper wheel on calculations of power coupling efficiency and noise equivalent temperature difference (NETD) of array elements. The overall efficiency of the cylindrical chopper wheel is found to be about 53% from geometrical arguments. In the limit that a detector beam fills the chopper aperture, if this efficiency is not accounted for, it can lead to detector NETD values that are a factor of 1.9 too high when calculated using standard double-modulation measurement techniques. For the particular geometry used with this setup, a power coupling efficiency of 78% was calculated for typical detector elements, resulting in values of detector NETD that were too large by a factor of 1.3 when the coupling efficiency was unaccounted for. This factor plays a role in accounting for some of the discrepancy between NETD values calculated based on diode sensitivity estimates and NETDs measured for individual array elements using double-modulation measurements.
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Summary

This report explores the geometrical effects of a cylindrical chopper wheel on calculations of power coupling efficiency and noise equivalent temperature difference (NETD) of array elements. The overall efficiency of the cylindrical chopper wheel is found to be about 53% from geometrical arguments. In the limit that a detector beam fills the chopper aperture, if this efficiency is not accounted for, it can lead to detector NETD values that are a factor of 1.9 too high when calculated using standard double-modulation measurement techniques. For the particular geometry used with this setup, a power coupling efficiency of 78% was calculated for typical detector elements, resulting in values of detector NETD that were too large by a factor of 1.3 when the coupling efficiency was unaccounted for. This factor plays a role in accounting for some of the discrepancy between NETD values calculated based on diode sensitivity estimates and NETDs measured for individual array elements using double-modulation measurements.
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1. Introduction

We consider the effects of optical chopper geometry on calculations of power coupling efficiency and NETD of detector elements in the U.S. Army Research Laboratory’s (ARL) 32-pixel Linear Millimeter-wave Array. We briefly summarize the relevant properties of the array pertaining to this setup. An overview of the array, the cylindrical chopper, and its geometry are presented in reference 1. The instrument is a 32-channel, zero-bias, room temperature detector array designed for operation in the 80–140 GHz range for passive millimeter-wave (mm-wave) imaging applications. At its heart, it incorporates antimony (Sb)-heterostructure backward tunnel diodes (BTDs) fabricated on gallium arsenide (GaAs) substrates that were developed at HRL Laboratories (2) as low-noise, low-cost mm-wave imaging sensors capable of high sensitivity, room temperature operation as part of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) Microantenna Arrays: Technology and Applications (MIATA) program (3). In order to achieve a good match with minimal losses over a 60-GHz bandwidth, BTDs were flip-chip mounted to quartz substrates with the detector chip located inside of the horn antenna output waveguide sections. Diagonal horns with cylindrical ridged waveguide outputs were used to achieve the large operational bandwidth, and coupling to the waveguide was accomplished via E-plane probes patterned on the quartz chip (4).

The low-noise and zero-bias characteristics of these detectors alleviate the need for signal pre-amplification and bias-routing circuitry, greatly simplifying the overall array architecture. The zero-bias diodes are limited by thermal (Johnson) noise and are free from both 1/f and shot noise. Since low signal levels and high noise remain significant challenges for room temperature passive mm-wave and terahertz (THz) imaging, the sensitive, low-noise performance of the diode detectors was a key consideration of the array design. To reduce the overall noise and enable synchronous detection of the array output, a long cylindrical chopper wheel was designed to optically chop the imaged scene. Ultra-low-noise readout electronics compatible with room temperature video-rate passive imaging applications were designed for use with the array/chopper wheel system (5). In this work, we explore the effects of the cylindrical chopper geometry on calculations of detector power coupling efficiency and NETD. An overview of the cylindrical chopper and its potential limitations is presented in section 2. Section 3 addresses detector power coupling efficiency and its effect on NETD calculations specific to the geometry of this experiment and a brief summary of these results is presented in section 4.
2. Methods, Assumptions, and Procedures

Figure 1 shows a side-view diagram of the chopper relative to the detector elements and the scene being imaged. The chopper wheel consists of a rotating metal half-cylinder that has been anodized. The two quarter sections of the half-cylinder are located 180° apart so that, in the orientation shown in figure 1 with solid lines, the linear array has a maximally unobstructed view of the scene. The chopper blades are located at the top and bottom of the figure in this case. The metal half-cylinder chopper is a single rigid body of radius 5 cm ($R_i = 4.84$ cm) that pivots about a central axis and may be driven at frequencies in excess of 50 Hz by a motor. The array line of sight is coincident with the central axis of the chopper. Let $\phi$ be the angle between the line of sight and the chopper blade as shown in figure 1 as the chopper spins in a counterclockwise direction from $\phi = 0°$. Note that the projected height of the scene visible from the array varies with $\phi$ as the chopper wheel turns and is represented in the diagram as $h$. The maximum viewable height of the scene occurs in the orientation shown by solid lines in figure 1, where $\phi = \phi_0$ and $h = h_{\text{max}}$, and is equal to $2h_{\text{max}}$. Because each metal section of the chopper subtends 90°, $\phi_0 = 45°$. Expressions for $h$ and $h_{\text{max}}$ are as follows:

$$h = R_i \cdot \sin \phi \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\text{max}} = R_i \cdot \sin \phi_0$$

Figure 1. Diagram showing a side view of the ARL mm-wave array, cylindrical optical chopper (metal blades are shown toward the top and bottom), and the scene being imaged.

Figure 2 was generated from equation 1 and shows the normalized projected height, $h_{\text{tot}}/(2h_{\text{max}})$, of the scene that is visible to the detector as the chopper turns counterclockwise one complete revolution. As shown in the figure, $h_{\text{tot}}$ represents the total projected height above and below the line of sight drawn in figure 1 and the chopper revolution begins at $\phi = 0°$. As the chopper wheel rotates counterclockwise from a fully closed position at $\phi = 0°$ to $\phi_0$, the total projected height
increases to its maximum value. Rotating from $\phi = \phi_0$ to $2\phi_0$, the falling edge of the top blade and the rising edge of the bottom blade of the chopper begin to occult the visible scene until the chopper is again fully closed. The scene remains fully occulted until the cycle repeats with a period of 180°. The resulting triangular-shaped total projected height curve is shown in figure 2 (solid contour) over one full revolution of the chopper wheel. For comparison, the results of a perfect chopper (one which chops between the reference and scene instantaneously) are shown in dashed contours. Figure 2 indicates that, unlike the perfect chopper, the duty cycle of the array chopper wheel is about 25%. Between $\phi = 0°$ and 90° and again between $\phi = 180°$ and 270°, the total projected view visible through the chopper contains both the chopper blades and the scene (with temperatures $T_{chopper}$ and $T_{scene}$, respectively). This can be thought of as a scene with a temperature dependant on how much of the scene is occulted by the chopper as the wheel rotates through half a period (90°). The effective temperature of the scene is related to the ratio of areas under the curves shown in figure 2 by the following:

$$T_{eff} = T_{chopper} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{A_h}{A_{tot}} \right) \right] + T_{scene} \left( \frac{A_h}{A_{tot}} \right)$$

(2)

Figure 2. Normalized total projected height of the scene (solid line) as dictated by the array’s cylindrical chopper geometry compared with a perfect chopper (dashed line) as a function of rotation angle $\phi$. Areas under each curve from $\phi = 0°$ and 90° are indicated.

Standard numerical integration techniques were used to compute the area under the curve of projected height in figure 2, $A_h$, resulting in $A_h/A_{tot} = 53\%$. For chopper and scene temperatures of 295 and 77 K, respectively, this results in $T_{eff} = 180$ K. Instead of observing an effective temperature difference of 218 K between the chopper and cold scene, a 115 K temperature difference ($295\ K - T_{eff}$) results for the cylindrical chopper. Depending on the specifics of the setup, including the location of the detector array ($L_0$) and chopper geometry ($R_i$), this will lead to detector beam coupling efficiencies that are lower and calculations of NETD that are
correspondingly higher if geometric effects are unaccounted for. In the limit where a detector beam fills the chopper aperture, standard double-modulation measurement techniques result in NETD calculations that are a factor of 1.9 too high, since NETD is directly proportional to temperature difference.

### 3. Results and Discussion

For the particular configuration used with the ARL array, \( L_0 \) and \( R \) are 8 and 4.84 cm, respectively. Using equation 1 and figure 1, the angle between the line-of-sight axis and the chopper blade edge, \( \theta \), is expressed as follows:

\[
\theta = \sin^{-1}\left[ \frac{(R \sin \phi)}{\sqrt{(L_0 + R \cos \phi)^2 + (R \sin \phi)^2}} \right]
\]

(3)

Four such angles can be simultaneously described because there are four blade edges. Let \( \theta_{\text{top}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{bottom}} \) represent the minimum angles (in absolute value) defined above and below the line-of-sight axis by the edges as the chopper rotates; \( \theta_{\text{tot}} \) is the total angle, \( \theta_{\text{tot}} = \theta_{\text{top}} + | \theta_{\text{bottom}} | \). Figure 3 shows all three of these curves, \( \theta_{\text{top}}, \theta_{\text{bottom}}, \) and \( \theta_{\text{tot}} \), as a function of \( \phi \) over one complete rotation of the chopper wheel. Figure 4 (left) shows a comparison between normalized \( \theta_{\text{tot}} \) for the chopper geometry used with the ARL array and what would be expected from a perfect chopper. The angular efficiency is described by the ratio of the areas under both curves shown in figure 4 (left) over half a period (\( \phi = 0^\circ \) to \( 90^\circ \), for example) and is about 80%. In order to relate this result back to NETD, \( \theta_{\text{tot}} \) is used to calculate the power coupling to a typical array element feed horn.
Figure 3. Angles between the detector array and chopper blades with respect to the line-of-sight axis for the particular geometry used with the ARL array. $\theta_{\text{tot}}$ represents the total angle subtended by the scene visible to the detectors as the chopper rotates a complete revolution.

Note: $\theta_{\text{bottom}}$ values are shown as negative numbers.

Figure 4. (Left) Normalized angle $\theta_{\text{tot}}$ for the ARL array cylindrical chopper (solid line) and a perfect chopper wheel (dashed line); the angular efficiency is ~80%. (Right) Principal plane copolar radiation power pattern for a typical ARL array diagonal horn antenna. Note that the normalized angle is $u/\pi = (d\delta)/\lambda$ sin $\delta$. Since $d/\lambda = 3$, the range corresponds to $\delta = 0 – 90^\circ$.

Power patterns for a typical ARL array diagonal horn antenna were calculated from expressions for the principal plane copolar electric field (6):

$$E_{co} = \frac{\sin u/\sqrt{2}}{u/\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\cos u/\sqrt{2}}{1 - (2u^2/\pi^2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
Note that the principal plane cross-polar field is 0, \( d \) is the length of the sides of the diagonal horn aperture, \( u = (\pi d/\lambda) \sin \delta \), and for the array horn antennas used in this work, \( d/\lambda = 3 \). Using equation 4, the normalized copolar radiation power pattern was calculated and plotted in figure 4 (right) as a function of angle \( \delta \) with respect to the principle axis of the horn antenna from \( \delta = 0^\circ \) (on-axis) to \( \delta = 90^\circ \) (corresponding to a range of \( u/\pi = 0 – 3 \)). Note that the normalized power pattern is symmetric with respect to the horizontal axis. This power pattern is used in conjunction with figure 3 to determine the power coupling efficiency. The coupling efficiency is the ratio of the total integrated power pattern over the angular region containing the visible scene \((\theta_{\text{bottom}} \text{ to } \theta_{\text{top}})\) to the total power calculated over the maximally visible scene (e.g., what would be expected from a perfect chopper). The resulting power coupling ratio for any chopper rotation angle \( \phi \) is given by the following expression:

\[
R(\phi) = \frac{\int_{\theta_{\text{bottom}}}^{\theta_{\text{top}}} E_{\text{co}}^2(\delta) \, d\delta}{\int_{-90^\circ}^{90^\circ} E_{\text{co}}^2(\delta) \, d\delta}
\]  

(5)

Note that for any angle \( \phi \), values of \( \theta \) are determined using equation 3 for each edge of the chopper blade and that \( \theta_{\text{bottom}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{top}} \) are shown in figure 3 as a function of \( \phi \). Note that for a setup including a perfect chopper, the entire scene is visible and the total integrated power calculated is a constant. The power coupling ratio equation 5 was used along with figures 3 and 4 to find the total normalized power coupling for a typical array element horn antenna for the setup used in this experiment as a function of chopper rotation angle, \( \phi \). The results are shown in figure 5. This figure shows the calculation of power coupling ratio equation 5 over a quarter revolution (half-period) of the chopper wheel, \( \phi = 0^\circ \) to \( 90^\circ \) and the area beneath the curve describes the total power coupling efficiency for typical elements of the ARL linear array. The resulting power coupling efficiency was found by numerical integration techniques and is 78\%, similar to the 80\% angular efficiency calculated previously. Since the ARL array elements are square-law detectors, their signal is proportional to the total incident power of the scene and the power coupling efficiency. Standard double-modulation techniques, where detector response is recorded while room temperature and liquid nitrogen cooled loads are alternately placed in front of the chopper wheel, were used to calculate detector NETD. NETD values determined by this method are inversely proportional to the power coupling efficiency. In other words, a 78\% power coupling efficiency results in NETD calculations that are too large by a factor of about 1.3 if power coupling efficiency is not properly accounted for and a perfect chopper is assumed instead.
Figure 5. Power coupling efficiency, $R$, for a typical array horn antenna for the setup described in this work is shown as a function of rotation angle $\phi$ over a half period of the chopper wheel. The overall power coupling efficiency is 78% compared with a perfect chopper.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have calculated the efficiency of the cylindrical chopper wheel currently being used with the ARL 32-pixel Linear Millimeter-wave Array and determined its effects on power coupling and calculated values of NETD for typical array elements. From geometrical arguments, we find that the overall efficiency of the cylindrical chopper wheel is about 53%. Standard double-modulation measurement techniques result in NETD calculations that are too large if this efficiency is unaccounted for. For example, in the limit where the detector field of view spans entire chopper aperture, NETD calculations determined by this method are too large by a factor of 1.9. For the particular system geometry and array element horn antennas used in this setup, we calculate an overall power coupling efficiency of 78% for typical array elements. Double-modulation measurements made with the current array/chopper wheel configuration result in NETD calculations that are correspondingly too high by a factor of 1.3.
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