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## Abstract
This report was written by the author under the Engineer Scientist Exchange Program in the US Army Research Laboratory (ARL) from April 2013 to September 2014. To understand the loading mechanics of the anthropomorphic test device’s (ATD’s) lower leg in the presence of the blast-mitigating floor mat, the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) was conducted in various loading conditions. Through the FEA’s results, the pulse-duration dependency was indicated in the ATD lower-tibia peak load, and the dependency was confirmed though the loading experiment. The ATD lower-leg loading mechanics are discussed with regard to pulse duration.
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1. Introduction

Lower leg injury is a major injury mode induced by under body blast loading associated with IED (improvised explosive device) attacks against ground fighting vehicles.\textsuperscript{1–4} Blast-mitigating floor mats are regarded as an effective countermeasure for injury prevention,\textsuperscript{5,6} and the methodology for their selection and optimization is in immediate need. However, the loading mechanics in the lower leg in the presence of the floor mat is not fully understood. Thus, we determined a need to clarify the mechanics through the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) by combining the Hybrid III 50\textsuperscript{th} Percentile Anthropomorphic Test Device (ATD) lower leg and the butyl-rubber floor mat.

In this study, we conducted the FEA and experiments on the ATD lower-leg loading in various conditions. Then, we clarified the stress distribution on the boot sole and investigated the correlation between the foot motion and lower-tibia load that is a major criterion for the lower leg injury. Moreover, we focused on the influence of the pulse duration in the ATD lower-tibia force and clarified the loading mechanics from this point of view.

2. Analysis and Experiment Methods

2.1 Finite Element Model

The finite element (FE) model was built on LS-DYNA for the ATD lower-leg loading with the butyl-rubber floor mat, as shown in Fig. 1. In this model, the lower-leg part was composed of the boot and the right leg from the Hybrid III 50\textsuperscript{th} Percentile ATD FE model developed by Livermore Software Technology Corporation (LSTC). The lower-leg part was positioned in the 0–90–0 configuration, and the boot sole was settled on the floor mat to touch the surface. The butyl-rubber floor mat was composed of 2 sheets; the dimensions of each sheet were 8 inches × 8 inches × 20 millimeters (mm).
The material cards used for the floor mat and floor plate are listed in Table 1. The FE material model for the butyl rubber was the ARL model; a previous report concluded that this model was suitable for the analysis of underbody blast-loading events. The ARL model showed high accuracy, especially in 4–8-millisecond (msec) pulse loading with 3.0–6.0 meters per second (m/s) velocity change.

### Table 1 FE material models for the floor-mat and floor-plate parts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Model Type in LS-DYNA</th>
<th>Model Description /Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor Mat</td>
<td>Butyl Rubber</td>
<td>MAT_183_SIMPLIFIED_RUBBER_WEAR_DAMAGE</td>
<td>Incompressible Rubber Model with Strain-Rate Dependent Loading / Unloading Curves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Plate</td>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>MAT_020_RIGID</td>
<td>RO=2.816e-6 (kg/mm³) E=70 (GPa) PR=0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, we focused on the lower-tibia load and boot-sole load for the clarification of the ATD lower-leg loading mechanics. The lower-tibia loads, Fx, Fy, and Fz, were measured as the section force in the ATD tibia bone as shown in Fig. 2.
As will be discussed in Section 3, there were distinct high-stress areas in the toe part and heel part on the boot sole. Therefore, we defined the toe part and heel part (Fig. 3) and measured the applied loads respectively. Moreover, an SAE600 filter was applied to each load output in LS-Prepost.
2.2 Loading Conditions in the FEA

To clarify the ATD lower-leg loading mechanics, the loading conditions listed in Table 2 were planned for the FEA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity Change on the Floor Plate, $\Delta V$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Pulse Duration, $\Delta T$ (msec)</th>
<th>Condition Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X030_02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X030_04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X030_06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>X030_08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>X030_10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X065_02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X065_04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X065_06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>X065_08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>X065_10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X100_02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X100_04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X100_06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>X100_08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>X100_10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These loading conditions were applied to the FE model as the velocity histories on the floor plate. The boundary conditions in the FEA are shown in Fig. 4.
The velocity histories on the floor plate were determined by using the loading conditions and assuming haversine-type acceleration inputs. Moreover, 5-msec initialization phases were considered in the velocity histories for the lower-leg FE model to be settled down on the floor mat. Thus, the velocity history shown in Figs. 5–7 was generated on the floor plate in each loading condition.
2.3 Lower-Leg Loading Test

The lower-leg loading test was conducted on the Crew Survivability Blast Effects Simulator (CSBES) at the Adelphi Laboratory Center, ARL. As shown in Fig. 8, the whole body of the Hybrid III 50th Percentile ATD (I-Dummy) was used in this experiment. However, only the local loading function (Floor Motion Simulator) was activated in the CSBES to load the ATD lower leg as the loading in the FEA. The test setup was adjusted in the same way as the FE model: the ATD lower leg with boot was positioned in the 0–90–0 configuration on the floor mat, and the boot sole was settled on the floor mat to touch the surface.
The floor mat was composed of 4 sheets of butyl rubber (Fig. 9), and the dimensions of each sheet were 8 inches × 8 inches × 20 mm. The sheets at first were replaced by new ones at every shot but later reused after the confirmation of the material’s condition. The interval between the usages of a sheet was kept to more than an hour for the material’s relaxation.

The arrangements of sensors in the test setup are shown in Fig. 10, and the specifications are listed in Table 3. The signals from sensors were recorded in the data-acquisition systems.
(Spectral Dynamics’s VXI Model VX2824 and Diversified Technical Systems’ SLICE) at the sampling frequency of 250 kHz. Then, direct-current offset and filters (Table 3) were applied to each signal in postprocessing on the data-analysis software (MathWorks, MATLAB). The test was also recorded by the high-speed imaging camera (Phantom, Miro) for the confirmation of the contact between the boot sole and floor mat.

As will be discussed, the FEA results indicated there was a relation between the pulse duration and peak load in the ATD lower tibia. Therefore, the loading conditions listed in Table 4 were planned to confirm the relation through the experiment.
Table 4  Loading conditions for the ATD lower-leg loading test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity Change on the Floor Plate, $\Delta V$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Pulse Duration, $\Delta T$ (msec)</th>
<th>Condition Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>X065_04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>X065_08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>X065_10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 FEA Results

Representative load histories of the ATD lower tibia in the FEA are shown in Fig. 11, and FEA results are summarized in Table 5. As compared with the lower-tibia axial force $F_z$, the perpendicular forces $F_x$ and $F_y$ are much smaller; the ATD lower tibia is probably loaded vertically through the boot heel. Therefore, we mainly focus on $F_z$ in the following discussion.

Fig. 11  Representative load histories of the ATD lower tibia in the FEA, X065_08 ($\Delta V = 6.5$ m/s, $\Delta T = 8$ msec)
A typical ATD foot motion in the FEA is shown in Fig. 12. In the initial loading phase, the floor plate and floor mat translate upward as one, and the boot sole sinks into the floor mat. In the following phase, the foot rotates slightly around the ankle joint, and the boot toe possibly loses contact with the floor mat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading Condition</th>
<th>Velocity Change, $\Delta V$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Pulse Duration, $\Delta T$ (msec)</th>
<th>Peak Lower Tibia Load, $F_z$ (kN)</th>
<th>Applied Impulse (Nsec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X030_02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X030_04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X030_06</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X030_08</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X030_10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X065_02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X065_04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X065_06</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X065_08</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X065_10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X100_02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X100_04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X100_06</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X100_08</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X100_10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Figs. 13–15, representative pressure distributions on the footprint also indicate this motion. The boot toe does not always touch the floor mat during the loading, although the boot heel is pressed onto the floor mat during the whole period of the loading.

Fig. 12  Representative ATD lower-leg motion in the FEA, X065_08 ($\Delta V = 6.5$ m/s, $\Delta T = 8$ msec)

Fig. 13  Pressure distribution under the boot sole in the FEA result, X065_02 ($\Delta V = 6.5$ m/s, $\Delta T = 2$ msec)
Moreover, the load histories on the ATD lower tibia, boot toe, boot heel, and whole boot are shown in Figs. 16–18 for representative loading conditions. Thus, the pulse duration of the loading probably affects the ATD lower-tibia load. From this point of view, we will discuss the ATD lower-leg loading mechanics later in this report.

Fig. 14  Pressure distribution under the boot sole in the FEA result, X065_06 ($\Delta V = 6.5$ m/s, $\Delta T = 6$ msec)

Fig. 15  Pressure distribution under the boot sole in the FEA result, X065_10 ($\Delta V = 6.5$ m/s, $\Delta T = 10$ msec)
Fig. 16 Example of load histories of the ATD lower leg in the FEA, X065_02 ($\Delta V = 6.5 \text{ m/s}, \Delta T = 2 \text{ msec}$)

Fig. 17 Example of load histories of the ATD lower leg in the FEA, X065_06 ($\Delta V = 6.5 \text{ m/s}, \Delta T = 6 \text{ msec}$)
Fig. 18 Example of load histories of the ATD lower leg in the FEA, X065_10 (ΔV = 6.5 m/s, ΔT = 10 msec)

The relation between the peak load and pulse duration on each interface are shown in Figs. 19 and 20 for a representative velocity change of 6.5 m/s.

Fig. 19 Example of the relation between the peak load and pulse duration on various interfaces in the ATD lower leg, X065 series (ΔV = 6.5 m/s)
Moreover, the peak loads and applied impulses in the ATD lower tibia are summarized for various loading conditions in Figs. 21 and 22. Then, the peak loads of the ATD lower tibia and boot heel are increasing between 2 and 8 msec as the pulse duration increases, whereas those of boot toe are decreasing except for 2 msec. Note also that the applied impulse is clearly increasing on every interface, although the increase on the boot toe is small.

The ATD lower-tibia loads in short-duration loadings are expected to be higher than those of long-duration loadings with the same $\Delta V$ because the acceleration in the loading pulse is higher. However, the FEA results indicate the opposite tendency as discussed above. This is probably caused by the change in the contact status on the boot sole because the impulse is increasing (as shown in Fig. 20).
The interactions among the ATD foot, boot, and floor mat are shown in Figs. 23–25 for representative loading conditions.
Moreover, the rotation-angle histories of the boot sole are shown in Fig. 26 for a representative \( \Delta V \); the validity of the ATD lower-leg FE model is not quantitative but qualitative in the rotational movement around the ankle joint. Considering these boot-sole contact data, the following phases now are assumed for the ATD lower-leg loading:

1) In the initial phase of loading, the boot toe and heel are loaded almost uniformly. At this point, the load histories on these 2 interfaces are similar.

2) In this phase the boot sole and floor mat start to deform, which causes the arch in the boot sole and the foot to fit the floor mat and the boot insole, respectively. These deformations
increase the contact among the floor mat, boot sole, boot insole, and foot, and enhance transmissibility of the load.

3) In the following phase of loading, the foot starts to rotate around the ankle joint. Then, the boot toe loses contact with the floor mat, and the load on the boot toe decreases. The opposite occurs with the boot heel, whose load increases because of its close contact with the floor mat.

![Fig. 26 Rotation-angle histories of the boot sole against the horizontal line in the FEA, X065 series (ΔV = 6.5 m/s)](image)

In short-duration loading (2 and 4 msec), Phases 1 and 2 occur during the loading as shown in Figs. 16, 23, and 26. However, the deformation in Phase 2 is not as large as that in long-duration loading, and the boot sole is not sufficiently compressed during the loading. Moreover, Phase 3 starts after the completion of the loading, and the ATD foot motion does not affect the load profile. Therefore, the load profiles of the boot toe and heel are similar (as shown in Fig. 16), and the peak load is much smaller than that of long-duration loading. By contrast, in long-duration loading (6–10 msec), Phase 3 starts during the loading at the same time as Phases 1 and 2 (as seen in Figs. 17, 25, and 26). Therefore, the boot sole and floor mat contact each other more closely on the boot heel. Together with the deformations in the boot sole and floor mat, this is probably the major cause of the increase in the boot-heel load. Then, as shown in Fig. 17 and 18, the load profiles of the boot toe and heel are different on the latter part of the loading. However, the peak load is slightly decreasing in 10-msec-pulse loading. This is probably due to the decrease of the peak acceleration in the loading pulse.

Considering that the ATD lower tibia is loaded vertically, the load profiles of the boot heel are reflected in those of the ATD lower tibia, including its pulse-duration dependency. Therefore, the peak load in the ATD lower tibia probably shows the curve with an inflection point as shown in
Fig. 19. This hypothesis on the ATD lower-leg loading mechanics will be confirmed in the following discussion of the experiment.

### 3.2 Experimental Results

Representative loading histories of the ATD lower tibia are shown in Fig. 27. In the ATD lower tibia, the perpendicular forces $F_x$ and $F_y$ are much smaller than the axial force $F_z$ as in the FEA results.

The load histories are shown in Figs. 28–30 for each loading condition and experimental results are summarized in Table 6. The deviation of the test results in each loading condition is less than 10%—less than 5% in the peak load—which is believed to be small enough for the detailed comparison that follows.
Fig. 28  Load histories of the ATD lower-tibia axial direction force Fz in the loading condition X065_04 ($\Delta V = 6.5$ m/s, $\Delta T = 4.5$ msec)

Fig. 29  Load histories of the ATD lower-tibia axial direction force Fz in the loading condition X065_08 ($\Delta V = 6.4$ m/s, $\Delta T = 8.6$ msec)
As shown in Fig. 31, the differences between the FEA results and experimental results are at most 20% in the peak load of the ATD lower tibia. However, as shown in Figs. 11 and 27, the
duration of the response pulse in the experiment is significantly longer than that in the FEA on
the same loading condition. In the CSBES loading test, the loading profile in the floor plate is
determined by the interaction between the foot impactor and upper mass (such as ATD leg and
boot and the floor plate). Therefore, the velocity histories on the floor plate are not the same as
those of the FEAs in Fig. 5–7, although the acceleration histories are the same between the floor
plate in FEA and foot impactor in the experiment. Thus, the actual duration of the loading pulse
on the boot sole is longer than that in the FEA. This probably leads to the longer-duration
response in the experiment and should be considered in the following discussion.

As to the lower-leg loading, the tendency is basically the same as that assumed on the FEA
results; the peak load in the ATD lower tibia shows a curve with an inflection point as shown in
Fig. 31. However, the tendency is not as clear as that of the FEA results, especially in the short-
duration loading (4 msec).

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 31** Relation between the peak load and pulse
duration in the ATD lower-tibia axial force Fz

A typical ATD foot motion in the experiment is shown in Fig. 32, and the rotation-angle histories
of the boot sole are shown in Fig. 33. These show that the differences of the rising time and
maximum angle of the foot rotation are smaller than those of the FEA results; this is probably
because the rotational restriction around the ATD ankle joint was not perfectly controlled in the
experiment. Therefore, together with the longer-duration response, this leads to the smaller
difference of the peak load in the experiment.
3.3 ATD Lower-Leg Loading Mechanics

The ATD lower-leg loading mechanics suggested by the FEA results have been confirmed qualitatively through the experimental results. According to the discussion in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, the pulse duration of the loading is categorized into 3 types, and the loading mechanics are restructured as follows (see also the schematic in Fig. 34):
1) In short-duration loading (2 msec), the boot sole deforms only slightly. Moreover, the foot rotation starts after the completion of the loading. Therefore, the loading pulse is not fully transmitted to the ATD lower tibia, and the peak load is smaller than that of longer-duration loading.

2) In middle-duration loading (4, 6, and 8 msec), both boot-sole deformation and foot rotation occur during the loading, and the loading pulse is fully transmitted to the ATD lower tibia. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 35, the peak load increases in spite of the decrease in the peak acceleration in the loading pulse.

3) In long-duration loading (10 msec), the loading mechanics are almost the same as that of the middle-duration loading, and the boot sole and floor mat closely contact. However, the decrease in the peak acceleration in the loading pulse probably leads to the decrease in the peak load under the close contact between the boot sole and floor mat.
Fig. 34  Schematic of the ATD lower-leg loading mechanics for 3 durations of loading
4. Conclusions

In this study, we conducted the FEA of the ATD lower-leg loading to clarify the loading mechanics with the existence of the butyl-rubber floor mat. According to the FEA results, we proposed a hypothesis that the peak load in the ATD lower tibia had a dependency on the pulse duration of loading. We qualitatively confirmed this hypothesis through the ATD lower-leg loading test. Further, the ATD lower-leg loading mechanics have been clarified with these 3 conclusions:

1) The ATD lower tibia is loaded almost vertically through the boot heel.

2) The peak load in the ATD lower tibia is dependent on the pulse duration of loading; the longer-duration loading under the same $\Delta V$ leads to the higher peak load in the ATD lower tibia, whereas there is an exemption due to the low peak acceleration in the loading pulse.

3) The deformation of the boot sole and rotation of the foot have an effect on the dependency; in long-duration loading, the boot sole and floor mat contact more closely, and this enhances the transmissibility of the load.

Conclusion 2 is significant. Generally, shock-mitigating countermeasures including floor mats tend to decrease the peak value (acceleration, load) of loading. Moreover, that lengthens the pulse duration of loading under the law of conservation of momentum. However, according to Conclusion 2, this may lead to higher peak load in the ATD lower tibia. Therefore, several
loading tests with different pulse durations are recommended in the evaluation of countermeasures so as to not overestimate the efficiency of the long-duration loading.
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<td>Crew Survivability Blast Effects Simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE</td>
<td>Finite Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEA</td>
<td>Finite Element Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IED</td>
<td>improvised explosive device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kN</td>
<td>kilonewton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTC</td>
<td>Livermore Software Technology Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>millimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>msec</td>
<td>millisecond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>meters per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DEFENSE TECH INFO CTR (PDF) ATTN DTIC OCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>US ARMY RSRCH LABORATORY (PDF) ATTN IMAL HRA MAIL &amp; RECORDS MGMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATTN RDRL CIO LL TECHL LIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>US ARMY RSRCH LAB (PDF) ATTN RDRL WMP F R KARGUS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>