



ARL-RP-0616 • SEP 2017

ARL

US Army Research Laboratory

Survey of Emerging Information Teleportation Networks and Protocols

by RE Meyers, AD Tunick, KS Deacon, and PR Hemmer

A reprint from Radio Science Bulletin, June 2017.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.



Survey of Emerging Information Teleportation Networks and Protocols

by RE Meyers, AD Tunick, and KS Deacon
Computational and Information Sciences Directorate, ARL

PR Hemmer
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

A reprint from Radio Science Bulletin, June 2017.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) September 2017 | | 2. REPORT TYPE Reprint | | 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) October 2013 – April 2017 | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Survey of Emerging Information Teleportation Networks and Protocols | | | | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) RE Meyers, AD Tunick, KS Deacon, and PR Hemmer | | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Research Laboratory ATTN: RDRL-CIN-T Adelphi, MD 20783-1138 | | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-RP-0616 | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | |
| | | | | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. | | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES A reprint from Radio Science Bulletin, June 2017. | | | | | |
| 14. ABSTRACT Quantum communications technologies, especially involving teleportation, will play an increasingly important role in both domestic and defense sectors because of the promise for improved security, distributed quantum computing, and quantum sensing. This paper presents a review of this emerging field, summarizing key protocols as well as some representative quantum teleportation experiments conducted both in the laboratory and in the field. Briefly, in recent years, quantum teleportation has been demonstrated both in optical fiber networks and in long-distance free-space channels using entanglement on photonic chips as well as between photonic and atom/ion or solid-state qubits. | | | | | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS teleportation, photons, entanglement, quantum, quantum communications | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: | | | 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU | 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 28 | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Ronald E Meyers |
| a. REPORT Unclassified | b. ABSTRACT Unclassified | c. THIS PAGE Unclassified | | | 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (301) 394-2111 |

Survey of Emerging Information Teleportation Networks and Protocols

Ronald E. Meyers^a, Arnold D. Tunick^a and Keith S. Deacon^a

^aU.S. Army Research Laboratory, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20873 U.S.A.

Philip R. Hemmer^b

^bTexas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

ABSTRACT

Quantum communications technologies, especially involving teleportation, will play an increasingly important role in both domestic and defense sectors because of the promise for improved security, distributed quantum computing, and quantum sensing. This paper presents a review of this emerging field, summarizing key protocols as well as some representative quantum teleportation experiments conducted both in the laboratory and in the field. Briefly, in recent years, quantum teleportation has been demonstrated both in optical fiber networks and in long-distance free-space channels using entanglement on photonic chips as well as between photonic and atom/ion or solid-state qubits.

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of emerging information teleportation networks involving new non-classical technologies like quantum teleportation is critically important for the future U.S. Army because it will allow operations and applications that are not feasible using classical techniques. Quantum teleportation relates to the nonlocal transfer of quantum information between two or more nodes by entanglement of quantum particles [1-5]. Quantum teleportation uses entanglement in a nonlocal and nonclassical way to transfer information between a sender and receiver without actually sending the photons that carry the information through the physical space in between. Classical communication techniques do not allow teleportation because they rely on inadequate measurements of and local approximations to the underlying physics. Short range teleportation can be performed using just photons, but long-distance communication and network applications require long-time storage and entanglement purification that can only be accomplished with matter qubits such as atoms, ions or solid-state emitters.

In recent years, the field of quantum communication technology has been growing in importance as cybersecurity concerns rise. Future advances in fundamental quantum protocols and experimental demonstrations of teleportation are needed to enable development of the much needed quantum network capabilities. Initially quantum communications such as teleportation may not have the communication speeds of classical networks. However, it must be kept in mind that quantum communications can exploit a richer set of physics and is qualitatively different from classical communications methods which are fundamentally limited in their applications. Quantum physics needs to be further exploited to advance and apply teleportation for practical benefit. Research is underway on the process of manipulating photons or photons in combination with matter such as atoms and ions to efficiently perform teleportation by quantum means. The field of quantum communication technology is growing in importance and advances in fundamental quantum protocols and teleportation experiments will enable development of needed information teleportation network capabilities [6-8]. By reviewing the past and current state-of-the-art we expedite this process by avoiding duplication and identifying critical areas that need additional research in the near-future.

This paper presents a summary of key quantum protocols in Table 1 and recently developed quantum-inspired classical protocols in Table 2. Fundamental protocols include those related to various aspects of teleportation including entanglement verification, non-locality, non-classicality and privacy. Representative quantum teleportation experiments performed in the laboratory and in the field are highlighted in Table 3. Experimental

demonstrations include those using: (a) polarization entangled photons, (b) time-bin entangled photons, (c) hyper-entangled (polarization and angular momentum) photons and hybrid (spin and orbital momentum) entangled states, (d) teleportation on a photonic and/or solid-state chip, (e) establishing quantum information transfer from a propagating photonic qubit to a stationary solid-state spin qubit, (f) teleportation between two macroscopic atomic ensembles, and (g) teleportation between two single trapped atoms/ions. Table 3 also summarizes recent free-space quantum teleportation experiments with multi-photon entanglement and includes several recent experiments related to emerging teleportation network technologies.

2. QUANTUM PROTOCOLS

In this section we discuss quantum protocols for quantum applications, technologies, and analysis. Quantum protocols can be broadly classified to include not only quantum communications, but also non-locality tests, non-classicality tests, quantum memories, quantum repeaters, and quantum networks.

2.1 Non-locality, Non-classicality and Bell State protocols

The first section of Table 1a presents protocols on determining the degree to which the quantum nature of the physical process is expressed (i.e., defining metrics to measure the degree to which a process is non-classical). The earliest indication of the consequences of quantum science relating to nonclassical communication was described by Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen [9] in 1935. They showed that quantum theory, if correct, must have correlations between particles that classical physics could not predict. Einstein referred to this as "spooky action at a distance" and it is now known as the EPR effect. This important paper is the foundation for quantum communications and teleportation. Later, John Bell devised a test for the EPR effect that could be implemented in an experiment [10], thereby making Einstein's predictions accessible to experimentalists. Clauser, Horne, Shimony, and Holt (CHSH) followed Bell and devised a test that could be implemented with optics and the polarization of photons [11]. The CHSH test was one of the first to be used by experimentalists to show violations of Bell inequalities and thus the validity of quantum theory. Certain measurement related loopholes of the original CHSH test were closed by Clauser and Shimony in the C-S protocol [12].

In 1987 Loudon and Knight provided an overview of the physics, generation, measurement, and possible application of squeezed light [13]. Squeezed light takes advantage of the properties of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle by reducing uncertainty in one variable while increasing the uncertainty in the conjugate variable. This squeezing can provide advantages to low power (i.e., quantum optical) communication, increased sensitivity in interferometric sensing, and spectroscopy. Hillery [14] introduced the idea of sum and difference squeezing of single mode fields to expand the classes of nonclassical squeezed states. This was followed by An and Tinh [15, 16] who extended the work to the more general multi-mode case. Kheruntsyan in 2012 reported a violation of a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (CSI) in matter waves as an indicator of non-classicality [17]. They recognized that a CSI violation implies but does not assure that the system may be in an EPR state or a Bell state.

In 2014, Erven et al. [18] provided an experimental demonstration of non-locality for a three photon state. This experiment is important because entanglement between even greater numbers of particles is crucial to some quantum information processing and quantum communications protocol efficiency. Both Munro [21] and Sheng [22] theoretically investigated the use of "logical qubits" for application to quantum communications. A logical qubit is a qubit that is made up of one or more physical qubits, i.e., polarization state of a photon, to hold and carry information from a sender to a receiver. They found that with small overhead, in terms of physical qubits, that quantum communication and measurements could be made more efficient than configurations that employed only the physical qubit. It must be mentioned that the notion of multiphoton interference introduced by Glauber [23-25] forms the basis for much of the theoretical work about entanglement and associated quantum information processing. This includes work on interferometry between n-photon states and single photons in an arbitrary state [26].

Table 1a. Summary of key quantum protocols

| Year | Protocol | Investigator(s) | Application | Description | Country | Reference |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Non-Locality, Non-Classicality & Bell State Protocols | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Dist.-based analysis | Christensen, Nam Kwiat, Knill ... | Non-Locality | Bell inequality test | USA, CA USA, CA | Christensen [29] Knill et al. [30] |
| 2015 2012 | CPM | Sheng, Zhou | Bell state analysis | Complete Parity Check Measur. | CN JP, UK | Munro et al. [21] Sheng & Zhou [22] |
| 2014 | Multi-party Q comm | Erven, Meyer-Scott Jennewein, Resch | Non-Locality | 3 photons entangled | CA, UK, AT USA, AU | Erven et al. [18] |
| 1978 | C-S | Clauser, Shimony | Non-Locality | Bell inequality | USA | Clauser & Shimony [12] |
| 1969 | CHSH | Clauser, Horne, Shimony, Holt | Non-Locality | Bell inequality test | USA | Clauser et al. [11] |
| 1964 | Bell violation | Bell | Non-Locality | Bell inequality | USA, CH | Bell [10] |
| 1963 2015 | Multi-boson correlation | Glauber Tamma, Laibacher | Multi-boson measurement | Multi-bosonic interference | USA GER | Glauber [23-25] Tamma & Laibacher [26] |
| 1935 | EPR | Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen | Non-Locality | Entanglement | USA | Einstein et al. [9] |
| 2012 | CSI | Cauchy, Schwarz | Non-Classicality | Cauchy-Schwarz inequality | AU, FR PL | Kheruntsyan et al. [17] |
| 2000 1999 | MDS/MSS | An, Tinh | Non-Classicality | Multimode sum/differ. squeezing | KR, VN VN | An & Tinh [16] An & Tinh [15] |
| 1989 | SDS | Hillery | Non-Classicality | Sum/difference squeezing | USA | Hillery [14] |
| 1987 | QS | Loudon, Knight | Non-Classicality | Quadrature squeezing | UK | Loudon & Knight [13] |
| Quantum Communication Network Protocols | | | | | | |
| 2016 | Degraded state swap | Kirby | Q comm networks | Degraded state swapping | USA | Kirby et al. [19] |
| 2016 | Switching for entanglement | Drost | Q comm networks | Entanglement distribution | USA | Drost et al. [20] |
| 2014 | Loss based error correct. | Munro, Nemoto... | Q comm networks | Qcomm w/out Q memories | JP JP, UK | Munro et al. [21] Munro et al. [27] |
| 2014 | Quantum relay | Khalique, Sanders | Q comm networks | Concatenated entangle. swap | PK, CN, CA | Khalique & Sanders [28] |
| Quantum Communications Protocols | | | | | | |
| 2015 | SDT | Graham, Bernstein, Wei, Junge, Kwiat | Q comm | SuperDense Teleportation | USA | Graham et al. [31] |
| 2015 | DSQC/QSDC | Banerjee, Pathak | Q comm | Direct Secure Qcomm | IN, CZ | Banerjee & Pathak [32] |
| 2006 | QSDC | Zhu, Xia, Fan, Zhang | Q comm | Q secure direct comm | CN | Zhu et al. [33] |
| 2005 | DSQC w/out entangle | Lucamarini & Mancini | Q comm | Deterministic Secure Qcomm | IT | Lucamarini & Mancini [34] |
| 2002 | DSQC with entangle | Bostrom & Felbinger | Q comm | Deterministic Secure Qcomm | GER | Bostrom & Felbinger [35] |
| 1993 | Teleportation | Bennett, Brassard, Peres, Wootters... | Q comm | EPR correlated particle pair | USA, CA FR, IL | Bennett et al. [1] |
| 1992 | B92 | Bennett | Q comm | QKD | USA | Bennett [36] |
| 1991 | E91 | Ekert | Q comm | Entangled QKD | UK | Ekert [37] |
| 1984 | BB84 | Bennett, Brassard | Q comm | QKD | USA, CA | Bennett & Brassard [38] |

2.2 Quantum Communication Network Protocols

Quantum communications in a networked environment is currently an active area of research. The media through which quantum communication propagates may degrade the quality of the state of the quantum system for example through scattering and absorption. To overcome a significant bottleneck in networked quantum communications, Nemoto and Munro developed the idea of quantum communications without quantum memories [21, 27]. This concept overcomes the need for nodes to notify their neighbor that an entanglement swap was successful by instead transmitting between nodes a logical qubit consisting of many quantum states. Here, local operations on the logical qubit can recover from losses through quantum error correction, and a new logical qubit with additional photons can be sent to the next node. Similarly, Khalique and Sanders [28] introduced the notion of concatenated entanglement swapping between intermediate nodes in a quantum network as a type of quantum relay to entangle remote memory nodes. An analysis by Kirby et al. [19] analytically derived the error bounds when entanglement swapping is performed with degraded states. Another quantum communications concern in a networked environment is the distribution of the entanglement to distant nodes for use in teleportation or other quantum communications processes. Adapting typical configurations for scalable, reconfigurable optical communications networks, Drost et al. [20] derived efficient entanglement distribution routing protocols for N-node networks.

2.3 Quantum Communication Protocols

The earliest quantum communications protocols were developed for quantum key distributions (QKD). The first in 1984 by Bennett and Brassard [38] the so called BB84 protocol employed two polarization states in two basis between a sender (Alice) and a receiver (Bob). Later in 1992 a simplified QKD protocol was developed by Bennett [36] (B92) which only used two basis and polarization orientations and was not as secure as the BB84 protocol. Entangled photons are also able to be used for QKD as shown by Ekert [37] in 1991. Ekert's protocol is as secure as BB84 but due to the use of entangled photons somewhat more complex to implement. One of the most important non-QKD quantum communications protocols was proposed by Bennett and described a means for quantum teleportation [1] by which the quantum state of a particle could be sent to a receiver with an overhead of only two classical bits. Many advanced quantum communications and quantum networking protocols are based on teleportation.

A deterministic quantum communications protocol was proposed in 2002 by Bostrom and Felbinger [35]. Their protocol requires Bob to retain one photon of an entangled pair of photons and transmit the other photon to Alice. Alice encodes a message by performing a local operation on her photon to change the Bell state. After the operation Alice sends the photon back to Bob who makes a Bell state measurement. The outcome of the Bell measurement is the encoded message sent by Alice. It was shown that protocols of this type can be developed using non-orthogonal polarization states and not rely on entanglement properties [34].

Other methods such as secure direct quantum communication using entangled particles have been proposed. This was first reported in 2006 by Zhu et al. [33] and was followed by a similar protocol in 2015 by Banerjee & Pathak [32]. Neither of these protocols use teleportation and are forms of direct quantum communications. Lastly, a new and promising protocol using hyper-entanglement [31] has been demonstrated that can encode more information per entangled pair than the usual teleportation protocols. This increases the amount of information teleported per entangled pair.

In Table 1b presents a summary of quantum information transfer, privacy, quantum repeater, and quantum memory protocols.

2.4 Quantum Information Transfer and Privacy Protocols

In 2013 and 2015 Chiribella and Dur investigated protocols to replicate quantum states and unitary operations. Chiribella et al. [39] found that by relaxing the requirement of a perfect copy it is possible to probabilistically make imperfect copies of a quantum state within certain error bounds. The research by Dur et al. [40] found that it is possible to deterministically replicate unitary operations that act on a quantum state multiple times at the expense of success probability. Concerning the goal of privacy, Ekert and Renner [41] in 2014 showed that while research is still needed on the ultimate physical bounds to privacy, under rather weak assumptions it is possible to keep information private.

| Table 1b. Summary of key quantum protocols | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Year | Protocol | Investigator(s) | Application | Description | Country | Reference |
| Quantum Information Transfer and Privacy Protocols | | | | | | |
| 2015 | Super-replicate | Dur, Sekatski, Skotiniotis | Quant. info. transfer | Deterministic Q cloning | AT | Dur et al. [40] |
| 2013 | Super-replicate | Chiribella, Yang, Yao | Quant. info. transfer | Probabilistic Q cloning | CN | Chiribella et al. [39] |
| 2014 | Privacy | Ekert, Renner | Privacy | Phys. limits of privacy | UK, SG, CH | Ekert & Renner [41] |
| Quantum Repeater Protocols | | | | | | |
| 2016 | Rydberg | Solmeyer, Li, Quraishi | Q repeater | Entanglement | USA | Solmeyer et al. [42] |
| 2010 | Rydberg | Zhao, Zoller,... | Q repeater | Entanglement | AT | Zhao, et al. [43] |
| 2010 | Rydberg | Han, Simon,... | Q repeater | Entanglement | CN, CA | Han et al. [44] |
| 2015 | M-M S-R, M-S | Jones, Kim, Rakher, Kwiat, Ladd | Q repeater networks | Entanglement distribution | USA | Jones et al. [45] |
| 2015 | Time reversal; Cluster state | Azuma, Tamaki, Lo | Q repeaters | All photonic repeaters | JP, CA | Azuma et al. [46] |
| 2011 | SPS | Sangouard, Simon, deRiedmatten, Gisin | Q repeaters | Entangle distant memories | CH, FR, CA, ES | Sangouard et al. [47] |
| 2001 | DLCZ | Duan, Lukin, Cirac, Zoller | Q repeaters | Entangle distant memories | AT, CN USA | Duan et al. [49] |
| 1998 | BDCZ | Briegel, Dur, Cirac, Zoller | Q repeaters | Entangle distant memories | AT, ES | Briegel et al. [48] |
| Quantum Memory Protocols | | | | | | |
| 2010 | CRIB | Longdell, Sellars... | Memory | Controll. Revers. | AU, NZ, CN | Hedges et al. [51] |
| 2010 | CRIB | Sangouard, Gisin... | | Inhomogeneous | CH, ES | Lauritzen et al. [52] |
| 2006 | CRIB | Tittel, Nilsson... | | Broadening | CH, SE, GER | Kraus et al. [50] |
| 2009 | AFC | Afzelius, Simon deRiedmatten, Gisin | Memory | Atomic Freq. Comb | CH | Afzelius et al. [53] |
| 2008 | GEM | Sellers, Longdell... | Memory | Gradient Echo | AU, NZ | Hetet et al. [55] |
| 2006 | GEM | Alexander, Hetet | | Memory | AU | Alexander et al. [54] |
| 2007 | EIT | Gorshkov, Lukin... | Memory | Electromagnet. | USA, GER | Gorshkov et al. [57] |
| 1991 | EIT | Boller, Imamoglu... | | Induced Trans. | DK, USA | Boller et al. [56] |

3. QUANTUM REPEATER PROTOCOLS

Quantum repeaters and the distribution of entanglement are vital components for an information teleportation network. In the following several important and promising quantum repeater protocols are discussed. The BDCZ and DLCZ protocols that actually entangle atomic quantum memories were developed by Briegel et al. [48] and Duan et al. [49] respectively. The BDCZ and DLCZ protocols use one photon interaction with a quantum memory or interactions between one photon each from two different quantum memories to entangle the memories and are the focus of intense experimental research. Sangouard et al. [47] describe a quantum network comprising nodes of atomic based quantum memories and entanglement swapping to distribute entanglement to remote nodes. One protocol for entanglement distribution developed by Jones et al. [45] highlighted that the node to node protocol has a large impact on network performance. They found that a mid-point source protocol configuration could yield orders of magnitude increased performance. A protocol has also been suggested that quantum memories at intermediate nodes can be eliminated in an all photonic configuration [46]. This protocol proposed by Azuma, avoids the complexity of interactions with matter based qubits at nodes in favor of large photon number states and efficient photon routing. A recently proposed protocol involves using Rydberg states

and Rydberg blockades in atomic ensembles to potentially increase bit rates in neutral atom ensemble repeaters by orders of magnitude [42-44].

3.1 Quantum Memory Protocols

Quantum memory protocols use physical interactions between light (photons) and matter (atoms) to store quantum information. One low noise and efficient scheme is controlled reversible inhomogeneous broadening (CRIB) [50-52] and has been typically used with solid state rare earth doped crystal or fiber quantum memory. Atomic frequency comb based quantum memories also show good results and have been demonstrated to store multi-mode quantum information [53]. An early use of the CRIB protocols are the quantum memories that demonstrated the use of gradient echo to store quantum information [54, 55] and are usually fairly easy to implement. Electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) protocols that can have long storage times with high efficiency and low noise have been shown to be optimal for storage in optically dense media [56, 57].

Table 2 presents a summary of quantum inspired protocols. In particular, the paper by Qian et al. [58] highlights the difficulty in determining what is and what is not quantum and how the quantum features of a phenomena are determined. As they demonstrate with a particular experimental setup using a diode light source many of the "standard" tests of entanglement can be met. Similarly, the paper by Rafsanjani et al. [59] experimentally demonstrates that particular states of light encoded into alternate degrees of freedom, such as orbital angular momentum (OAM) or polarization, can be used to transfer information from a sender to a receiver in a manner similar to teleportation but without the benefits of non-locality. Investigations by Banaszek on low power optical communication shows that even using known classical communications encodings such as pulse phase modulation and on-off keying that the information content per photon in the low mean photon number limit can exceed that of bright optical channels [60]. These bounds are useful for the design and development of low power mobile ad-hoc networks.

| Year | Protocol name | Investigator(s) | Application | Description | Country | Reference |
|------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| 2015 | Stat. optical entanglement | Qian, Little, Howell, Eberly | Classical Bell-analysis | Stat. classical optical fields | USA | Qian et al. [58] |
| 2015 | PPM encode BPSK encode | Banaszek | Low power Class. Comm. | Quantum measurement | PL | Banaszek [60] |
| 2015 | DoF state transfer | Rafsanjani, Mirhosseini Magana-Loaiza, Boyd | Classical teleportation | Classical nonseparability | USA CA | Rafsanjani et al. [59] |

4. TELEPORTATION AND RELATED QUANTUM INFORMATION SCIENCE EXPERIMENTS

This section discusses recent laboratory and field teleportation experiments (see Table 3a,3b).

4.1 Teleportation using polarization, time-bin and hybrid entangled photon states

Quantum teleportation experiments have been conducted in the laboratory using different forms of entanglement, e.g. polarization, time-bin as well as hyperentangled (polarization and angular orbital momentum) and hybrid (spin and orbital angular momentum) entangled states [31, 61-64] which expand the variety of entanglements researchers can use to teleport quantum information between distant locations.

Laboratory and field demonstrations of entanglement swapping and teleportation at telecom wavelengths have been reported using single photon detectors to perform multi-photon coincidence measurements and Bell state measurements [65-70]. In particular, exploratory teleportation field demonstrations were achieved by the Pan [67] and Tittel [68] research groups. Entanglement swapping at telecom wavelengths is a major step for developing networked quantum storage and teleportation between remote quantum memories. Interfacing of telecom wavelength photons with atomic memories generally requires frequency conversion from telecom to atomic wavelengths and back. Frequency conversion research and experiments are demonstrating promising results [71-73].

Table 3a. Summary of quantum teleportation experiments

| Year | Dist. | Lasers | Description | Fidelity | Country | Reference |
|--|---------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Teleportation experiments using different types of entanglement | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 2 m | FWM 1550nm | Polarization EPS teleportation | -- | USA | Meyers et al. [138] |
| 2016 | 12.5 km | FWM 1549.36nm & 1555.73nm | Polarization urban network teleportation. | 91±0.02% | CN, CA JP | Sun et al. [67] |
| 2016 | 8.2 km | PPLN 795nm & 1532nm | Time-bin network teleportation. | 78±1% | CA, USA | Valivarthi et al. [68] |
| 2015 | 102 km | PPLN 1546.2nm & 1555.8nm | Time-bin entangled photons | 82.9±1.7% | JP, USA | Takesue et al. [69] |
| 2015 | -- | 792nm→1584nm PPKTP | Entang. swap & teleportation | 76.3% | JP | Jin et al. [70] |
| 2015 | 20 m | 1047 nm→PPLN 795nm, 1532nm | Quantum storage: telecom photons | 80.8±4.8% | CA, USA | Saglamyurek et al. [77, 78] |
| 2015 | -- | 394nm pump BBO type-I | Spin-orbit hybrid entangled states | 63% | CN | Wang et al. [64] |
| 2014 | 2 km | 795nm, 1621nm 1560nm | Polarization EPS; InGaAs SPD | -- | FR | Kaiser et al. [65, 66] |
| 2014 | -- | 405nm pump BBO type-I | Teleportation & local noise | -- | AR | Knoll et al. [85] |
| 2014 | | 351nm AR ⁺ BBO | Hyperentangled photons | 87±0.1% | USA | Graham et al. [31, 61, 62] |
| 2014 | -- | 355nm pump BBO type-I | Spin-orbit hybrid entangled states | 99.4% | CA USA | Erhard et al. [63] |
| 2013 | -- | 860nm | Time-bin entangled qubits | 79-82% | JP, GER | Takeda et al. [74-76] |
| 2013 | -- | ELED: QD InAs/GaAs | Entangled light emitting diode | 77% | UK | Nilsson et al. [81, 82] |
| 2012 | 104m | 404nm pump BBO type-II | Delayed-choice entanglement swap | 68.1±3.4% | AT | Ma et al. [80] |
| 2011 | -- | -- | Schrodinger's cat state | $\frac{75 \pm 0.5\%}{\text{input}}$ $\frac{46 \pm 1.0\%}{\text{output}}$ | JP, AU | Lee et al. [86] |
| Teleportation experiments implemented on photonic and/or solid-state chips | | | | | | |
| 2015 | -- | 244 nm | Reconfigurable photonic chip | 81% | UK, IT | Walmsley et al. [87] |
| 2014 | -- | 244 nm | Reconfigurable photonic chip | 81% | UK, IT, CN NL | Metcalf et al. [88] |
| 2013 | 6mm | Microwave gate lines | Solid-state superconducting circuit | 62-80% | CH | Steffen et al. [89] |
| Teleportation experiments between two stationary solid-state spin qubits | | | | | | |
| 2016 | -- | 780nm, 645nm Diamond | Teleportation photon to vibrational | 90.6±1% | CN, USA | Hou et al. [90] |
| 2014 | 3m | 532 nm, 575 nm, 637 nm | Diamond spin qubits | 86% | NL, UK CA | Pfaff et al. [91, 92] |
| 2014 | 25km | 532 nm, 883 nm & 1338 nm | Teleport polarization state to rare earth ion state | 81±4% | CH, AT, GER FR, USA | Bussieres et al. [93-95] |
| 2013 | 5m | -- | Teleport QD spin states | 78±3% | CH | Gao et al. [96, 97] |

In addition, there have been demonstrations of continuous-variable teleportation of photonic time-bin qubits [74-76]. Interestingly, quantum storage of time-bin entangled photons at 795 nm and 1532 nm was experimentally demonstrated in cryogenically cooled rare-earth ion erbium-doped optical fiber [77, 78], which is relevant for future realization of fiber-based quantum networks. The wavelengths selected for this experiment are wavelengths that are useful for storage not only in doped fibers but also in atomic (Rubidium) quantum memories. In fiber, there was also an experimental realization of the Peres [79] delayed-choice entanglement swapping gedanken experiment [80].

Recently it was demonstrated that polarization-entangled photon pairs generated by an entangled-light-emitting diode (ELED) [81, 82] can be used in quantum teleportation. The ELED light source was comprised of InAs/GaAs quantum dots. More recently, Varnava et al. [83] reported on a quantum relay over 1 km in optical fiber to teleport photonic qubits to a receiver using their entangled-LED light source. Note that an earlier paper by Jacobs et al. [84] discussed some of the basic differences between a quantum relay and a quantum repeater, e.g., a quantum relay system does not require the ability to store photons.

Finally, Knoll et al. [85] conducted an experiment testing how a new quantum teleportation scheme is affected by local noise since entangled photon pairs may suffer from decoherence by interactions with the outdoor environment, producing mixed entangled states. According to Knoll et al. [85] testing on particular teleportation protocols can lead to the design of more robust, noise-insensitive implementations of quantum information processes. As an example of progress in fielding quantum networks, first explored in the laboratory, it is noteworthy that in 2016 Pan et al. reported a fielded multi node quantum network testbed to explore teleportation over a 12.5km range [67]. Also, in 2016 Tittel et al. [68] fielded a teleportation network with time-bin encoded qubits.

Teleportation of highly non-classical states of light (i.e. Schrodinger cat states) has been demonstrated in the laboratory [86]. These types of non-classical states are useful for fault tolerant quantum information processing and distributed quantum computing.

In summary, representative demonstrations of teleportation show that differing types of entanglement are feasible and may be selectively chosen for operation in particular environments, i.e. free-space, or to transfer entanglement between different wavelengths for long distance propagation and storage in quantum memories.

4.2 Teleportation on photonic and solid-state chips

Quantum teleportation experiments in the laboratory have been implemented on photonic and/or solid-state chips [87-89]. Walmsley et al. report teleportation and quantum interference experiments involving three single photons on a reconfigurable integrated photonic chip. The integrated photonic chip was coupled with superconducting transition edge detectors [87]. Metcalf et al. [88] describe a fully integrated implementation of quantum teleportation, such that all the parts of the circuit, i.e., entangled state preparation, Bell-state analysis and tomographic state measurements, are performed on a reconfigurable photonic chip. Here, the individual waveguides were written with a computer-controlled continuous wave 244 nm laser onto a germanium-doped silica photosensitive waveguide core. Steffen et al. [89] describe a laboratory realization of deterministic quantum teleportation in a solid state chip-based superconducting circuit architecture. The quantum states (transmon qubits) were teleported between two macroscopic systems separated by 6mm at a rate of 10,000/s.

Note that Masada et al. [98] reported on the generation and characterization of entangled photon beams in an integrated photonic chip. Entangled photons generated on chip can greatly increase stability and simplify alignment difficulties which can be problematic for complex systems. These chip based photonic and solid state teleportation experiments demonstrate a means by which quantum information processing and quantum communications can be integrated on a component level with existing computing and communications technologies. For a recent review of the current state-of-the-art for on-chip entangled photon generation and manipulation see Matsuda and Takesue [99].

4.3 Quantum information transfer from a propagating photonic qubit to a stationary solid-state spin qubit

Quantum information transfer was also achieved experimentally from a propagating photonic qubit to a stationary solid-state spin qubit [91-97]. As an example, Hanson's group [91, 92] discuss the teleportation of arbitrary

quantum states between diamond spin qubits on separate setups in laboratories separated by $3m$. Here, a photonic channel was used to generate heralded remote entanglement between two nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center electronic spins. In 2016 an experiment by Hou et al. [90] demonstrated the teleportation of a photonic state of light to the mechanical vibrational phonon modes of a diamond crystal memory. This experiment suggests promising applications of macroscopic diamonds to quantum control and quantum information science

In a step towards teleportation between distant quantum memories, Bussieres et al. [93] reported on quantum teleportation through $25km$ of optical fiber, and the polarization state of a telecom-wavelength photon was transferred to the state of hyperentangled energy-time and polarization photons at 883 nm and 1338 nm in a solid-state quantum memory comprised of a rare earth ion crystal. Bussieres' group [94, 95] experimentally demonstrated quantum storage and retrieval in a solid-state quantum memory (i.e., $Nd^{3+}:Y_2SiO_5$ rare earth ion crystals). Similarly, de Riedmatten's group [100] experimentally showed quantum storage ($\sim 4.5 \mu s$) of heralded single photons in a rare earth Praseodymium-doped crystal ($Pr^{3+}:Y_2SiO_5$) where the signal photons were 606 nm and idler photons were 1436 nm. Later, de Riedmatten's group [101] demonstrated an example of a spin-wave solid state quantum memory using the same rare earth Praseodymium-doped crystal for time-bin qubits that enabled on demand read-out of the stored qubits.

Alternately, Gao et al. [96] described teleportation of the quantum state of a single photon generated by one Quantum Dot (QD) in a superposition of two frequency components to the spin-state of another QD located in a different cryostat. To generate a photonic qubit in this experiment, a neutral self-assembled InGaAs QD was used. Gao et al. [96] reported that the photon correlation measurements performed on the emitted light from the two dots showed strong anti-bunching, proving that the experimental scheme generated nearly ideal single-photon pulses.

In summary, these representative experiments demonstrate solid state quantum memory nodes comprised of different materials and indicate that a solid state quantum memory can achieve high entanglement rates and be used to make quality quantum networking nodes.

4.4 Teleportation between two macroscopic atomic ensembles

Quantum teleportation experiments in the laboratory were also implemented between two atomic ensembles. Atomic ensembles are generally well understood physical systems and have been shown to have long coherence times (memory time). As such, atomic ensembles are often used to test ideas and implementations of teleportation. For example, Krauter et al. [102] reported on an experimental demonstration of deterministic continuous-variable (CV) teleportation between distant ($0.5m$ separated) macroscopic atomic ensembles (cesium atoms) at room temperature. Interestingly, the CV teleportation was able to teleport a sequence of time evolving spin states which may find other application for quantum networks. Similarly, Bao et al. [103] reported on heralded, high-fidelity quantum teleportation between two atomic ensembles (rubidium atoms) linked by a $150m$ optical fiber using narrow-band single photons to establish the entanglement (physical separation of $0.6m$). The fidelities of the teleported quantum states in this experiment exceeded 90% for the six input states tested.

Alternately, Yuan et al. [104] reported on a laboratory experiment to demonstrate entanglement swapping with storage and retrieval of light using the BDCZ quantum repeater protocol [48]. The experiment consisted of (1) two sources of atom-photon entanglement, (2) sending the entangled photons to an intermediate station for a Bell state measurement, and (3) verifying the entanglement between the stationary qubits (i.e., the two remote atomic ensembles). In this case, the atomic memory storage time was 500 ns.

These kinds of teleportation experiments between atomic ensembles show that atomic ensembles may have use as a long time storage media for quantum states. Research still needs to be performed to determine the scalability of atomic ensemble networks.

Table 3b. Summary of quantum teleportation experiments

| Year | Dist. | Lasers | Description | Fidelity | Country | Reference |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Teleportation experiments implemented between two atomic ensembles | | | | | | |
| 2013 | 0.5 <i>m</i> | - - | C-V teleportation: cesium atoms | 60-75% | DK, ES, UK | Krauter et al. [102] |
| 2012 | 150 <i>m</i> (0.6 <i>m</i>) | Memory storage time: $\sim 129 \mu\text{s}$ | Heralded teleport: cold ^{87}Rb atoms | 88% | CN, GER, TW | Bao et al. [103] |
| 2008 | 300 <i>m</i> | Memory storage time: 500 ns | Entanglement swap: cold ^{87}Rb atoms | $83 \pm 2\%$ | GER, CN, AT | Yuan et al. [104] |
| Teleportation experiments implemented between two single atoms/ions | | | | | | |
| 2013 | 21 <i>m</i> | Coherence time: $> 0.1 \text{ s}$ | Teleport: two single ^{87}Rb atoms | $88 \pm 1.5\%$ | GER | Nolleke et al. [105] |
| 2012 | 60 <i>m</i> | Coherence time: $> 100 \mu\text{s}$ | Entanglement: two single ^{87}Rb atoms | $84 \pm 1.0\%$ | GER | Ritter et al. [106] |
| 2009 | 1 <i>m</i> | Coherence time: $> 2.5 \text{ s}$ | Teleport: Yb^+ ions | - - | USA | Olmschenk et al. [107] |
| Free-space quantum teleportation experiments | | | | | | |
| 2017 | 500- 1200 <i>km</i> | Sagnac SPDC | Entanglement | In Progress | CN | Pan et al. [108, 109] |
| 2015 | 143 <i>km</i> | 808 nm, type-I BBO 404 nm, type-II BBO | Entanglement swapping | - - | AT | Herbst et al. [111] |
| 2014 | 772 <i>m</i> 686 <i>m</i> | 3-photon GHZ entangled states | Non-locality exp.: 3 qcomm nodes | - - | CA, UK, USA, AT, AU | Erven et al. [18] |
| 2012 | 97 <i>km</i> | 788 nm, LiB3O5 394 nm, type-II BBO | Teleport: multi- photon entangled | $80.4 \pm 0.9\%$ | CN | Yin et al. [112] |
| 2012 | 143 <i>km</i> | 808 nm, type-I BBO 404 nm, type-II BBO | Quantum teleport independent qubits | $86.3 \pm 3.8\%$ | AT, GER CA | Ma et al. [113] |
| 2012 | 10 <i>m</i> | 808 nm, type-I BBO 404 nm, type-II BBO | Quantum teleport: high loss channel | $82 \pm 1.0\%$ | AT GER | Ma et al. [114] |
| 2010 | 16 <i>km</i> | 405 nm, type-II BBO SPDC: 810nm | Free-space teleportation | 89% | CN | Jin et al. [115] |
| 1997 | | 394 nm, type-II SPDC: 788nm | Free-space teleportation | 70% visibility | AT | Bouwmeester, Pan, Mattle, Eibl, Weinfurter, Zeilinger [2] |

4.5 Teleportation between two single trapped atoms/ions

Teleportation between single atoms/ions is an important step in efficient quantum networks. Quantum memories that consist of many atoms in an ensemble or rare earth doped crystals must contend with internal atom-atom interactions and motional degrees of freedom which makes entanglement purification problematic. An example of quantum teleportation implemented between two single atoms/ions was reported by Nolleke et al. [105], who demonstrated teleportation of quantum bits between two single ^{87}Rb atoms in distant (21*m* separated) laboratories. This experiment while only attaining teleportation fidelities of 72% to 90% nevertheless is an important step towards quantum networks with many nodes. Similarly, Ritter et al. [106] used single rubidium atoms trapped in optical cavities to demonstrate the transfer of an atomic quantum state and the creation of entanglement between two identical nodes in separate laboratories, which resulted in the experimental realization of an elementary quantum network.

Earlier, Olmschenk et al. [107] reported on the teleportation of quantum information between atomic (ion) quantum memories separated by about 1*m*. A quantum bit stored in a single trapped ytterbium ion (Yb^+)

was teleported to a second Yb^+ ion using a teleportation protocol based on the heralded entanglement of the atoms through interference and detection of photons emitted from each ion and guided through optical fibers. More recently, Casabone et al. [125] described the heralded entanglement of two Ca^+ ions in an optical cavity and Slodicka et al. [126] reported on an experiment where the detection of a single scattered photon generated entanglement between two $^{138}\text{Ba}^+$ ions. Similarly, Kurtsiefer’s group reported on Hong-Ou-Mandel interference experiments with single photons generated from (1) scattering by a single rubidium (^{87}Rb) atom, and (2) parametric generation through a four-wave mixing process in a cloud of cold rubidium atoms [127]. Here, the observed interference between photons emitted by a single atom and those generated from an atom ensemble demonstrated the entanglement between distant nodes made up of different physical systems. Also note that Reiserer and Rempe [128] recently provided a detailed discussion of optical cavity-based quantum networks with single atoms and photons. As an example, Rempe’s group experimentally demonstrated the high efficiency transfer of a photonic polarization qubit onto a single rubidium atom within an optical cavity [129].

The recent teleportation experiments between single trapped atoms/ions demonstrate that these systems having very long storage times can teleport quantum states with high fidelity. It is an active area of research to implement these types of single atom/ion memories on a chip which can improve scalability and simplify their integration into a network of information teleportation nodes.

4.6 Free-space quantum teleportation experiments

While implementations of quantum teleportation technologies in fiber are being demonstrated in the laboratory, it is important to also consider free-space quantum communications that will play an important role in applications such as earth-to-satellite quantum networking [130-132]. Recently it was reported that China has launched a satellite to conduct teleportation and entanglement experiments such as Bell inequality measurements over distances from 500km to 1200km [108-110]. They report that the satellite will attempt to teleport a state from a ground station while orbiting at 500 km.

Several free-space quantum teleportation experiments have accomplished transmission and detection of photons over long distances. For example Herbst et al. [111] and Ma et al. [113] report on the free-space implementation of quantum teleportation and entanglement swapping over a 143km path in the Canary Islands. This very long distance entanglement swapping experiment is important as a fundamental benchmark for global entanglement distribution and Earth to satellite entanglement distribution. Ma et al. [114] reported on a free-space teleportation experiment in the laboratory (10m) using a high loss channel (36 dB attenuation), like a ground-to-satellite link, that was simulated using neutral density filters to show that quantum teleportation is experimentally feasible in adverse conditions.

Alternately, Yin et al. [112] and Jin et al. [115] reported on free-space quantum teleportation with multi-photon entanglement in China over distances of 97km in 2012 and 16km in 2010, respectively. In contrast, Erven et al. [18] discussed a quantum non-locality experiment that connected three quantum communications nodes. The nodes shared entanglement that was distributed from one node to two distant nodes through free space links that were 772m and 686m apart. This was a proof-of-principle experiment which may lead to multi-party quantum secret sharing and multi-party teleportation.

Finally, we note that a helpful review of the physics of free-space and atmospheric quantum communications, including discussions on teleportation and quantum measurement processes can be found in the book chapter by Meyers et al. [7] Below, Fig. 1 updated from the book chapter illustrates the quantitative relationship between the propagation distance and the year the free-space quantum communication experiment was conducted. In summary, the free-space teleportation experiments highlighted above show that long distance teleportation such as from ground to satellite is an achievable goal. Such ground-to-satellite and satellite-to-ground entanglement distribution is a needed technology for a teleportation network with global reach.

4.7 Related quantum information science experiments

An example of a related quantum information science experiment that facilitates the development of a quantum network was reported in 2017 by Kalb et al. [116] on distilling entanglement on a cluster of NV diamond nodes. In 2016 Furusawa’s group experimentally demonstrated generation of CV entangled photon beams and EPR beams using an integrated photonic waveguide [117]. They also demonstrated the synchronization of optical




Figure 1. Quantitative relationship between the propagation distance and the year the cited free-space quantum communication experiment was executed (updated from Meyers et al. [7], “Free-Space and Atmospheric Quantum Communications,” Springer (2014)).

photons from independent quantum memories to bring about nonclassical HOM two photon interference [118], which may be scalable for quantum information processing. Another example is from Hanson’s Group in the Netherlands [119], who presented the results of an experiment where they used entangled electron spins from NV diamond centers to achieve a loophole free CHSH Bell inequality violation over a distance of 1.3 km. Krenn et al. [120], reported the results of an outdoor, long-distance (3 km) entanglement distribution experiment that used OAM photons generated from a high fidelity Sagnac-type polarization entanglement source. The benefit of this type of experiment is that OAM entanglement allows for larger alphabets and an increased number of quantum channels to exploit for increased data rates. Other experiments have explored using OAM in QKD atmospheric applications but do not use entanglement or teleportation [142]. To show that entanglement is a practical resource for quantum communications Meyers et al. [138] in 2016 demonstrated two photon polarization entanglement distribution over an installed 27km fiber network loop from ARL to JQI and back. The ambient environment experiment verified the survival of entanglement over the inter-city optical fiber network that runs under the Washington D.C. beltway.

Two related quantum information science experiments include examination of loopholes in Bell inequality tests. Carvacho et al. [121] experimentally demonstrated Bell inequality tests over 3.7 km of optical fiber, which mitigated post-selection process loopholes that can lead to communications security vulnerabilities. This work suggests that their energy-time entanglement setup can be used to implement practical secure communications over existing telecommunication infrastructure. Similarly, Christensen et al. [29] presented an analysis of coincidence-time loopholes in experimental Bell tests. They applied the distance-based Bell-test analysis method of Knill et al. [30] to three experimental data sets where conventional analyses had failed or required additional assumptions. As a result of this analysis Christensen et al. [29] reported an improved protocol for Bell tests.

For a global quantum network various environmental and relativistic effects must be examined. For example, Lin et al. [122] conducted experiments to explore relativistic effects and environmental influences in quantum teleportation. Here, relativistic effects included those related to reference frame dependence on quantum entanglement, time dilation and relativistic Doppler shift. The environmental influences studied were related to quantum decoherence and the Unruh effect. In this paper, Lin et al. [122] discuss the fidelity of quantum teleportation results from four representative teleportation cases where Alice is at rest and (1) Bob is also at rest, (2)

Bob is uniformly accelerated, (3) Bob is the traveling twin in the twin problem, and (4) Bob experiences alternating uniform acceleration. Understanding of these effects is important when considering teleportation of quantum information between ground-to-satellite, air-to-satellite, air-to-air, moving platforms, and ground-to-air stations. In another example, Furusawa's group [123] experimentally demonstrated the nonlocal wavefunction collapse of a single photon, which in essence is a proof of Einstein's EPR [9] concept of "spooky action at a distance." They argued that a single photon split between two spatially distant modes is an important entanglement resource for quantum information applications and that their experiment is a verification of this type of entanglement.

Table 3b (cont). Summary of quantum teleportation experiments

| Year | Dist. | Lasers | Description | Fidelity | Country | Reference |
|---|---------|--|--|----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Related quantum information science experiments | | | | | | |
| 2017 | -- | -- | NV centers diamond entanglement distillation | -- | NL, UK | Kalb et al. [116] |
| 2016 | 300 m | SPDC 850nm 755nm | OAM QKD | -- | CA, USA IR, GER | Sit et al. [142] |
| 2016 | 26 km | FWM 1550nm | Entanglement distribution over installed fiber | -- | USA | Meyers et al. [138] |
| 2016 | -- | 860 nm CW squeezed light | CV entanglement & EPR on a chip | -- | JP | Masada, Furusawa [117] |
| 2015 | -- | Nonclassical HOM effect for QIP | Synchronized HOM 2-photon interference | -- | JP, GER | Makino et al. [118] |
| 2015 | 1.3 km | NV centers, diamond; red & yellow lasers | Loophole free Bell inequality violation | 92±3.0% | NL, ES, UK | Hensen et al. [119] |
| 2015 | 3 km | Sagnac-type EPS | Entanglement in turbulence | -- | AT | Krenn et al. [120] |
| 2015 | 3.7 km | 403nm→type-II PPKTP→806nm | Loophole free Bell test in fiber | -- | CL, ES, SE, IT | Carvacho et al. [121] |
| 2014 | -- | CW 860 nm Ti:sapphire: | Nonlocal wavefunction collapse | -- | JP, PL, AU | Fuwa et al. [123] |
| 2014 | 35.5 km | 405nm→type-I BBO: 760nm & 867nm | Test flight: corr. photon system | -- | SG, CH | Tang et al. [124] |

Finally, Tang et al. [124] presented the results of a high-altitude balloon test flight of a rugged, compact and power efficient device for generating and monitoring polarization correlations between photon pairs at 760 nm and 867 nm under adverse ascent and descent conditions to 35.5km. The Center for Quantum Technologies (CQT) group aims to deploy the compact device on a platform such as a nanosatellite operating at a low-earth-orbiting altitude of 400km [133]. CQT's recent test results demonstrated that an entangled photon source and detector package can be designed and manufactured to withstand mechanical vibrations, accelerations, changes in internal and external temperature, relative humidity and pressure, i.e., environmental conditions that most setups in the laboratory cannot endure.

Entanglement has been shown to be a phenomena vital to modern quantum information processing. Scientific experiments are investigating both such fundamentals as nonclassicality, the relation of quantum and relativistic physics, and also such practical applications as high-order entanglement for increased quantum channel capacity. It was also shown that entanglement sources and measurements can be engineered to be robust to harsh, non-laboratory challenges.

5. SUMMARY

The *quantum internet* with fixed, free-space and atmospheric quantum network channels is becoming a reality [134, 135]. Quantum information will be teleported through *information teleportation networks* that necessarily

will include satellites. This paper has presented a review and discussion of key quantum protocols and recent developments in quantum teleportation experiments, which all contribute to the development of future quantum networks with increased security, bandwidth, and speed beyond classical capabilities. Achieving a quantum information teleportation network will require further advances in research involving both theory and experiments. For this purpose, the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) has been developing quantum communication technologies [136-141] and is performing additional experiments to advance the state-of-the-art. Advancement in fundamental quantum protocols and teleportation technology, both involving experimental exploration, are necessary to implement future information teleportation networks.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Ronald E. Meyers, Keith S. Deacon, and Arnold Tunick thank the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) for support. Philip R. Hemmer acknowledges the support of the Army Research Laboratory cooperative agreement W911NF-16-2-0094.

7. REFERENCES

1. C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, "Teleporting an Unknown Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels," *Physical Review Letters* **70**, 13, 1895-1899, 1993
2. D. Bouwmeester, J-W Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter and A. Zeilinger, "Experimental quantum teleportation," *Nature* **390**, 575-579 (1997)
3. N. Gisin, S. Tanzilli and W. Tittel, "Quantum teleportation and nonlocality: the puzzling predictions of entanglement are coming of age," *arXiv: 1508.05962v1*, 24 August 2015
4. T. E. Northup and R. Blatt, "Quantum information transfer using photons," *Nature Photonics* **8**, p356-363, 2014
5. R. Van Meter, "Teleportation," in *Quantum Networking*, Chichester, UK, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2014
6. A. Tunick, T. Moore, K. S. Deacon, R. E. Meyers, "Review of Representative Free-Space Quantum Communications Field Experiments," in Proc. SPIE **7815**, Quantum Communications and Quantum Imaging VIII, 2010
7. R. E. Meyers, K. S. Deacon, A. D. Tunick, "Free-space and atmospheric quantum communications," in A. Majumdar (Ed.), *Advanced Free Space Optics: A System Approach*, New York, Springer, 2014
8. S. Pirandola, J. Eisert, C. Weedbrook, A. Furusawa, S. L. Braunstein, "Advances in quantum teleportation," *arXiv:1505.07831v1*, 28 May 2015
9. A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, "Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality really be considered complete?" *Physical Review* **47**, 777, 1935
10. J. Bell, "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox," *Physics* **1**, 3, pp. 195-200, 1964
11. J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony and R. A. Holt, "Proposed experiment to test local hidden-variable theories," *Physical Review Letters* **23**, pp. 880-884, 1969
12. J. F. Clauser and A Shimony, "Bell's theorem. Experimental tests and implications," *Reports on Progress in Physics* **41**, 12, pp. 1881-1927, 1978
13. R. Loudon and P. L. Knight, "Squeezed Light," *Journal of Modern Optics*, **34**, 6-7, pp. 709-759, 1987
14. M. Hillery, "Sum and difference squeezing of the electromagnetic field," *Physical Review A* **40**, 3147, 1989
15. N. B. An and V. Tinh, "General multimode sum-squeezing," *Physics Letters A*, **261**, 1-2, pp. 34-39, 1999
16. N. B. An and V. Tinh, "General multimode difference-squeezing," *Physics Letters A* **270**, 1-2, pp. 27-40, 2000

17. K. V. Kheruntsyan, J.-C. Jaskula, P. Deuar, M. Bonneau, G. B. Partridge, J. Ruauadel, R. Lopes, D. Boiron and C. I. Westbrook, "Violation of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with matter waves," *Physical Review Letters* **108**, 260401, 2012
18. C. Erven, E. Meyer-Scott, K. Fisher, J. Lavoie, B. Higgins, Z. Yan, C. Pugh, J. Bourgoïn, R. Prevedel, L. Shalm, L. Richards, N. Gïgov, R. LaFlamme, G. Weighs, T. Jennewein, K. Resch, "Experimental three-photon quantum non-locality under strict locality conditions," *Nature Photonics* **8**, pp. 292-296, 2014
19. B. T. Kirby, S. Santra, V. S. Malinovsky, and M. Brodsky, "Entanglement Swapping of Two Arbitrarily Degraded Entangled States," *arXiv:1512.06093v2 [quant-ph]*, 25 July 2016
20. R. J. Drost, T. J. Moore, and M. Brodsky, "Switching Networks for Pairwise-Entanglement Distribution," *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking*, **8**, 5, pp. 331-341, 2016
21. W. J. Munro, A. M. Stephens, S. J. Devitt, K. A. Harrison and K. Nemoto, "Quantum communication without the necessity of quantum memories," *Nature Photonics*, **6**, pp. 777-781, 2012
22. Y.-B Sheng and L. Zhou, "Two-step complete polarization logic Bell-state analysis," *Scientific Reports*, **5**, 13453, 2015
23. R. J. Glauber, "Photon correlations," *Physical Review Letters* **10**, 3, pp. 84-86, 1963
24. R. J. Glauber, "The quantum theory of optical coherence," *Physical Review* **130**, 6, pp. 2529-2539, 1963
25. R. J. Glauber, "Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field," *Physical Review* **131**, 6, pp. 2766-2788, 1963
26. V. Tamma and S. Laibacher, "Multiboson correlation interferometry with arbitrary single-photon pure states," *Physical Review Letters* **114**, 243601, June 2015
27. W. J. Munro and K. Nemoto, "High performance quantum communication without quantum memories," in Proc. SPIE **9225**, Quantum Communications and Quantum Imaging XII, 2014
28. A. Khalique and B. C. Sanders, "Long-distance quantum communication through any number of entanglement-swapping operations," *Physical Review A* **90**, 032304, 2014
29. B. G. Christensen, A. Hill, P. G. Kwiat, E. Knill, S. W. Nam, K. Coakley, S. Glancy, L. K. Shalm, and Y. Zhang, "Analysis of coincidence-time loopholes in experimental Bell tests," *arXiv:1503.07573v1*, 25 March 2015
30. E. Knill, S. Glancy, S. W. Nam, K. Coakley and Y. Zhang, "Bell inequalities for continuously emitting sources," *Physical Review A* **91**, 032105, 2015
31. T. M. Graham, H. J. Bernstein, T.-C Wei, M. Junge and P. G. Kwiat, "Superdense teleportation using hyperentangled photons," *Nature Communications* **6**, 7185, 2015
32. A. Banerjee and A. Pathak, "Maximally efficient protocols for direct secure quantum communication," *Physics Letters A* **376**, 45, pp. 2944-2950, 2012
33. A.-D Zhu, Y. Xia, Q.-B Fan and S. Zhang, "Secure direct communication based on secret transmitting order of particles," *Physical Review A* **73**, 022338, 2006
34. M. Lucamarini and S. Mancini, "Secure deterministic communication without entanglement," *Physical Review Letters* **94**, 140501, 2005
35. K. Bostrom and T. Felbinger, "Deterministic secure direct communication using entanglement," *Physical Review Letters* **89**, 187902, 2002
36. C. Bennett, "Quantum cryptography using any two nonorthogonal states," *Physical Review Letters* **68**, pp. 3121-3124, 1992
37. A. Ekert, "Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem," *Physical Review Letters* **67**, pp. 661-663, 1991
38. C. Bennett and G. Brassard, "Quantum Cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing," Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Computers, Systems and Signal Processing, Bangalore, pp. 175, 1984

39. G. Chiribella, Y. Yang and A. C.-C Yao, "Quantum replication at the Heisenberg limit," *Nature Communications* **4**, 2915, 2013
40. W. Dur, P. Sekatski and M. Skotiniotis, "Deterministic superreplication of one-parameter unitary transformations," *Physical Review Letters* **114**, 120503, 2015
41. A. Ekert and R. Renner, "The ultimate physical limits of privacy," *Nature* **507**, pp. 443–447, 2014
42. N. Solmeyer, X. Li, and Q. Quraishi, "High teleportation rates using cold-atom-ensemble-based quantum repeaters with Rydberg blockade," *Physical Review A* **93**, 042301, 2016
43. B. Zhao, M. Muller, K. Hammerer, and P. Zoller, "Efficient quantum repeater based on deterministic Rydberg gates," *Physical Review A* **81**, 052329, 2010
44. Y. Han, B. He, K. Heshami, C.-Z. Li, and C. Simon, "Quantum repeaters based on Rydberg-blockade-coupled atomic ensembles," *Physical Review A* **81**, 052311, 2010
45. C. Jones, D. Kim, M. T. Rakher, P. G. Kwiat and T. D. Ladd, "Design and analysis of communication protocols for quantum repeater networks," *arXiv:1505.01536*, 06 May 2015
46. K. Azuma, K. Tamaki and H.-K Lo, "All-photon quantum repeaters," *Nature Communications* **6**, 6787, 2015
47. N. Sangouard, C. Simon, H. de Riedmatten and N. Gisin, "Quantum repeaters based on atomic ensembles and linear optics," *Review of Modern Physics* **83**, pp. 33-80, 2011
48. H. J. Briegel, W. Dur, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "Quantum repeaters: The role of imperfect local operations in quantum communication," *Physical Review Letters* **81**, pp. 5932–5935, 1998
49. L.-M Duan, M. D. Lukin, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "Long-distance quantum communication with atomic ensembles and linear optics," *Nature* **414**, pp. 413-418, November 2001
50. B. Kraus, W. Tittel, N. Gisin, M. Nilsson, S. Kroll and J. I. Cirac, "Quantum memory for nonstationary light fields based on controlled reversible inhomogeneous broadening," *Physical Review A* **73**, 020302, 2006
51. M. P. Hedges, J. J. Longdell, Y. Li and M. J. Sellars, "Efficient quantum memory for light," *Nature* **465**, pp. 1052-1056, 2010
52. B. Lauritzen, J. Minar, H. de Riedmatten, M. Afzelius, N. Sangouard, C. Simon and N. Gisin, "Telecommunication wavelength solid-state memory at the single photon level," *Physical Review Letters* **104**, 080502, 2010
53. M. Afzelius, C. Simon, H. de Riedmatten and N. Gisin, "Multimode quantum memory based on atomic frequency combs," *Physical Review A* **79**, 052329, 2009
54. A. L. Alexander, J. J. Longdell, M. J. Sellars and N. B. Manson, "Photon echoes produced by switching electric fields," *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 043602, 2006
55. G. Hetet, J. J. Longdell, A. L. Alexander, P. K. Lam and M. J. Sellars, "Electro-optic quantum memory for light using two-level atoms," *Physical Review Letters* **100**, 2, 023601, 2008
56. K. J. Boller, A. Imamoglu and S. E. Harris, "Observation of electromagnetically induced transparency," *Physical Review Letters* **66**, pp. 2593-2596, 1991
57. A. V. Gorshkov, A. Andre, M. Fleischhauer, A. S. Sorensen and M. D. Lukin, "Optimal storage of photon states in optically dense atomic media," *Physical Review Letters* **98**, 123601, 2007
58. X.-F Qian, B. Little, J. C. Howell and J. H. Eberly, "Shifting the quantum-classical boundary: theory and experiment for statistically classical optical fields," *Optica* **2**, pp. 611-615, 2015
59. S. M. H. Rafsanjani, M. Mirhosseini, O. S. Magana-Loaiza and R. W. Boyd, "State transfer based on classical nonseparability," *Physical Review A* **92**, 023827, 2015
60. K. Banaszek, "Low-power optical communication: approaching the quantum limit," Abstract in SPIE **9615**, Quantum Communications and Quantum Imaging XIII (2015)

61. T. M. Graham, H. J. Bernstein, T. Wei, and P. Kwiat, "SuperDense Teleportation using Hyperentangled Photons," in *Research in Optical Sciences*, OSA Technical Digest, paper QTh3A.3 (2014)
62. T. M. Graham, H. J. Bernstein, H. Javadi, B. J. Geldzahler and P. Kwiat, "Superdense teleportation for space applications," *Proc. SPIE* **9123**, Quantum Information and Computation XII, 912302 (2014)
63. M. Erhard, H. Qassim, H. Mand, E. Karimi and R. W. Boyd, "Real-time imaging of spin-to-orbital angular momentum quantum state teleportation," *arXiv:1404.7573v1*, 2014
64. X.-L. Wang, X.-D. Cai, Z.-E. Su, M.-C. Chen, D. Wu, L. Li, N.-L. Liu, C.-Y. Lu and J.-W. Pan, "Quantum teleportation of multiple degrees of freedom of a single photon," *Nature* **518**, pp. 516-519, 2015
65. F. Kaiser, A. Issautier, L.A. Ngah, D. Aktas, T. Delord and S. Tanzilli, "Towards continuous-wave regime teleportation for light matter quantum relay stations," *arXiv:1412.7648v1*, 2014
66. F. Kaiser, T. Delord and S. Tanzilli, "Continuous regime quantum teleportation experiment for hybrid quantum nodes," in *Research in Optical Sciences*, OSA Technical Digest, paper QTu3A.4, Quantum Information and Measurement Conference, Berlin, Germany, 18-20 March 2014
67. Q.-C. Sun, Y.-L. Mao, S.-J. Chen, W. Zhang, Y.-F. Jiang, Y.-B. Zhang, W.-J. Zhang, S. Miki, T. Yamashita, H. Terai, X. Jiang, T.-Y. Chen, L.-X. You, X.-F. Chen, Z. Wang, J.-Y. Fan, Q. Zhang and J.-W. Pan, "Quantum teleportation with independent sources and prior entanglement distribution over a network," *Nature Photonics*, **10**, pp. 671-676, 2016.
68. R. Valivarthi, M. G. Puigibert, Q. Zhou, G. H. Aguilar, V. B. Verma, F. Marsili, M. D. Shaw, S. W. Nam, D. Oblak. and W. Tittel, "Quantum teleportation across a metropolitan fibre network," *Nature Photonics*, **10**, pp. 676-680, 2016.
69. H. Takesue, S. D. Dyer, M. J. Stevens, V. Verma, R. P. Mirin and S. W. Nam, "Quantum teleportation over 100 km of fiber using highly efficient superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors," *Optica* **2** 10, pp. 832-835, 2015
70. R.-B. Jin, M. Takeoka, U. Takagi, R. Shimizu and M. Sasaki, "Highly efficient entanglement swapping and teleportation at telecom wavelength," *Scientific Reports* **5**, 9333, 2015
71. N. Maring, K. Kutluer, J. Cohen, M. Cristiani, M. Mazzer, P. Ledingham. and H. de Riedmatten, "Storage of up-converted telecom photons in a doped crystal," *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 113021, 2014
72. H. Rütz, K.-H. Luo, H. Suche and C. Silberhorn, "Quantum Frequency Conversion between Infrared and Ultraviolet," *Physical Review Applied* **7**, 024021, 2017
73. M. Schleier-Smith, "Editorial: Hybridizing Quantum Physics and Engineering," *Physical Review Letters* **117**, 100001, 2016
74. S. Takeda, T. Mizuta, M. Fuwa, P. van Loock and A. Furusawa, "Deterministic quantum teleportation of photonic quantum bits by a hybrid technique," *Nature* **500**, pp. 315-318, 2013
75. S. Takeda, M. Fuwa, P. van Loock and A. Furusawa, "Entanglement swapping between discrete and continuous variables," *Physical Review Letters* **114**, 100501, 2015
76. M. Fuwa, S. Toba, S. Takeda, P. Marek, L. Mista, R. Filip, P. van Loock, J. Yoshikawa and A. Furusawa, "Noiseless conditional teleportation of a single photon," *Physical Review Letters* **113**, 223602, 2014
77. E. Saglamyurek, J. Jin, V. B. Verma, M. D. Shaw, F. Marsili, S. W. Nam, D. Oblak and W. Tittel, "Quantum storage of entangled telecom-wavelength photons in an erbium-doped optical fibre," *Nature Photonics*, **9**, pp. 83-87, 2015
78. E. Saglamyurek, T. Lutz, L. Veissier, M. P. Hedges, C. W. Thiel, R. L. Cone and W. Tittel, "Efficient and long-lived Zeeman-sublevel atomic population storage in an erbium-doped glass fiber," *arXiv:1507.03012v1*, 2015
79. A. Peres, "Delayed choice for entanglement swapping," *Journal of Modern Optics* **47**, pp. 139-143, 2000
80. X.-S. Ma, S. Zotter, J. Kofler, R. Ursin, T. Jennewein, C. Brukner and A. Zeilinger, "Experimental delayed-choice entanglement swapping," *Nature Physics* **8**, pp. 479-484, 2012

81. J. Nilsson, R. M. Stevenson, K. H. A. Chan, J. Skiba-Szymanska, M. Lucamarini, M. B. Ward, A. J. Bennett, C. L. Salter, I. Farrer, D. A. Ritchie and A. J. Shields, "Quantum teleportation using a light-emitting diode," *Nature Photonics* **7**, pp. 3110-3115, 2013
82. R. M. Stevenson, J. Nilsson, A. J. Bennett, J. Skiba-Szymanska, I. Farrer, D. A. Ritchie and A. J. Shields, "Quantum teleportation of laser-generated photons with an entangled-light-emitting diode," *Nature Communications* **4**, 2859, 2013
83. C. Varnava, R. M. Stevenson, J. Nilsson, J. Skiba-Szymanska, B. Dzurnak, M. Lucamarini, R. V. Penty, I. Farrer, D. A. Ritchie and A. J. Shields, "An entangled-LED driven quantum relay over 1 km," *arXiv:1506.00518v1*, 2015
84. B. C. Jacobs, T. B. Pittman and J. D. Franson, "Quantum relays and noise suppression using linear optics," *Physical Review A* **66**, 052307, 2002
85. L. T. Knoll, C. T. Schmiegelow and M. A. Larotonda, "Noisy quantum teleportation: An experimental study on the influence of local environments," *Physical Review A* **90**, 042332, 2014
86. N. Lee, H. Benichi, Y. Takeno, S. Takeda, J. Webb, E. Huntington, A. Furusawa, "Teleportation of nonclassical wave packets of light," *Science* **332**, pp. 220-222, 2011
87. I. A. Walmsley, B. J. Metcalf, J. B. Spring, P. C. Humphreys, M. Barbieri, W. S. Kolthammer, J. C. Gates, P. G. R. Smith, "Multiphoton quantum interference in multiport integrated optical circuits: from teleportation to Boson Sampling," Abstract: European Quantum Electronics Conference 2015, Munich, Germany, 2015
88. B. J. Metcalf, J. B. Spring, P. C. Humphreys, N. Thomas-Peter, M. Barbieri, W. S. Kolthammer, X.-M. Jin, N. K. Langford, D. Kundys, J. C. Gates, B. J. Smith, P. G. R. Smith and I. A. Walmsley, "Quantum teleportation on a photonic chip," *Nature Photonics* **8**, pp. 770-774, 2014
89. L. Steffen, Y. Salathe, M. Oppliger, P. Kurpiers, M. Baur, C. Lang, C. Eichler, G. Puebla-Hellmann, A. Fedorov and A. Wallraff, "Deterministic quantum teleportation with feed-forward in a solid state system," *Nature* **500**, pp. 319-322, 2013
90. P.-Y. Hou, Y.-Y. Huang, X.-X. Yuan, X.-Y. Chang, C. Zu, L. He and L.-M. Duan, "Quantum teleportation from light beams to vibrational states of a macroscopic diamond," *Nature Communications*, **7**, 11736, 2016
91. H. Bernien, B. Hensen, W. Pfaff, G. Koolstra, M.S. Blok, L. Robledo, T. H. Taminiau, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, L. Childress and R. Hanson, "Heralded entanglement between solid-state qubits separated by three metres," *Nature* **497**, pp. 86-90, 2013
92. W. Pfaff, B. J. Hensen, H. Bernien, S. B. van Dam, M. S. Blok, T. H. Taminiau, M. J. Tiggelman, R. N. Schouten, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen and R. Hanson, "Unconditional quantum teleportation between distant solid-state qubits," *Science* **345**, pp. 532-535, 2014
93. F. Bussieres, C. Clausen, A. Tiranov, B. Kozh, V. B. Verma, S. W. Nam, F. Marsili, A. Ferrier, P. Goldner, H. Herrmann, C. Silberhorn, W. Sohler, M. Afzelius and N. Gisin, "Quantum teleportation from a telecom-wavelength photon to a solid-state quantum memory," *Nature Photonics* **8**, pp. 775-778, 2014
94. A. Tiranov, J. Lavoie, A. Ferrier, P. Goldner, V. B. Verma, S. W. Nam, R. P. Mirin, A. E. Lita, F. Marsili, H. Herrmann, C. Silberhorn, N. Gisin, M. Afzelius and F. Bussieres, "Storage of hyperentanglement in a solid-state quantum memory," *arXiv:1412.6488v1*, 2014
95. A. Tiranov, "Exploring storage capability of a solid-state quantum memory for light," *Thèse de doctorat*, Univ. Genève, 2016, no. Sc. 4993, 2016
96. W. B. Gao, P. Fallahi, E. Togan, A. Delteil, Y. S. Chin, J. Miguel-Sanchez, A. Imamoglu, "Quantum teleportation from a propagating photon to a solid-state spin qubit," *Nature Communications* **4**, 2744 (2013)
97. W. B. Gao, A. Imamoglu, H. Bernien and R. Hanson, "Coherent manipulation, measurement and entanglement of individual solid-state spins using optical fields," *Nature Photonics* **9**, pp. 363-373, 2015
98. G. Masada, K. Miyata, A. Politi, T. Hashimoto, J. L. O'Brien and A. Furusawa, "Continuous-variable entanglement on a chip," *Nature Photonics*, **9**, pp. 316-319, 2015

99. N. Matsuda and H. Takesue, "Generation and manipulation of entangled photons on silicon chips," *Nanophotonics* **5**, 3, pp. 440-455, 2016
100. D. Rielander, K. Kutluer, P. M. Ledingham, M. Gundogan, J. Fekete, M. Mazzerà and H. de Riedmatten, "Quantum storage of heralded single photons in a Praseodymium-doped crystal," *Physical Review Letters* **112**, 040504, 2014
101. M. Gundogan, P. M. Ledingham, K. Kutluer, M. Mazzerà and H. de Riedmatten, "A solid state spin-wave quantum memory for time-bin qubits," *arXiv:1501.03980v1*, 2015
102. H. Krauter, D. Salart, C. A. Muschik, J. M. Petersen, H. Shen, T. Fernholz and E. S. Polzik, "Deterministic quantum teleportation between distant atomic objects," *Nature Physics*, **9**, pp. 400-404, 2013
103. X.-H. Bao, X.-F. Xu, C.-M. Li, Z.-S. Yuan, C.-Y. Lu and J.-W. Pan, "Quantum teleportation between remote atomic-ensemble quantum memories," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* **109**, 50 pp. 20347-20351, 2012
104. Z.-S. Yuan, Y.-A. Chen, B. Zhao, S. Chen, J. Schmiedmayer and J.-W. Pan, "Experimental demonstration of a BDCZ quantum repeater node," *Nature* **454**, pp. 1098-1101, 2008
105. C. Nolleke, A. Neuzner, A. Reiserer, C. Hahn, G. Rempe and S. Ritter, "Efficient teleportation between remote single-atom quantum memories," *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 140403, 2013
106. S. Ritter, C. Nolleke, C. Hahn, A. Reiserer, A. Neuzner, M. Uphoff, M. Mücke, E. Figueroa, J. Bochmann and G. Rempe, "An elementary quantum network of single atoms in optical cavities," *Nature* **484**, pp. 195-200, 2012
107. S. Olmschenk, D. N. Matsukevich, P. Maunz, D. Hayes, L. M. Duan and C. Monroe, "Quantum teleportation between distant matter qubits," *Science* **323**, pp. 486-489, 2009
108. J. Aron, "Quantum Experiments at Space Scale (QUESS)," *New Scientist*, August 2016.
109. Y. Cao, Q. Zhang, C.-Z. Peng, J.-W. Pan, "Quantum Information Experiments with Free-Space Channels," in R. Bertlmann, A. Zeilinger(Eds.), *Quantum [Un]Speakables II: Half a Century of Bell's Theorem*, New York, Springer, 2017
110. E. Gibney, *Nature* **535**, pp. 478-479, 28 July 2016
111. T. Herbst, T. Scheidl, M. Fink, J. Handsteiner, B. Wittmann, R. Ursin and A. Zeilinger, "Teleportation of entanglement over 143 km," *arXiv:1403.0009v4*, 2015
112. J. Yin, J.-G. Ren, H. Lu, Y. Cao, H.-L. Yong, Y.-P. Wu, C. Liu, S.-K. Liao, F. Zhou, Y. Jiang, X.-D. Cai, P. Xu, G.-S. Pan, J.-J. Jia, Y.-M. Huang, H. Yin, J.-Y. Wang, Y.-A. Chen, C.-Z. Peng and J.-W. Pan, "Quantum teleportation and entanglement distribution over 100-kilometre free-space channels," *Nature* **488**, pp. 185-188, 2012
113. X.-S. Ma, T. Herbst, T. Scheidl, D. Wang, S. Kropatschek, W. Naylor, B. Wittmann, A. Mech, J. Kofler, E. Anisimova, V. Makarov, T. Jennewein, R. Ursin and A. Zeilinger, "Quantum teleportation over 143 kilometres using active feed-forward," *Nature* **489**, pp. 269-273, 2012
114. X.-S. Ma, S. Kropatschek, W. Naylor, T. Scheidl, J. Kofler, T. Herbst, A. Zeilinger and R. Ursin, "Experimental quantum teleportation over a high-loss free-space channel," *Optics Express* **20**, 23126, 2012
115. X.-M. Jin, J.-G. Ren, B. Yang, Z.-H. Yi, F. Zhou, X.-F. Xu, S.-K. Wang, D. Yang, Y.-F. Hu, S. Jiang, T. Yang, H. Yin, K. Chen, C.-Z. Peng, and J.-W. Pan, "Experimental free-space quantum teleportation," *Nature Photonics* **4**, pp. 376-381, 2010
116. N. Kalb, A. A. Reiserer, P. C. Humphreys, J. J. W. Bakermans, S. J. Kamerling, N. H. Nickerson, S. C. Benjamin, D. J. Twitchen, M. Markham, and R. Hanson, "Entanglement Distillation between Solid-State Quantum Network Nodes," *arXiv:1703.03244v1 [quant-ph]*, 9 March 2017
117. G. Masada and A. Furusawa, "On-chip continuous-variable quantum entanglement," *Nanophotonics*, **5**, 3, pp. 469-482, 2016

118. K. Makino, Y. Hashimoto, J.-i. Yoshikawa, H. Ohdan, T. Toyama, P. van Loock and A. Furusawa, "Synchronization of optical photons for quantum information processing," *arXiv:1509.04409v1*, 15 September 2015
119. B. Hensen, H. Bernien, A. E. Dreau, A. Reiserer, N. Kalb, M. S. Blok, J. Ruitenberg, R. F. L. Vermeulen, R. N. Schouten, C. Abellan, W. Amaya, V. Pruneri, M. W. Mitchell, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, D. Elkouss, S. Wehner, T. H. Taminiau and R. Hanson, "Experimental loophole-free violation of a Bell inequality using entangled electron spins separated by 1.3 km," *Nature*, **526**, pp. 682-686, 21 October 2015
120. M. Krenn, J. Handsteiner, M. Fink, R. Fickler and A. Zeilinger, "Twisted photon entanglement through turbulent air across Vienna," *arXiv:1507.06551v1*, 2015
121. G. Carvacho, J. Carine, G. Saavedra, A. Cuevas, J. Fuenzalida, F. Toledo, M. Figueroa, A. Cabello, J.-A. Larsson, P. Mataloni, G. Lima and G. B. Xavier, "Post-selection loophole-free Bell test over an installed optical fiber network," *arXiv:1503.07535v1*, March 2015
122. S.-Y. Lin, C.-H. Chou and B. L. Hu, "Quantum teleportation between moving detectors," *arXiv:1502.03539v1*, 12 February 2015
123. M. Fuwa, S. Takeda, M. Zwierz, H. M. Wiseman and A. Furusawa, "Experimental proof of nonlocal wavefunction collapse for a single particle using homodyne measurement," *arXiv:1412.7790v1*, 2014
124. Z. Tang, R. Chandrasekara, Y. Y. Sean, C. Cheng, C. Wildfeuer and A. Ling, "Near-space flight of a correlated photon system." *Scientific Reports* **4**, 6366, 2014
125. B. Casabone, A. Stute, K. Friebe, B. Brandstatter, K. Schuppert, R. Blatt and T. E. Northup, "Heralded entanglement of two ions in an optical cavity," *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 100505, 2013
126. L. Slodicka, G. Hetet, M. Hennrich and R. Blatt, "Free space interference experiments with single photons and single ions," *arXiv:1502.04302v2*. 17 February 2015
127. V. Leong, S. Kosen, B. Srivathsan, G. K. Gulati, A. Cere and C. Kurtsiefer, "Hong-Ou-Mandel interference between triggered and heralded single photons from separate atomic systems," *arXiv:1504.00818v1*, 2015
128. A. Reiserer and G. Rempe, "Cavity-based quantum networks with single atoms and optical photons," *arXiv:1412.2889v1*, 2014
129. N. Kalb, A. Reiserer, S. Ritter and G. Rempe, "Heralded storage of a photonic quantum bit in a single atom," *arXiv:1503.06709v1*, 23 March 2015
130. Z. Merali, "Data teleportation: The quantum space race," *Nature* **492**, pp. 22-25, 2012
131. T. Jennewein, C. Grant, E. Choi, C. Pugh, C. Holloway, J. P. Bourgoin, H. Hakima, B. Higgins and R. Zee, "The NanoQEY mission: ground to space quantum key and entanglement distribution using a nanosatellite," *Proc. SPIE* **9254**, 925402 (2014)
132. P. Komar, E. M. Kessler, M. Bishof, L. Jiang, A. S. Sorensen, J. Ye and M. D. Lukin, "A quantum network of clocks," *Nature Physics* **10**, pp. 582-587, 2014
133. C. Cheng, R. Chandrasekara, Y. C. Tan and A. Ling, "Space qualified nanosatellite electronics platform for photon pair experiments," *arXiv:1505.06523v1*, 2015
134. P. Hemmer, "Closer to a quantum internet," *Physics* **6**, 62 2013
135. H. J. Kimble, "The quantum internet," *Nature* **453**, pp. 1023-1030, 2008
136. R. E. Meyers and K. S. Deacon, "Entangled quantum communications and quantum imaging," *Proc. SPIE* **5161**, 280, 2004
137. R. E. Meyers, P. Lee, K. S. Deacon, A. Tunick, Q. Quraishi and D. Stack, "A quantum network with atoms and photons," *Proc. SPIE* **8518**, 8518-14, 2012
138. R. E. Meyers, K. S. Deacon, A. Tunick, Q. Quraishi, and P. Lee, "A Quantum Network with Atoms and Photons," Technical Report No. ARL-TR-7786, US Army Research Laboratory, September 2016

139. R. E. Meyers, K. S. Deacon and D. L. Rosen, "Entangled quantum communications and quantum imaging," US Patent 7,536,012, 19 May 2009
140. R. E. Meyers and K. S. Deacon, "Quantum Fourier transform based information transmission system and method," US Patent 7,660,553, 9 February, 2010
141. R. E. Meyers and K. S. Deacon, "Quantum based information transmission system and method," US Patent 8,503,885, 6 August 2013
142. A. Sit, F. Bouchard, R. Fickler, J. Gagnon-Bischo, H. Larocque, K. Heshami, D. Elser, C. Peuntinger, K.n Gunthner, B. Heim, C. Marquardt, G. Leuchs, R. W. Boyd, and E. Karimi, "High-Dimensional Intra-City Quantum Cryptography with Structured Photons," *arXiv:1612.05195v1*, 2016

Appendix

List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

| | |
|---------------|---|
| ARL | US Army Research Laboratory |
| AR+ | Argon ion |
| AFC | Atomic frequency comb |
| B92 | A simplified QKD protocol developed by Bennett in 1992 |
| BB84 | First protocol for QKD developed by Bennett and Brassard in 1994 |
| BBO | Beta Barium Borate |
| BDCZ | Briegel, Dur, Cirac, and Zoller |
| BPSK | Binary phase shift keying |
| CHSH | Clauser, Horne, Shimony, and Holt |
| CPM | Complete parity check measurement |
| CQT | Center for Quantum Technologies |
| CRIB | Controlled reversible inhomogeneous broadening |
| C-S | Clauser and Shimony |
| CSI | Cauchy-Schwarz inequality |
| CV | Continuous variable |
| DLCZ | Duan, Lukin, Cirac and Zoller |
| DoF | Degree(s) of freedom |
| DSQC | Direct secure quantum communications |
| E91 | QKD protocol for entangled photons developed by Ekert in 1991 |
| EIT | Electromagnetically induced transparency |
| ELED: QD | Entangled light emitting diode: Quantum dot |
| EPR | Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen |
| EPS | Entangled photon source |
| FWM | Four wave mixing |
| GEM | Gradient echo memory |
| GHZ | Three-photon Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state |
| HOM | Hong-Ou-Mandel |
| InGaAs | Indium gallium arsenide |
| JQI | Joint Quantum Institute |
| LBO | Lithium borate |
| M-M, S-R, M-S | Protocols for distributing entanglement between two neighboring repeaters using single photons labeled as MeetInTheMiddle, SenderReceiver, and MidpointSource |
| MDS | Multimode difference squeezing |
| MSS | Multimode sum squeezing |
| NV | Nitrogen vacancy |
| OAM | Optical orbital angular momentum |
| PPKTP | Periodically poled potassium titanyl phosphate |
| PPLN | Periodically poled lithium niobate |
| PPM | Pulse position modulation |
| Qcomm | Quantum communications |
| QIP | Quantum information processing |
| QKD | Quantum key distribution |
| QS | Quadrature squeezing |
| QSDC | Quantum secure direct communications |
| SDS | Sum / difference squeezing |
| SDT | Super dense teleportation |
| SPDC | Spontaneous parametric down conversion |
| SPD | Single photon detector |
| SPS | Single-photon source based protocol |

Country Abbreviation List

| | |
|-----|--------------------------|
| AR | Argentina |
| AT | Austria |
| AU | Australia |
| CA | Canada |
| CH | Switzerland |
| CL | Chile |
| CN | China |
| CZ | Czech Republic |
| DK | Denmark |
| ES | Spain |
| FR | France |
| GER | Germany |
| IL | Israel |
| IN | India |
| IR | Iran |
| IT | Italy |
| JP | Japan |
| KR | South Korea |
| NL | Netherlands |
| NZ | New Zealand |
| PK | Pakistan |
| PL | Poland |
| SE | Sweden |
| SG | Singapore |
| TW | Taiwan |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| USA | United States of America |
| VN | Vietnam |

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
(PDF) INFORMATION CTR
DTIC OCA

2 DIR ARL
(PDF) RDRL CIO L
IMAL HRA MAIL & RECORDS MGMT

1 GOVT PRINTG OFC
(PDF) A MALHOTRA

6 DIR ARL
(PDF) RDRL CIN T
B RIVERA
R MEYERS
K DEACON
RDRL CII A
S YOUNG
D BARAN
A TUNICK