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Abstract

In this report, a fast algorithm for computing scattered fields with the use of physical optics (PO) equivalent approximation in half-space is presented. The theoretical basis for the algorithm and the derivation of formulas used in the algorithm is presented. The algorithm is used to compute the radar cross sections (RCSs) of several objects. The RCS of the objects computed by the algorithm is compared with those that were computed with the method of moments (MOM). The results presented are found to be accurate when the target dimensions are greater than or equal to $2\lambda$, where $\lambda$ denotes the wavelength. It is concluded that the PO algorithm presented in this report can be used for majority of applications as it captured all the salient features of the targets.
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1. Introduction

In general, scattered fields from complex objects are computed in the frequency domain with the use of the method of moments (MOM). Although this method computes the scattered fields accurately, the computational complexity is shown\(^1\) to be \(O(n^3)\), where \(n\) is the number of elements for which currents need to be computed. Because of the exponential nature of the computational complexity, as \(n\) increases, the use of MOM for computing scattered fields becomes less and less attractive. Other techniques for computing frequency domain scattered fields exist, namely, the fast multipole method (FMM), multilevel FMM, etc. The multilevel FMM techniques have the complexity \(O(n \log n)\).\(^1\) Within the realm of MOM, other techniques exist that take advantage of target geometry, such as exploiting body of revolution (BOR) symmetry to reduce the size of matrices, which must be inverted. In spite of great advances in computational electromagnetics, computing the scattered fields from an arbitrary target can be prohibitive, especially as the target is electrically large. However, at higher frequencies for targets with dimensions greater than \(2\lambda\), where \(\lambda\) denotes the wavelength, computing scattered fields by physical optics (PO) approximations yield relatively accurate results. Some of the commercially available high-frequency modeling packages, such as XPATCH, model targets in free space with or without conducting ground planes. The PO code that was developed computes the scattered fields in a lossy and dispersive half-space via the layered medium dyadic Green’s function specialized for a half-space. This capability is of interest for simulating targets in real soil. The PO code allows one to compute the radar cross section (RCS) and scattered fields of an arbitrary target that is underground, above ground, or partially buried.

Section 2 presents the basic formulation of the PO approximations and the Green’s functions used. Section 3 presents some of the RCS characteristics of various targets, namely, a sphere, a vertical plate, a mine, and an unexploded ordnance (UXO), that were computed with both MOM and PO for comparison. Section 3 also presents some of the actual synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images of UXOs along with the images generated by the simulated data by PO. These images show that most of the salient features of the targets are captured, which in turn, help develop automatic target detection (ATD) and automatic target recognition (ATR) algorithms.

2. Scattering of Radiation by an Object in Free Space

One assumes that the observations are made in the far field; that is, $(\beta r >> 1)$, where $\beta = \omega \sqrt{\mu \epsilon}$ and $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ represent the permeability and permittivity of free space, respectively; $\beta$ is the wave number; and $r$ denotes the distance from the target to the observation point. Figure 1 shows the coordinate system used for computing scattered fields in the far field. I approximate the distance $R$ from any point on the object to the observation point by

$$ R = \begin{cases} r - r' \cos \psi & \text{for phase variations}, \\ r & \text{for amplitude variations}, \end{cases} $$

(1)

where $\psi$ is the angle between the vectors $r$ and $r'$ (vectors are denoted by bold letters). Then the electric and magnetic fields radiated by the object in the far field are given by

$$ \mathbf{E}_A = -j \omega A \ (\theta \text{ and } \phi \text{ components only}) , $$

$$ \mathbf{H}_F = -j \omega F \ (\theta \text{ and } \phi \text{ components only}) , $$

(2)

where

$$ A = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int_S J_s \frac{e^{-j\beta R}}{R} \, ds = -j \omega \frac{\mu e^{-j\beta r}}{4\pi r} \, N , $$

and

$$ N = \int_S J_s \exp(j\beta r' \cos \psi) \, ds , $$

(3)

(4)

Figure 1. Coordinate system for radiation by an object.
where \( \mathbf{J}_s \) and \( \mathbf{M}_s \) denote the electric and magnetic current densities induced on the object. Clearly, scattered field \( \mathbf{E} \) can be computed if \( \mathbf{J}_s \) is known. To compute \( \mathbf{J}_s \) in an object, one assumes a known plane wave is impinging on the object. PO approximation gives a relation between the induced magnetic field and the current density \( \mathbf{J}_s \). The following subsection presents the relevant concepts.

### 2.1 Physical Optics Equivalent

For the sake of completeness, I present in this subsection a brief description of PO approximation theory. Detailed explanation and derivation of relevant formulation can be found in literature by Balanis.\(^2\)

Let us consider an unbounded medium with constituting parameters \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \mu_1 \). Let us also assume that a current source \( \mathbf{J}_1 \) radiating \( \mathbf{E}_1 \) and a magnetic current source \( \mathbf{M}_1 \) radiating \( \mathbf{H}_1 \) are everywhere in the medium. Moreover, consider an imaginary region \( V_1 \) enclosed by a surface \( S_1 \) in this medium as shown in figure 2. Assume that the surface \( S_1 \) is replaced by another medium with parameters \( \varepsilon_2 \) and \( \mu_2 \). Let us also assume that the sources \( \mathbf{J}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{M}_1 \) are allowed to radiate in the presence of the new medium in \( V_1 \). The total field outside the region \( V_1 \), produced by \( \mathbf{J}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{M}_1 \), is \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) and inside \( V_1 \) is \( \mathbf{E}_t \) and \( \mathbf{H}_t \).

The total field outside the region \( V_1 \) is equal to the original field (\( \mathbf{E}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{H}_1 \) in the absence of the obstacle plus a perturbation (scattered) field (\( \mathbf{E}^s \), \( \mathbf{H}^s \)), introduced by the obstacle. Hence,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}^s, \\
\mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}^s.
\end{align*}
\] (5)

In the above equation, one can compute the original fields \( \mathbf{E}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{H}_1 \) by solving Maxwell’s equations. To compute \( \mathbf{E}^s \) and \( \mathbf{H}^s \), I introduce boundary currents \( \mathbf{J}_p \) and \( \mathbf{M}_p \) on the surface.

These currents can be expressed in terms of the difference in fields (\( \mathbf{E}^s, \mathbf{H}^s \)) that are outside the region \( V_1 \) and the fields (\( \mathbf{E}_t, \mathbf{H}_t \)) that are inside the region \( V_1 \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{J}_p &= \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H}^s - \mathbf{H}_t), \\
\mathbf{M}_p &= -\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{E}^s - \mathbf{E}_t),
\end{align*}
\] (6)

Figure 2. Field geometry for derivation of currents on a surface of an object.

where “×” denotes the cross product. Since the tangential components of \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) must be continuous across the boundary, I get

\[
\mathbf{E}_1 \big|_{\text{tan}} + \mathbf{E}^s \big|_{\text{tan}} = \mathbf{E}^t \big|_{\text{tan}} \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}^s) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^t ,
\]

(7)

\[
\mathbf{H}_1 \big|_{\text{tan}} + \mathbf{H}^s \big|_{\text{tan}} = \mathbf{H}^t \big|_{\text{tan}} \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}^s) = \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^t ,
\]

or

\[
\mathbf{E}^s \big|_{\text{tan}} - \mathbf{E}^t \big|_{\text{tan}} = -\mathbf{E}_1 \big|_{\text{tan}} \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\mathbf{E}^s - \mathbf{E}^t) = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_1 ,
\]

(8)

\[
\mathbf{H}^s \big|_{\text{tan}} - \mathbf{H}^t \big|_{\text{tan}} = -\mathbf{H}_1 \big|_{\text{tan}} \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\mathbf{H}^s - \mathbf{H}^t) = -\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_1 .
\]

Substituting equations (8) in (6), I get

\[
\mathbf{J}_p = -\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_1
\]

(9)

\[
\mathbf{M}_p = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_1 .
\]

Let us now assume that the obstacle occupying the region \( V_1 \) is a perfect electric conductor (PEC) with conductivity \( \sigma = \infty \). Then the fields in the region \( \mathbf{E}^t \) and \( \mathbf{H}^t \) equal zero as shown in figure 3. Over the boundary \( S_1 \) of the conductor, the total tangential components of the \( \mathbf{E} \) field are equal to zero, and the total tangential components of the \( \mathbf{H} \) field are equal to the induced current density \( \mathbf{J}_p \). Hence,

\[
\mathbf{M}_p = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^t) = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}^s) = 0 ,
\]

or

\[
-\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_1 = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^s , \quad \text{and}
\]

(10)

\[
\mathbf{J}_p = \nabla \times (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}^s) ,
\]

or for an infinitely large object, it can be shown by image theory\(^2\) that \( \mathbf{H}_1 \big|_{\text{tan}} = \mathbf{H}^s \big|_{\text{tan}} \). Hence, the PO for equation (10) becomes

\[
\mathbf{J}_p = 2\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_1 \quad \text{in the lit region and}
\]

\[
\mathbf{J}_p = 0 \quad \text{in the shadow region .}
\]

Figure 3. Field geometry for physical optics approximation.

Equation (11) allows us to compute the current density $J_p$ on the target because of $H_1$, which one assumes can be computed. Once we have determined $J_p$, we can use equations (2) to (4) to compute the field radiated by the target with current density $J_p$. In particular, the scattered field can be computed once $N$ in equation (4) is determined; namely,

$$N = \int_S J \exp(j\beta r' \cos \psi) \, ds = \int_S J \exp(j\beta r \cdot r') \, ds \text{ ,}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where “.$.” denotes the dot product. Note that $J$ in equation (12) is computed by equation (11). Expanding equation (12) in terms of its $x, y,$ and $z$ components of the current $J$ produces

$$N = \int_S (\hat{a}_x J_x + \hat{a}_y J_y + \hat{a}_z J_z) \exp(j\beta r \cdot r') \, ds \text{ .}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Using the rectangular-to-spherical component transformation, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_x \\ \hat{a}_y \\ \hat{a}_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \sin \phi & \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_r \\ \hat{a}_\theta \\ \hat{a}_\phi \end{bmatrix} \text{ ,}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

we can write the $\theta$ (vertical polarization) and $\phi$ (horizontal polarization) components of $N$ as

$$N_\theta = \int_S (J_x \cos \theta \cos \phi + J_y \cos \theta \sin \phi - J_z \sin \theta) \exp(j\beta r \cdot r') \, ds \text{ ,}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$N_\phi = \int_S (J_x \sin \phi + J_y \cos \phi) \exp(-j\beta r \cdot r') \, ds \text{ .}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Using equations (15) and (16) in equations (2) and (3) produces expressions for scattered field in vertical and horizontal polarizations:

**vertical polarization**

$$E_\theta = -\frac{j \omega \mu}{4 \pi r} e^{-j\beta r} \int_S (J_x \cos \theta \cos \phi + J_y \cos \theta \sin \phi - J_z \sin \theta) \times \exp[j\beta(x' \sin \theta \cos \phi + y' \sin \theta \sin \phi + z' \cos \theta)] \, ds$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

**horizontal polarization**

$$E_\phi = -\frac{j \omega \mu}{4 \pi r} e^{-j\beta r} \int_S (J_x \sin \phi + J_y \cos \phi) \times \exp[j\beta(x' \sin \theta \cos \phi + y' \sin \theta \sin \phi + z' \cos \theta)] \, ds \text{ .}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Note that equations (17) and (18) correspond to the scattered fields in free space. The following section presents the half-space approximations. We can obtain these approximations by incorporating the half-space dyadic Green’s functions.*

---

*T. Dogaru, private notes, Electrical Engineering Dept., Duke University, Durham, NC.
2.2 Half-Space Approximations

Consider two layers with an \( xy \)-plane with \( z = 0 \) as the boundary between the two as shown in figure 4. The medium in layer 1 has the permittivity \( \varepsilon_1 \) and permeability \( \mu_1 \) while the medium in layer 2 has \( \varepsilon_2 \) and \( \mu_2 \). Often the medium in layer 1 is air; thus, \( \mu_1 = \mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m} \) and \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0 = 10^{-9}/36\pi \text{ F/m} \). Define the following functions:

\[
\beta_1 = \frac{\omega \mu_1 \varepsilon_1}{1}, \\
\beta_2 = \frac{\omega \mu_2 \varepsilon_2}{1}, \\
\exp_1 = \exp[j\beta_1(x'\sin\theta \cos\phi + y'\sin\theta \sin\phi + z'\cos\theta)], \\
\exp_2 = \exp[j\beta_1(x'\sin\theta \cos\phi + y'\sin\theta \sin\phi - z'\cos\theta)], \\
\exp_3 = \exp[j(\beta_1(x'\sin\theta \cos\phi + y'\sin\theta \sin\phi) + z'\sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2})] ,
\]

\[
T_{xx} = T_{yy} = \frac{2\beta_1 \cos\theta}{\beta_1 \cos\theta + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2}}, \\
T_{zz} = \frac{2\beta_1 \cos\theta}{\beta_1 \cos\theta + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2}}, \\
T_{zx} = T_{zx} \sin\phi \cos\phi, \\
T_{zy} = T_{zx} \sin\phi \cos\phi, \\
R_{xx} = R_{yy} = \frac{\beta_1 \cos\theta - \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2}}{\beta_1 \cos\theta + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2}}, \\
R_{xx} = R_{yy} = \frac{\beta_1 \cos\theta - \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2}}{\beta_1 \cos\theta + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - (\beta_1\sin\theta)^2}}, \\
R_{zx} = T_{zx}, \\
R_{zy} = T_{zx} \sin\phi \cos\phi.
The following are the expressions for $E_\theta$ and $E_\phi$ for the target in layer 1:

$$E_\theta = -\frac{j\omega \mu_1}{4\pi r}e^{-j\beta r} \int_S (J_x \cos \theta \cos \phi (\exp_1 + R_{xx} \exp_2) - \sin \theta R_{zx} \exp_2) ds,$$
$$+ J_y \cos \theta \sin \phi (\exp_1 + R_{yy} \exp_2) - \sin \theta R_{zy} \exp_2) - J_z \sin \theta (\exp_1 + R_{zz} \exp_2) ds,$$ (19)

$$E_\phi = -\frac{j\omega \mu_1}{4\pi r}e^{-j\beta r} \int_S \{ -J_x \sin \phi (\exp_1 + R_{xx} \exp_2) + J_y \cos \phi (\exp_1 + R_{yy} \exp_2) \} ds.$$ (20)

Expressions for $E_\theta$ and $E_\phi$ for the target in layer 2 are given by

$$E_\theta = -\frac{j\omega \mu_1}{4\pi r}e^{-j\beta r} \int_S (J_x (\cos \theta \cos \phi T_{xx} - \sin \theta T_{zx}) \exp_3) ds$$
$$+ J_y (\cos \theta \sin \phi T_{yy} - \sin \theta T_{zy}) \exp_3 - J_z \sin \theta T_{zz} \exp_3) ds,$$ (21)

$$E_\phi = -\frac{j\omega \mu_1}{4\pi r}e^{-j\beta r} \int_S \{ -J_x \sin \phi T_{xx} \exp_3 + J_y \cos \phi T_{yy} \exp_3 \} ds.$$ (22)

Equations (19) to (22) can be used for computing the scattered fields by a target.

### 2.3 Implementation of PO Approximations in Half-Space for Computation of Scattered Fields

To compute the scattered fields near a target, one must first partition the target into small triangles with each side of the triangle approximately $0.1\lambda$, where $\lambda$ denotes the wavelength. For each triangle patch, an outward normal and its centroid are computed. This normal is used to compute the current induced by a magnetic field in the patch. The centroid becomes the reference point in the patch for computing electric and magnetic fields. The magnetic field in a patch is then used to determine the current with the use of PO approximation. Once the current in a patch is computed, it is then used to compute the electrical field radiated (scattered field) by the patch. The sum total of all the fields radiated by all the patches constitutes the scattered field by the target.
Now to compute the induced current caused by a magnetic field, let us assume that an incident plane wave where the electric field has a magnitude $E_0 = 1$ is impinging on the target. Let the direction of the incident plane wave be given by $\theta$ and $\phi$; then the unit-transmitted vector in rectangular-coordinate system is given by

**layer 1:** $t_x = -[\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta]$

$$r = |r| \ t_x,$$

and the reflected vector is $r_1 = -[\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, -\cos \theta]$, and

**layer 2:** $t_x = -[\sin \theta_t \cos \phi, \sin \theta_t \sin \phi, \cos \theta_t]$, where $\theta_t$ denotes the angle of refraction in medium 2 and is determined by Snell’s law: $\sin \theta_t = \beta_1 \sin \theta / \beta_2$.

Figure 5 shows the transmitted, reflected, and refracted rays (vectors) and the corresponding angles.

**Field computations in layer 1:**

The electric fields in vertical and horizontal polarizations impinging on a patch are given by

**transmitted field**

$$E_V = E_0 [\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, -\sin \theta] \exp[-j \beta_1 (t_x \cdot P_i)] ,$$

$$E_H = E_0 [-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0] \exp[-j \beta_1 (t_x \cdot P_i)] ,$$

where $P_i = [x_i, y_i, z_i]$ denotes the coordinates of the centroid of an $i$th triangular patch of the target.

Figure 5. Ray dynamics.
reflected field

\[ E'_v = (E_0 r_v)[\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta] \exp[-j \beta_1(r_1 \cdot P)], \]

\[ E'_h = (E_0 r_h)[-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0] \exp[-j \beta_1(r_1 \cdot P)], \]

(24)

where the reflection coefficients \( r_v \) and \( r_h \) are given by

\[ r_v = \frac{\eta_2 \cos \theta_i - \eta_1 \cos \theta}{\eta_2 \cos \theta_t + \eta_1 \cos \theta}, \]

\[ r_h = \frac{\eta_2 \cos \theta - \eta_1 \cos \theta_i}{\eta_2 \cos \theta_t + \eta_1 \cos \theta_t}. \]

and

\[ \eta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\varepsilon_1}} \] intrinsics impedance of medium 1,

\[ \eta_2 = \frac{\eta_1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}} \] intrinsics impedance of medium 2.

Then the magnetic fields can be expressed in terms of the electric fields as

\[ H_v = \frac{1}{\eta_1} (t_x \times E_v), \]

\[ H'_v = \frac{1}{\eta_1} (r_1 \times E'_v), \]

\[ H_h = \frac{1}{\eta_1} (t_x \times E_h), \]

\[ H'_h = \frac{1}{\eta_1} (r_1 \times E'_h), \]

(25)

where \( t_x \times b \) represents the cross product between two vectors \( t_x \) and \( b \).

Computation of currents in a triangular patch:

Having determined the magnetic fields in a triangular patch, I can now compute the currents using the PO approximation given by equation (11) as follows:

\[ J_v = 2(\mathbf{n}_P \times H_v), \]

\[ J'_v = 2(\mathbf{n}_P \times H'_v), \]

\[ J_h = 2(\mathbf{n}_P \times H_h), \]

\[ J'_h = 2(\mathbf{n}_P \times H'_h). \]

(26)

where \( \mathbf{n}_P \) denotes the outward normal to the triangular patch \( P \). Note that the normal \( \mathbf{n}_P \) and the \( H_v \) should correspond to the same triangular
patch. Now I can compute the scattered fields using equations (19) and (20). Note that equation (19) gives the scattered field in vertical polarization. By using $J_V$, the current caused by the vertically polarized transmitted field in equations (19) and (20), I can obtain scattered fields in vertical transmit, vertical receive (VV) and vertical transmit, horizontal receive (VH) polarizations. Similarly, using $J_H$ in equations (19) and (20), I can obtain scattered fields in HV and HH polarizations:

$$E_{VV} = \frac{j \omega \mu}{4\pi R} e^{-j \beta_1 R} \int_S \left( J_{Vx} [\cos \theta \cos \phi (\exp_1 + R_{xx} \exp_2)] - \sin \theta R_{xz} \exp_2 \right)$$

$$+ J_{Vy} [\cos \theta \sin \phi (\exp_1 + R_{yy} \exp_2)] - J_{Vz} \sin \theta (\exp_1 + R_{zz} \exp_2) ds$$,

$$E_{VH} = \frac{j \omega \mu}{4\pi R} e^{-j \beta_1 R} \int_S \left( -J_{Vx} \sin \phi (\exp_1 + R_{xx} \exp_2) + J_{Vy} \cos \phi (\exp_1 + R_{yy} \exp_2) \right) ds$$,

$$E_{HV} = \frac{j \omega \mu}{4\pi R} e^{-j \beta_1 R} \int_S \left( J_{Hx} [\cos \theta \cos \phi (\exp_1 + R_{xx} \exp_2)] - \sin \theta R_{xz} \exp_2 \right)$$

$$+ J_{Hy} [\cos \theta \sin \phi (\exp_1 + R_{yy} \exp_2)] - J_{Hz} \sin \theta (\exp_1 + R_{zz} \exp_2) ds$$,

$$E_{HH} = \frac{j \omega \mu}{4\pi R} e^{-j \beta_1 R} \int_S \left( -J_{Hx} \sin \phi (\exp_1 + R_{xx} \exp_2) + J_{Hy} \cos \phi (\exp_1 + R_{yy} \exp_2) \right) ds$$,

where $J_V = [J_{Vx}, J_{Vy}, J_{Vz}]$ and $R$ is the distance between the observation point and the target. Similarly, $E_{VV}^V, E_{VH}^V, E_{HV}^V, E_{HH}^V$ and $E_{VV}^H, E_{VH}^H, E_{HV}^H, E_{HH}^H$ can be computed with similar equations (eq. (27) to (30)), where $J_V$ and $J_H$ are replaced by $J_V^t$ and $J_H^t$, respectively. These scattered electric fields are computed for only those triangle patches illuminated by either $t_x$ or $r_1$. The sum of $E_{VV} + E_{VV}^t$ for all the illuminated patches gives the scattered electric field in VV polarization. Similarly, other summations give the field in VH, HV, and HH polarizations.

**Computations in layer 2:**

Field computations in layer 2 are as follows:

$$E_V = (E_0 \cdot T_V) [\cos \theta_1 \cos \phi, \cos \theta_1 \sin \phi, -\sin \theta_1] \exp [-j \beta_1 (t_x \cdot P_i)]$$,

$$E_H = (E_0 T_H) [-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0] \exp [-j \beta_1 (t_x \cdot P_i)]$$,

where

$$t_x = [\sin \theta_1 \cos \phi, \sin \theta_1 \sin \phi, \cos \theta_1]$$,

and the transmission coefficients $T_V$ and $T_H$ are given by
\[ T_V = \frac{2\eta_2 \cos \theta}{\eta_2 \cos \theta_t + \eta_1 \cos \theta} \]
\[ T_H = \frac{2\eta_2 \cos \theta}{\eta_2 \cos \theta + \eta_1 \cos \theta_t} . \]

Notice that no reflected fields are in layer 2. As in layer 1, magnetic fields and currents in each triangular patch are computed, and the scattered fields are computed with equations (27) to (30) and appropriate currents.
3. Validation of PO Code

A PO code was implemented in a matrix laboratory (MATLAB) environment. To verify the accuracy of the code, I created several targets and computed their RCSs using both PO code and MOM code. The MOM code is developed by Duke University. The comparison results are presented below.

**Vertical plate:** A 1-m² plate was created as shown in figure 6 and placed 0.15 m above the Eglin soil with permittivity of ε_r = 5.0 – j0.0 and conductivity of σ = 0.003. For the computation of the scattered fields at various frequencies, an incident field at θ = 45° and φ = 0° was used. The plate was oriented to get the dihedral effect from the ground. I computed the RCS characteristics of the plate from 25 to 2000 MHz. The results are shown in figures 7 and 8 for VV and HH polarizations, respectively.

Figures 7 and 8 show that PO output is fairly accurate compared to that of the actual output computed by MOM at frequencies 600 MHz and higher. In other words, when the target was greater than or equal to 2λ, I obtained good correlation with the MOM simulations. Why the PO output for HH polarization had better correlation at even lower frequencies with MOM output compared to VV polarization is not clear.

The next object I used for simulations and comparison was a horizontal cylinder with a spherical head on one side as shown in figure 9. The dimensions of the cylinder were length = 0.58 m and diameter = 0.078 m. I performed two simulations, namely, a cylinder buried 1 in. below Yuma soil and a cylinder placed 1 in. above Eglin soil. The results of the simulations are shown in figures 10 to 15 for a target buried 1 in. below the surface in Yuma soil. PO output is plotted by a solid line and MOM output is plotted by a dotted line. Notice from these figures that the RCS computed for the cylinder using the PO code is accurate. The largest difference between the MOM and PO code is observed in figure 15, where φ = −90°; at that angle, it is known² that PO does not do well. Figures 16 to 21 show the RCS characteristics of a cylinder placed 1 in. above the surface of Eglin soil.

First, I simulated the scattering fields for the cylinder shown in figure 9 for all azimuthal angles φ = 0° to 360° and generated SAR images using the back-projection algorithm. The SAR images generated using MOM and PO simulated data are shown in figure 22 for a cylinder buried 1 in. below the surface of Yuma soil. From the SAR images, I found that an excellent correlation exists between the MOM and PO simulated SAR images in terms of resolution and that both the images have similar magnitudes. Next, I used a UXO (shown in fig. 23), which was buried in Yuma soil. I made actual measurements of the UXO using a radar. Its SAR images and the PO simulated images for the same UXO are presented in figure 24. It shows that the SAR images using PO simulated data can capture all the features of the UXO. This helps in developing ATD and ATR algorithms for various targets.

Figure 6. A 1-×1-m² plate.

Figure 7. RCS VV characteristics of a plate in a half-space.
Figure 8. RCS HH characteristics of a plate in a half-space.

Figure 9. Cylindrical target used.
Figure 10. RCS VV of a cylinder, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$ (broadside).

Figure 11. RCS HH of a cylinder, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$ (broadside).
Figure 12. RCS VV of a cylinder, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 45^\circ$ (off axis).

Figure 13. RCS VV of a cylinder, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 45^\circ$ (off axis).
Figure 14. RCS VV of a cylinder, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = -90^\circ$ (axial).

Figure 15. RCS HH of a cylinder, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = -90^\circ$ (axial).
Figure 16. RCS VV of a cylinder above Eglin soil, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$ (broadside).

Figure 17. RCS HH of a cylinder above Eglin soil, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$ (broadside).
Figure 18. RCS VV of a cylinder above Eglin soil, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 45^\circ$ (off axis).

Figure 19. RCS HH of a cylinder above Eglin soil, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = 45^\circ$ (off axis).
Figure 20. RCS VV of a cylinder above Eglin soil, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = -90^\circ$ (axial).

Figure 21. RCS HH of a cylinder above Eglin soil, $\theta = 60^\circ$, $\phi = -90^\circ$ (axial).
Figure 22. Left column MOM, right column PO. Top row $\phi = 0^\circ$, middle row $\phi = 135^\circ$, bottom row $\phi = -90^\circ$. 
Figure 23. UXO used for measurements and simulations.

Figure 24. (a) Measured versus (b) simulated SAR images using PO.

(a) (b)

\[ \phi = 0^\circ \]

\[ \phi = 45^\circ \]

\[ \phi = 90^\circ \]
4. Remarks

One of the drawbacks in the current PO code is that normals of various patches are being used to determine whether a patch is illuminated by the transmitted/reflected ray. However, it does not determine whether a patch is blocked by some part of the target. For some simple targets, this is not a problem. If the target is complex and has many corners and projections, the PO code may not give accurate results unless the above-mentioned problem is solved.
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In this report, a fast algorithm for computing scattered fields with the use of physical optics (PO) equivalent approximation in half-space is presented. The theoretical basis for the algorithm and the derivation of formulas used in the algorithm is presented. The algorithm is used to compute the radar cross sections (RCS) of several objects. The RCS of the objects computed by the algorithm is compared with those that were computed with the method of moments (MOM). The results presented are found to be accurate when the target dimensions are greater than or equal to $2\lambda$, where $\lambda$ denotes the wavelength. It is concluded that the PO algorithm presented in this report can be used for majority of applications as it captured all the salient features of the targets.