ARL-HTMDEC Kickoff Meeting, July 2022

Development and deployment of a Bayesian framework for the accelerated machine learning of multiscale physics controlling material responses in extreme environments

Surya R. Kalidindi, Min Zhou (GT) Lori Graham-Brady (JHU) Raymundo Arróyave, Ankit Srivastava, Justin Wilkerson (TAMU/TEES)









# **Uncertain Materials Knowledge Systems**

HOMOGENIZATION

P-S-P

Objective fusion of disparate data from heterogeneous sources (e.g., multiscale experiments, physics-MATERIALSKNOWLEDGESVSTEMSIMKSI based multiscale simulations)

P-S-P

DESIGN & MANUFACTURING

www.comsol.com

#### **Bayesian Learning Framework for PSP Linkages**

**Bayesian Update of Governing Physics** 

$$p(\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{E}}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{E}|\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{E}}) p(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$$

Notation

 $\mathcal{P}$  Set of Process Variable

 $\mu$  Material Structure

*P* Properties

*φ* Governing Physics

 $\Sigma$  Co-variance

*E* Experimental Observations

Likelihood computed using GP models extracted from simulations

**Physics-Based Models** 

Build Gaussian Process models trained to simulation datasets produced by executing physics-based models by adaptive sampling of input domain for maximizing fidelity of extracted GP. Process-Structure:  $p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})$ Structure-Property:  $p(P|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}})$ 

in

<u>Sequential Design of Physical</u>

Experiments

Decide on the next experiment

that is likely to produce the

updating the governing physics.

largest information gain

Final Property Estimation =  $\int P(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}), \boldsymbol{\varphi}) | p(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) | d\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ 

#### Task 1: Foundational Four-Step Bayesian Framework



#### Step 4



### **Task 2: Integration of Physics-based Constraints**

Modify Step 1 and/or Step 2 of the Bayesian framework in Task 1 to constrain the models with physics-based priors

Length

[m] 100 10-3

10.6

10.9

10 15



## Task 3: Analysis of uncertainty propagation through chained surrogate models



Length & Time Scales

- Develop tools for ranking and learning multiscale physics controlling material responses
- Evaluate and demonstrate workflows for efficiency and accuracy
- Focus on models in our related tasks
  - Dynamic energy dissipation & fracture resistance in multiphase polycrystalline ceramics
  - High-throughput and automated Ashby-style maps for ballistic performance
- Compare Bayesian surrogates to probabilistic prediction from simulations, at both micro- and macro-scale

### **Task 4: Dynamic Fracture Toughness and Energy Dissipation of Ceramics**

σ<sub>axial</sub> (GPa)

> 12 10

8 6

4

2

Microscale Fracture and Energy Dissipation Mechanism Tracking

#### **Crack propagation**

Explicit resolution of microstructure, transgrnular and intergranular fraction, internal friction, dissipation, temperature increase, and thermal effects



#### **Energy dissipation under different loading conditions**



Microstructure-Macroscale Fracture Toughness and Energy Dissipation Relations

#### **Fracture toughness**

Dynamic *J*-integral, driving force & resistance tracking

$$K_{IC} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{E}}{1 - \bar{v}^2}} \xi(\mathbf{Q}, s, f) \left(\Phi_{in}H_{in} + \Phi_m H_m + \Phi_p H_p\right)$$

$$E = \int \left( \int_{S_{int}} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} dS \right) dt + \int \left( \int_{V} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \boldsymbol{D}^{inel} dV \right) dt$$



### **Task 4: Dynamic Fracture Toughness and Energy Dissipation of Ceramics – Microstructure Design**



## Task 5: Ashby-style maps for ballistic performance

