

ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE BROAD
AGENCY ANNOUNCEMENT FOR
BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH



W911NF-12-R-0012-03
15 May 2012 – 31 March 2017

ISSUED BY:

U.S. Army Contracting Command-Aberdeen Proving Ground
Research Triangle Park Division
P. O. BOX 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. OVERVIEW OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	4
A. Required Overview Content.....	6
1. Agency Name.....	6
2. Research Opportunity Title.....	6
3. Announcement Type.....	6
4. Research Opportunity Number.....	6
5. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number and Title.....	6
6. Response Dates.....	6
II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY.....	7
A. Funding Opportunity Description.....	7
1. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE (ARO).....	7
a. RESEARCH AREA 1: MECHANICAL SCIENCES.....	7
b. RESEARCH AREA 2: EARTH SCIENCES.....	14
c. RESEARCH AREA 3: MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES.....	16
d. RESEARCH AREA 4: ELECTRONICS.....	24
e. RESEARCH AREA 5: COMPUTING SCIENCE.....	28
f. RESEARCH AREA 6: PHYSICS.....	34
g. RESEARCH AREA 7: CHEMICAL SCIENCES.....	38
h. RESEARCH AREA 8: LIFE SCIENCES.....	41
i. RESEARCH AREA 9: MATERIALS SCIENCE.....	43
j. RESEARCH AREA 10: NETWORK SCIENCE.....	45
k. RESEARCH AREA 11: ARO SPECIAL PROGRAMS.....	51
11.1 SHORT-TERM INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (STIR) PROGRAM	51
11.2. YOUNG INVESTIGATOR PROGRAM (YIP).....	52
11.3 Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (PECASE)..	53
11.4. RESEARCH INSTRUMENTATION (RI) PROGRAM.....	54
11.5. DOD PROGRAMS.....	54
2. OTHER PROGRAMS.....	56
a. CONFERENCE AND SYMPOSIA GRANTS.....	56
b. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNITERSTITIES AND MINORITY SERVING INSTITUTIONS (HBCU/MIs).....	57
c. Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Army Educational Outreach Program (AEOP).....	58
d. High School Apprenticeship Program (HSAP)/Undergraduate Research Apprenticeship Program (URAP).....	58

B. Award information	60
C. Eligibility Information	62
1. Eligible Applicants	62
2. Cost Sharing or Matching	62
3. Duns and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and system for Award Management (SAM).....	62
4. Other.....	63
D. Application and Submission Information	63
1. Address to View Broad Agency Announcement	63
2. Content and Form of Application Submission	63
3. Submission Dates and Times	74
4. Intergovernmental Review	74
5. Funding Restrictions	74
6. Other Submission Requirements	74
E. Application Review Information	75
1. Criteria	75
2. Review and Selection Process	75
3. Recipient Qualification	76
F. Award Administration Information	77
1. Award Notices.....	77
2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	77
a. Required Certifications.....	77
b. Policy Requirements.....	78
3. Reporting.....	81
G. Agency Contacts.....	82
H. Other Information.....	82
1. CONTRACT Proposals.....	82
2. GRANT and COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT Proposals.....	90

I. OVERVIEW OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY:

The purpose of this Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) is to solicit research proposals in the engineering, physical, life, and information sciences for submission to the Army Research Office (ARO) for consideration for possible funding. For ease of reference, this BAA is an extraction of the ARO sections of the Army Research Laboratory BAA. (www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=8).

This BAA is issued in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) section 6.102(d)(2), which provides for the competitive selection of basic and applied research and that part of development not related to the development of a specific system or hardware procurement. Proposals submitted in response to this BAA and selected for award are considered to be the result of full and open competition and in full compliance with the provision of Public Law 98-369, "The Competition in Contracting Act of 1984" and subsequent amendments.

Research proposals are sought from educational institutions, nonprofit organizations, and commercial organizations for scientific research in mechanical sciences, environmental sciences, mathematics, electronics, computing science, physics, chemistry, life sciences, materials science and network science. Proposals will be evaluated only if they are for scientific study and experimentation directed toward advancing the state of the art or increasing knowledge and understanding. Proposals focused on specific devices or components are beyond the scope of this BAA.

Proposals are expected to be for cutting-edge innovative research that could produce discoveries that would have a significant impact on enabling new and improved Army operational capabilities and related technologies. The specific research areas and topics of interest described in this document should be viewed as suggestive, rather than limiting. The ARO is always interested in considering new innovative research concepts of relevance to the Army. Additional information can be found at the ARO website: <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=29>.

In order to conserve valuable offeror and Government resources, and to facilitate determining whether a proposed research idea meets the guidelines described herein, *prospective offerors contemplating submission of a whitepaper or proposal are strongly encouraged to contact the appropriate Technical Point of Contact (TPOC)*. The TPOCs' names, telephone numbers, and e-mail addresses are listed immediately after each research area of interest. If an offeror elects to submit a whitepaper, it should be prepared in accordance with the instructions contained in this BAA. Upon receipt, a whitepaper will be evaluated and the offeror will be advised of the results. Offerors whose whitepapers receive a favorable evaluation will likely be encouraged to prepare a complete proposal in accordance with instructions contained in this BAA.

The costs of whitepapers and/or complete proposals in response to this BAA are not considered an allowable direct charge to any award resulting from this BAA or any other award. It may be an allowable expense to the normal bid and proposal indirect costs specified in FAR 31.205-18. The ARO prefers proposals to cover a 3-year period and include a brief summary of work contemplated for each 12-month period so that awards may be negotiated for an entire 3-year program or for individual 1-year increments of the total program. Proposals may be submitted at

any time.

The Army has a long history of advocating and supporting research at Historically Black Colleges & Universities and Minority-Serving Institutions (HBCU/MI). The ARO actively seeks research proposals from HBCUs and MIs in full competition with all offerors who may submit proposals under this BAA. The ARO also encourages the inclusion of HBCUs and/or MIs as part of a consortium proposals or as subcontractors/sub-grantees to prime recipients.

In accordance with federal statutes, regulations, and Department of Defense and Army policies, no person on grounds of race, color, age, sex, national origin, or disability shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving financial assistance from the Army.

Offerors submitting proposals are cautioned that only a Contracting or Grants Officer can obligate the Government to any legal instrument involving expenditure of Government funds. All administrative inquiries regarding this BAA shall be submitted in email to: usarmy.rtp.aro.mbx.baa@mail.mil. Scientific and technical questions should be referred to the TPOCs shown following each research area of interest.

Interested parties are encouraged to periodically check any of the following websites for updates and amendments to this BAA: www.grants.gov, www.fbo.gov, the Army Research Laboratory (ARL) website www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=8, including the ARO section of the ARL website <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=29>.

DAVID SKATRUD
Director
Army Research Office

A. Required Overview Content

1. Agency Name:

U.S. Army Research Laboratory

Issuing Acquisition Office:

U.S. Army Contracting Command-Aberdeen Proving Ground, Research Triangle Park Division

2. Research Opportunity Title:

ARO Core Broad Agency Announcement for Basic and Applied Scientific Research for
15 May 2012 – 31 March 2017

3. Announcement Type

Initial Announcement

4. Research Opportunity Number:

W911NF-12-R-0012-03

5. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number and Title:

12.431 – Basic Scientific Research

6. Response Dates:

This BAA is a continuously open announcement valid throughout the period from the date of issuance through 31 March 2017, unless announced otherwise, and supersedes BAA W911NF-07-R-0003 (including all modifications) dated October 2006. Amendments to this BAA will be posted to the FedBizOpps web site and published at the above-mentioned websites when they occur. Interested parties are encouraged to periodically check these websites for updates and amendments.

II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

A. Funding Opportunity Description

The funding opportunity is divided into two sections: 1) Army Research Office Division Research Areas; and 2) Other Programs.

1. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE (ARO)

a. RESEARCH AREA 1: MECHANICAL SCIENCES

1.0 Mechanical Sciences. Research supported in the Mechanical Sciences Division of the ARO is concerned with a broad spectrum of fundamental investigations in the disciplines of fluid dynamics, solid mechanics, complex dynamics and systems, and propulsion and energetics. Though many creative and imaginative studies concentrate on a particular sub-discipline, increasingly, new contributions arise from interdisciplinary approaches such as the coupling between aerodynamics and structures, complex dynamics and systems, combustion and fluid dynamics, or solid mechanics and structures as in the structural reliability areas. Additionally, several common themes run through much of these four sub-disciplines, for example, active controls and computational mechanics. Research in such areas is addressed within the context of the application rather than as a separate subject of study. Fluid dynamics research is primarily concerned with investigations in the areas of rotorcraft wakes, unsteady aerodynamics of dynamic stall and unsteady separation, and fundamental studies of micro adaptive flow control. Solid mechanics include a wide array of research areas such as high strain rate phenomena, penetration mechanics, heterogeneous material behavior, and reliability of structures. The complex dynamics and systems area is focused on investigations in vehicle structural dynamics, and simulation and air vehicle dynamics including rotor aeromechanics. Research in the propulsion and energetics area is concentrated on processes characteristic of reciprocating (diesel) and gas turbine engines and the combustion dynamics of propellants used for gun and missile propulsion. The following narratives describe the details of the scope and emphasis in each of these sub disciplinary areas.

1.1 Fluid Dynamics. Research in fluid dynamics supports the development of improved or new technology for advanced helicopters, small gas turbine engines, improved airdrop (parachute) systems, maneuverable high-speed missiles, high performance gun-launched projectiles, and miniature unmanned air vehicles. While basic research studies that address the fundamental flow physics underlying these devices are solicited, innovative research in the specific topical thrust areas listed below is especially encouraged.

1.1.1 Vortex-Dominated Flows. In contrast to fixed-wing aircraft, rotorcrafts always operate under the influence of their own wakes. The prediction of rotor performance, vibratory loads, and the associated noise due to blade-vortex interaction depend strongly on the accurate prediction of the rotor wake. However, the prediction methodology of this wake remains one of the major challenges in fluid mechanics. Current computational fluid dynamics (CFD)

approaches are computationally intensive, especially for Eulerian methodologies where the vorticity diffuses numerically through the grid points and makes prediction inaccurate. The process by which vorticity is shed by the blade, and its eventual roll-up to form vortex filaments are not now adequately simulated. In fact, under certain flight conditions and due to negative lift, multiple vortices are observed to form over the blade tip. The application of non-intrusive optical diagnostic techniques should yield new phenomenological understanding for the study of multiple vortices, the wake structure, and its development. New numerical algorithms or different techniques to increase accuracy and reduce the computational requirements are required.

1.1.2 Unsteady Aerodynamics. The flow fields around many modern Army weapons systems are characterized by a high level of unsteady flow, which can neither be predicted adequately by steady nor quasi-steady approaches. One classical example of unsteady aerodynamics of very high Army relevance occurs on the retreating blade of a helicopter rotor blade. At high angles of attack the retreating blade of the helicopter experiences boundary-layer separation that leads to load and pitching-moment overshoots. While mild separation causes increased vibration and reduces system performance, severe dynamic stall leads to unacceptably large vibratory loads, severe limitation to forward flight speed, and diminished maneuver capabilities. The physics of this unsteady flow phenomenon are known to depend on the Mach and Reynolds numbers of the flow, and hence future research in this area needs to be performed under realistic flight conditions. In addition, improved theoretical and numerical simulation is needed for the understanding of the unsteady separation process, and for the evaluation of concepts that may lead to greater flow separation control. Flow field simulations must be capable of accounting for boundary layer transition, and under some circumstances, the transition of the separating free shear layer. To achieve a fundamental understanding of the separation process, detailed experimental measurements of velocity and pressure in the separating region and the development of new turbulence models that are valid during dynamic stall are needed. In this regard, the validation of numerical simulations must focus on quantitative flow field measurements rather than the mere quantitative measurements on the airfoil surface. In addition, the flow field measurements require the use of new non-intrusive optical methods. Combined experimental and numerical efforts towards the control of unsteady separation using passive and active flow control, including the emerging field of Micro-adaptive Flow Control, are also sought.

A second example of the importance of unsteady aerodynamics to the Army occurs during the maneuvering of missiles and projectiles. As future emphasis in flight vehicle control and "smart" systems pervades munitions design, greater understandings of unsteady aerodynamic phenomena, such as; high alpha dynamic separation, vortex shedding, control surface/vortex interaction, divert thruster/vehicle interaction, roll control stability and propulsion system integration, will be required. It is anticipated that new composite material vehicles will have stringent thermodynamic limits and enhanced nonlinear aero elastic response to maneuver forces. Smart structures and MEMS technology will redefine control strategies, control surface shape and control surface dynamics, consequently driving fluid dynamics into new areas of research. All of these developments will require the prediction and experimental verification of complex nonlinear transient flow fields. In turn, these developments will require improved CFD for turbulent flow separation prediction, large eddy simulation,

vehicle vortex interactions, and the accurate computations of gross flow field response to MEMS boundary layer flow perturbations. Parallel developments in experimental techniques will also be required to measure these complex flow fields in efforts to verify and guide the predictive technology.

1.1.3 Micro Adaptive Flow Control. Micro Adaptive Flow Control (MAFC) technologies enable the control of large-scale aerodynamic flows using small-scale actuators. MAFC technologies combine adaptive control strategies with advanced actuator concepts; such as, micro-scale synthetic jets, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS)-based microactuators, pulsed-blowing, plasma actuators, and combustion actuators. MAFC techniques are used to cause the delay, or prevention, of fluid flow separation; to induce flow separation in previously unseparated flow; to alter supersonic flow shock structure; or to otherwise alter the large-scale flow field and provide overall system benefit. Army systems for which MAFC is currently being investigated include on-blade controls, dynamic stall control on helicopter rotor blades, separation control for drag and buffet reduction on helicopters, surge and stall control within Army gas turbines, and dispersion reduction and terminal guidance of subsonic, transonic, supersonic and future hypersonic Army projectiles.

Even though many successful demonstrations of the efficacy of MAFC technology have taken place, much of the supporting research has tended to be somewhat Edisonian in nature. To enhance and focus the adoption of MAFC technology into Army weapon systems, basic research is needed. MAFC research is needed but not limited to the following areas: the development of fundamental understandings of the methods by which MAFC actuation alters the overall flow field; the development of phenomenological models for flows and surface forces in micro and nano channels; the development of robust and efficient MAFC actuators leading to greater control authority and higher bandwidth; the development of computational analysis methodologies capable of accurately and efficiently predicting the effect of unsteady MAFC actuation on the entire flow field; and the development of methods to integrate all these technologies into Army systems.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Matthew J. Munson
e-mail: matthew.j.munson6.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4284

1.2 Solid Mechanics. Solid mechanics research plays a crucial role in the prediction of strength, damage initiation and failure progression of Army material systems under extreme loading conditions, such as blast, shock, impact, penetration, and thermal cycling. This research topic addresses the need to understand the response of Army assets to high rate impact and explosive detonation. It integrates approaches based on finite deformation, high pressure and high strain- rate, damage, and failure mechanics. Innovative use of material combinations for specific applications necessitates understanding the behavior of military systems under complex and severe constraints. The program seeks to develop an understanding of the underlying physics of solids that form the foundation of optimization tools to enhance performance, and minimize weight and volume for the future design of Army and DoD systems. Research approaches should consider the topological effects (both micro- and macro-scale), specific material geometry, layering, and interface properties on response to high rate loading. In addition, solid mechanics approaches may address non-traditional concepts

including biologically inspired hierarchical structures, soft materials, human tissue and functional degradation of electronic components. Research should be conducted through a combination of physically based experiments, analysis, and computations to address these difficult multi-physics problems. Predictive models, validated by well-characterized experiments, are needed to identify dominant failure mechanisms at relevant scales. An important aspect of this research area is the deformation and fracture of materials under high strain rates ($>10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$), strains (ranging from 2% up to 100%), high temperatures (up to the melting point), and high pressures (up to 5 GPa). An important aspect of solid mechanics research is the development of novel techniques to expand the design space of new hierarchical design principles for the purpose of creating microstructures that eliminate traditional inverse material property relationships to enable a combination of disparate properties (i.e. strength and toughness, strength and density, hardness and ductility, etc...).

1.2.1 Mechanics of Heterogeneous Systems. The mechanics of structures involves the development of integrated analytical, computational, and experimental approaches to investigate rate dependent behavior of heterogeneous materials that may include combinations of high strength and lightweight functionally graded material systems. Experimental and computational techniques are needed to optimize material microstructure as well as the topology of systems to provide the desired structural response for specific boundary and loading conditions. Of special interest is the thermo-mechanical response at strain rates encountered in high-speed impact or explosive loading. Phenomena of interest are wave propagation, scattering, dispersion, and progressive failure behavior.

Quantitative prediction and measurement of parameters related to dominant heterogeneities and mechanisms are needed at appropriate length and time scales for specific material systems in order to relate atomistic and micro effects to the macro scale. Deterministic and statistical scaling methodologies are needed for toughness, strength, and geometrical effects which account for heterogeneities such as interfaces, interphases, reinforcement distribution and their combined effects on failure. Constitutive relations for multi-scale mechanisms should include mechanism-based and experimentally verifiable failure and damage criteria to investigate hierarchical structures for blast- and ballistic-impact mitigation. The integration of sensor technology and the concept of adaptive microstructures may be explored for enhanced control of energy dissipation and load transfer under multiple loading conditions.

1.2.2 Mechanics of Soft Materials & Biologic Systems. The mechanics of biologic structures involves the development and validation of a hierarchical approach to accurately predict stresses, strains, and cavitation in biologic tissue resulting from blast shock waves and high rate blunt trauma. The development of improved protection and injury prevention for military specific injuries requires experimental techniques capable of characterizing the response of soft materials in compression, tension, or shear for strain rates that range from 10^2 to 10^5 s^{-1} . High rate loading of different durations and amplitudes may lead to cascading events that cause functional loss and impairment of human tissues. Identification of resultant fundamental processes ranging from molecular changes to physical injury is in their infancy for high rate loading conditions. Such identification will lead to the quantification of high fidelity injury thresholds and novel protective solutions. Several aspects of tissue damage are of interest: (a)

high strain rate characterization of constitutive behavior of damaged tissues, (b) damage initiation and evolution at the cellular level, (c) force transduction through temporal and spatial scales from organs to cellular substructures, and (d) effect of blast load (pressure and electromagnetic loads, toxicity, etc.) on short and long term functionality of lower extremities. The aforementioned issues must be placed in perspective of high rate loads and their transmission through protective equipment, human tissue and bone. Advances require interdisciplinary teams combining solid mechanics and biological sciences.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Asher Rubinstein, (919) 549-4244. e-mail: asher.a.rubinstein.civ@mail.mil

1.3 Complex Dynamics and Systems. The Complex Dynamics and Systems Program emphasizes fundamental understanding of the dynamics, both physical and information theoretic, of nonlinear and nonconservative systems as well as innovative scientific approaches for engineering and exploiting nonlinear and nonequilibrium physical and information theoretic dynamics for a broad range of future capabilities (e.g. novel energetic and entropic transduction, agile motion, and force generation). A common theme amongst all programmatic thrust areas is that systems of interest are “open” in the thermodynamic sense (or, similarly, dissipative dynamical systems). The program seeks to understand how information, momentum, energy, and entropy is directed, flows, and transforms in nonlinear systems due to interactions with the system’s surroundings or within the system itself. Research efforts are not solely limited to descriptive understanding, however. Central to the mission of the program is the additional emphasis on pushing beyond descriptive understanding toward engineering and exploiting time-varying interactions, fluctuations, inertial dynamics, phase space structures, modal interplay and other nonlinearity in novel ways to enable the generation of useful work, agile motion, and engineered energetic and entropic transformations. Further information on the current scientific thrust areas are detailed in the paragraphs that follow.

1.3.1. Dynamics of Nonlinear and Nonconservative Systems – Classical dynamics has produced limited fundamental insight and theoretical methods concerning strongly nonlinear, high-dimensional, dissipative, and time-varying systems. For over a century, qualitative geometric approaches in low-dimensions have dominated research in dynamics, dissipative processes are mostly relegated to phenomenological models, and reduced-order-modeling of high-dimensional dynamics are often premised on empirical and statistical model fitting and are incapable of capturing the effects of slowly growing instabilities and memory. Experimental efforts have similarly faded. There is prescient need for a return to fundamental investigations and new theoretical and experimental frameworks for high-dimensional nonlinear and nonconservative systems. At the same time, however, a number of exciting and new research problems are emerging. Research in this thrust concentrates on (but is not limited to):

- **Spatially Extended and High-Dimensional Dynamics Far-from-Equilibrium –** Develop novel theoretical and experimental methods for understanding the physical and information dynamics of driven dissipative continuous systems and novel reduced-order-modeling methodologies capable of retaining time-dependent and global nonlinearities. Novel research pertaining to the analysis and fundamental physics of time-varying nonlinear systems and

transient dynamics is a high-priority. Programmatic interests also include unifying advances in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics with Statistical Energy Analysis (SEA), developing new methods for vibratory regimes outside the scope of overly-simplistic SEA assumptions (e.g. non-trivial modal overlap, mid-frequency range problems, and non-Gaussian stochastic processes), and novel research in experimental structural dynamics. This thrust also has special interest in pioneering research on creating and controlling nontraditional nonequilibrium critical phenomena and critical dynamics.

- Nonsmooth Dynamics and Interactions – Nonsmooth dynamics, for even a single degree-of-freedom, are extremely counter-intuitive and poorly understood yet they arise in many Army-relevant contexts spanning wave propagation in interconnected structures, autonomous system dynamics (e.g. locomotion and jumping), suddenly applied loads, etc. Novel and fundamental investigations concerning the experimental and theoretical physics for a variety of nonsmooth dynamical systems are of interest. Programmatic interests herein extend to the emerging field of experimental and theoretical terradynamics, especially in the domain of low-inertia systems and novel, non-wheeled and biomechanical propulsion mechanisms where Bekker's theories and assumptions are invalid.
- Formalisms for Engineering Complexity and Resilience – Developing an engineering science of complexity and resilience to understand complex engineered systems as well as enabling the next generation of engineering systems capable of exhibiting hallmark features of complex systems (e.g engineering robustness, fragility, propensity for self-organization) requires new mathematical methods, uncertainty propagation techniques, and clever leveraging of many recent advances and insight from complex systems science. Proposals taking a fundamental and rigorous scientific approach are encouraged.

1.3.2 Morphologically Modulated Motion and Underactuation – This scientific thrust seeks to develop deeper understanding through supporting theory and experiment of the role of embodiment and dynamics on a physical system's capability to process information and transform energy and entropy. Generally, this thrust strongly leverages advances in, and approaches from, sensory biomechanics, neuromechanics, underactuated systems theory, and mechanical locomotion dynamics to understand the motion of both articulated and continuum dynamical systems operating in highly-dynamic environments. The scientific principles sought, however, are not limited to biological movement and manipulation. Proposals are strongly encouraged that view morphology in an abstract sense. For example, understanding morphology as a system's symmetry, its confinement (e.g. chemical reactions), or its coupling topology.

1.3.3 Force Generation, Work and Power in Nonequilibrium Dynamical Systems – This thrust pioneers novel and innovative approaches, grounded in nonequilibrium and dissipative dynamical systems theory, for novel thermodynamic "engines" and new ways of generating forces, producing useful work and power, and transforming information to energy (e.g. Szilard engines) in both artificial and biological systems. Recently, advances in physics have suggested that nontraditional work cycles featuring non-ergodic intermediate steps (where processes cannot relax or are prohibited from reaching equilibrium) can potentially exceed Carnot efficiency bounds. However, more research is needed to explore this novel regime,

connect ideas with modern concepts in information dynamics, as well as to perform experiments. Other major interests include enabling novel synthetic emulation capabilities by exploring universal features in biological “prime movers” (e.g. molecular motors, actin, dynein) as well as principles underlying biological force generation, dissipation, and load transfer via the nonlinear dynamics of muscles.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Samuel C. Stanton
e-mail: samuel.c.stanton2.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4225

1.4 Propulsion and Energetics. Propulsion and Energetics Research supports the Army's need for higher performance propulsion systems. Future systems must provide reduced logistics burden (lower fuel/propellant usage) and longer life than today's systems. Fundamental to this area are the extraction of stored chemical energy and the conversion of that energy into useful work for vehicle and projectile propulsion. In view of the high temperature and pressure environments encountered in these combustion systems, it is important to advance current understanding of fundamental processes as well as to advance the ability to make accurate, detailed measurements for the understanding of the dominant physical processes and the validation of predictive models. Thus, research in this area is characterized by a focus on high pressure, high temperature combustion processes and on the peculiarities of combustion behavior in systems of Army interest.

1.4.1 Engines. Research on combustion phenomena relevant to engines is focused on intermittent reacting flows encountered in diesel combustion chambers and on continuous combustion characteristics of small, gas turbine combustors. Optimizing engine performance, through understanding and control of in-cylinder combustion dynamics, while retaining high power density, is a major objective. This focus leads to a strong emphasis on fuel injection processes, jet break-up, atomization and spray dynamics, ignition, and subsequent heterogeneous flame propagation. Research on heterogeneous flames requires supporting study into kinetic and fluid dynamic models, turbulent flame structure, soot formation and destruction, flame extinction, surface reactions, multiphase heat transfer, and other factors that are critical to an understanding of engine performance and efficiency. An additional consideration is the high pressure/temperature environment encountered in advanced engines, which influences liquid behavior and combustion processes at near-critical and super-critical conditions. Of particular interest are investigations of fundamental characteristics related to highly stressed engines, such as elevated temperature and pressure combustion, accelerated mixing, and transient heat transfer. Engine performance degradation under low temperature conditions due to reduced fuel volatility, high oil viscosity, poor atomization and vaporization, etc., is a major concern. Fundamental research is needed in many areas, including low temperature physical and chemical rate processes, instantaneous friction and wear mechanisms, and combustion instability effects at low temperatures. New characterization methods to investigate kinetics and flame phenomena at high pressure are needed. New computational methods to be able to model complex reacting systems are also needed. With advances in sensing, modeling, and control architectures, it is becoming possible to further optimize the performance of combustion systems. Providing the foundations for such active control is also a major goal of the program.

1.4.2 Propellant Combustion Processes. Research on propellant combustion processes is

focused on understanding the dynamics of the planned and inadvertent ignition and subsequent combustion of energetic materials used for propulsion in gun and missile systems and in ordinance. The program is also addressing the characterization of advanced energetic materials, e.g., those based on nanoscale structures and/or ingredients. Basic research is needed in several areas, including: thermal pyrolysis of basic ingredients and solid propellants; flame spreading over unburned surfaces (particularly in narrow channels); surface reaction zone structure of burning propellants; chemical kinetics and burning mechanisms; propellant flame structures; characterization of physical and chemical properties of propellants and their pyrolysis products; and coupling effects among the ignition, combustion, and mechanical deformation/fracture processes. The use of advanced combustion diagnostic techniques for reaction front measurements, flame structure characterization, and determination of reaction mechanisms is highly encouraged. Especially of interest are novel methods which can well characterize the ignition and burning behavior of a material utilizing only minute quantities of that material. Complementary model development and numerical solution of these same ignition and combustion processes are also essential. There is also need to understand the unplanned or accidental ignition of energetic materials due to stimuli such as electrostatic discharge, impact, friction, etc. This requires, for example, research on the processes of energy absorption and energy partitioning in the materials, the effect of mechanical damage on the ignition events, and other topics relating to the safety of energetic materials.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Ralph A. Anthenien
e-mail: ralph.a.anthenien2.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4317

b. RESEARCH AREA 2: EARTH SCIENCES

2.0 Earth Sciences. The Earth Sciences Division of the ARO seeks to advance understanding of the terrestrial environment by embracing long-term, high risk, high-payoff opportunities for the US Army with special emphasis on Earth Materials and Processes and Environmental Chemistry. Research supported by the Division seeks to explore the properties of Earth surface materials and environments to discover how they respond to external forces and their interactions with the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere, especially in the context of chemical species affecting human health and the environment. The work, although basic in nature, is focused on processes occurring at spatial and temporal scales relevant to Army operations and land management. Fundamental research lays the foundation to support a range of Army needs, including the remote characterization of land surfaces, the trafficability of ground vehicles, and environmental needs such as waste management and remediation. Furthermore, there is lasting interest in new ideas and cross-disciplinary concepts in Earth science that may have future applications for the Army.

2.1 Earth Materials and Processes. The Earth Materials and Processes program seeks to elucidate the properties of natural and man-made Earth surfaces, with the goals of revealing their histories and governing dynamics and developing theory that describes physical processes

responsible for shaping their features.

(i) Earth Surface Materials aims to utilize experiments, models, and theory development to describe the physical and mechanical properties and behaviors of rocks, minerals, and soil, and to exploit the properties of these materials to provide quantitative information on recent and ongoing surface processes and perturbations.

(ii) Surface Energy Balance aims to determine, at Army-relevant spatial and temporal scales, how natural and artificial surfaces (e.g., soil, sand, or concrete) store and conduct energy depending on their spatial relationships, inherent material properties, and imparted features such as moisture storage and evapotranspiration.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. David M. Stepp

e-mail: david.m.stepp.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4329

2.2 Environmental Chemistry. The Environmental Chemistry program seeks to support the U.S. Army's need to understand chemistry in the environment through high-risk, high-impact basic research. In particular, the program seeks to broaden and deepen our understanding of anthropogenic chemical transformations, transport, and fates in the troposphere, in soils, and in aqueous media, including single particle and particle-particle interactions with the environment, and to better understand uptake and transformations of anthropogenics by living organisms. Such research will form the foundation to address Army technical needs in chemical warfare agent and toxic industrial compound assessments, remediation of hazardous areas, and access to clean water resources in deployment areas.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. James Parker

email: james.k.parker30.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4293

c. RESEARCH AREA 3: MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

3.0 Mathematics. Mathematical language, theory, and methods pervade research, development, testing, and evaluation encountered by the Army and the academic disciplines in science, engineering, and technology. Furthermore, increased demands are being placed on the mathematical sciences because of its role in building a foundation for emerging sciences and technologies in the information, network, life, decision, and social sciences. Although these problems are often naturally stated in terms of their disciplinary context, their solutions are often dependent on new mathematical results and theories. For example, promising approaches to computer vision for automatic target recognition (ATR) require research in a wide range of mathematics including constructive geometry, numerical methods for stochastic differential equations, Bayesian statistics, probabilistic algorithms, and distributed parallel computation. In the area of modeling and simulation of large-scale systems (systems of systems approach), improvements in model fidelity and capacity depend on the mathematics of optimization, stochastic methods, large scale scientific computing and real time computing for embedded systems. Similarly, advances in robotic and sensor systems depend on mathematics of dynamics, control, communication, logic, cooperation, and complexity. In order to respond to these increasing demands on the mathematical sciences, the ARO supports and advances fundamental research and knowledge that focuses on the needs of the Army. To accomplish this objective, the Division supports extramural basic research in the four areas that follow. The research supported by the Division does not cover all the topics in these areas, but only those areas that are of strategic importance for the Army. The sub disciplinary boundaries within the Division and the disciplinary boundaries in the ARO are not rigidly drawn and there is strong interest in and appreciation for multidisciplinary research in which the mathematical sciences play a major role.

3.1 Modeling of Complex Systems. The Modeling of Complex Systems Program is a program of fundamental mathematics-oriented research, the objectives of which are to develop quantitative models of complex phenomena in two areas in which current models are not fully based on first/basic principles and in which metrics based on first/basic principles are not yet well known. The two areas of interest to the Modeling of Complex Systems Program are 1) geometric and topological modeling and 2) small-group social and sociolinguistic modeling. Complete and consistent mathematical analytical frameworks for the modeling effort are the preferred context for the research, but research that does not take place in such frameworks can be considered if the phenomena are so complex that such frameworks are not feasible. Metrics are part of the mathematical framework and are of great interest. Traditional metrics, when they exist, often do not measure the characteristics in which observers in general and the Army in particular are interested. For many complex phenomena, new metrics need to be developed at the same time as new models. Just as is the case for the modeling effort, these metrics should preferably be in a complete mathematical analytical framework. The research in modeling of and metrics for complex phenomena supported by the Modeling of Complex Systems Program is mainly mathematical analysis (not computational mathematics).

The two major areas of research of the Modeling of Complex Systems Program are:

3.1.1 Geometric and Topological Modeling. Representation of complex, irregular geometric objects and of complicated, often high-dimensional abstract phenomena and functions is fundamental for Army, DoD and civilian needs in modeling of urban and natural terrain, geophysical features, biological objects (including humans and their clothing), information flow and many other objects and functions. Real-time representation and visualization of 3D terrain (not just as a height field but with multivalent height functions and non-genus-0 topology) directly from real-time or stored point-cloud data cannot be achieved with current techniques. A key to achieving this goal is data compression at ratios and with accuracy that strongly exceed what is currently available. A multitude of variants of piecewise planar surfaces (including those on triangulated irregular networks or “TINs” and triangular mesh surfaces or “TMSs”), splines, multiquadrics, kriging, wavelets, neural nets and many other techniques developed in the past perform well on many types of data. However, none of these procedures are able to provide, without human intervention, representation of irregular objects and functions with the accuracy and compression that is needed. New approximation theory that does not require the assumptions (primarily smoothness) of classical approximation theory and that provides structure for the many new non-smooth approximation techniques currently under investigation is required. Research on the metrics in which approximation should take place is needed. Approximation theory for information flow and other abstract phenomena in large wireless communication, sensor and social networks is of interest. The approximation theory developed under support of this program is expected to provide building blocks for computational geometry, pattern recognition, automatic target recognition, visualization systems, information processing and network information flow.

3.1.2 Small-group Social and Sociolinguistic Modeling. Quantitative, analytical models of small social groups and of sociolinguistic phenomena are required for operations, training, simulation (computer generated forces) and mission planning. Current models have limited accuracy. Research focused on mathematically justified, practically useful, computationally tractable and data-tractable models is needed. (“Data-tractable” means “does not require more data or more detailed data than is realistically likely to be available.”). Research on the metrics in which the accuracy of the models should be measured is needed. The existence of links, the frequency of message exchanges, the format of communication, etc. are all valuable but limited sources of sociolinguistic analysis of the content of messages. However, real sociolinguistic content (as distinguished from the purely linguistic content) cannot yet be sensed automatically. Figurative speech, irony, copying (including paraphrasing), fabrication, manipulation and deception are widespread and need to be taken into account. This area of investigation is distinct from language translation and computational linguistics.

“Metrics” (distance functions in generalized sense, not in the classical mathematical sense) derived from human-based goals are a cross-cutting theme in this research. New metrics should be derived from the fundamental principles that are at the core of the human activities being investigated and should not simply be chosen or imposed on the model.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Joseph Myerse-mail: joseph.d.myers8.civ@mail.mil, telephone: (919) 549-4245

3.2 Probability and Statistics. The Probability and Statistics program supports extramural basic research in stochastic analysis and control, and statistical analysis and methods in response to the Army's need for real-time decision making under uncertainty and for the test and evaluation of systems in development. Special emphasis is placed on methods for analyzing data obtained from phenomena modeled by such processes. The two major areas of research are described below.

3.2.1 Stochastic Analysis and Control. Many Army research and development programs place emphases on modeling, analysis, and control of stochastic dynamical systems. Such problems generate a need for research in stochastic processes, random fields, and/or stochastic differential equations in finite or infinite dimensions. The research concentration areas in stochastic analysis and control thrust include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Research on analytical and approximation methods for solving stochastic delay and stochastic partial differential equations and their related nonlinear filtering and control problems is one of the program objectives. The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman theory via dynamical programming principle and/or necessary optimality conditions in terms of maximum principles have yet to be developed for optimal control of these infinite dimensional equations. Modeling of rare event analysis of spatial-temporal random phenomenon requires a fundamental mathematical understanding of the theory of large deviations for infinite-dimensional stochastic dynamical systems, in particular stochastic partial differential equations driven by Brownian and/or Poisson space time noises.
- b. Measure-Valued Stochastic Processes. An emerging and mathematically challenging area is the study of measure-valued process models of stochastic networks. One of the goals of this program is to develop general tools for the study of these processes, and their application to gain insight into the performance analysis, stability, control and design of this class of network models.
- c. Weakly Interacting Stochastic Systems and Mean-Fields Control and Game Theory: Many physical and engineering systems can be modeled as a large collection of stochastically evolving agents or particles, whose dynamics are weakly coupled by an interaction that depends only on the empirical rise to many mathematically challenging questions. Among the many areas where progress is needed, the most important include the development of a general methodology to understand the long-time behavior of the solution to the limit measure-valued stochastic equation, and a related analysis into the implications of the long-time behavior of the limit for the stability or metastability of the stochastic N - particle system. Also of interest are issues of mean-field stochastic control, game theory, and in particular the design of stochastic controls via Lyapunov function techniques and the interplay between ergodicity and controllability in the stabilization of the stochastic system.

- d. Quantum Stochastics and Quantum Control. With technological advances now allowing the possibility of continuous monitoring and rapid manipulations of system at quantum level, there is an increasing awareness of the applications and importance of quantum filtering and quantum control in engineering of quantum states, quantum error correction, quantum information, and quantum computation. To further understand the back action effects of measurements on quantum states and control of the system based on these measurements, mathematical development of non- commutative quantum stochastic calculus, quantum filtering and quantum control theory is necessary. Proposed mathematical research of this nature that has potential applications in quantum information and quantum computation is hereby solicited.
- e. Other Areas that Require Stochastic Analytical Tools. Other research areas of importance to the Army in stochastic analysis and applied probability include stochastic fluid dynamics and turbulence.

3.2.2 Statistical Analysis and Methods. The following research areas are of interest to the Army and are important in providing solutions to Army problems:

- a. Statistical Testing and Validation of Network Models. The structure (network design), data sets and human element have a major impact on soldier performance. The need exists to develop new statistical techniques and theories to support the selection of optimal designs, validate predictive network models and modify data mining and inferential statistical techniques to use on ill-defined data and improve measurement. The research concentration areas include dynamical inference of structure in underlying models. In particular, ability to measure reaction of particular features buried in the network and not directly observable, and sequential analysis (change point detection) in networks.
- b. Reliability and Survivability. To support future network-centric operations, the Army needs novel and efficient statistical tools for improving network reliability and survivability, and for analyzing data collected from sensor networks.
- c. Statistical Theory and Techniques for Real Time Analysis of Data Stream. The Army has pressing research needs in the area of streaming data. Especially, sampling theory methodology or the consideration of data epochs with meta-analysis relating findings across epochs may reduce the need to retain the entire stream of information. However, Army analysts frequently have very large or very small data sets sampled from nonstandard, poorly understood distributions. The two situations lead to very different statistical problems. Large data sets may occur in a stream, that is, they may be produced quickly and continually, so that new data compression methods are required to extract and update the relevant information for the decision-maker. On the other hand, in many testing situations, only small amounts of data are available due to cost, time, and safety constraints. The problems to be studied are sometimes vaguely formulated and appropriate models are not developed before

acquiring the data. Close collaboration with scientists who work in the field of applications is required to develop new methodologies for addressing the problem of extracting information from meager samples. To extract more information from less data, improved methods for combining information from disparate tests may be needed.

- d. Bayesian and Non-parametric Statistics. Future emphasis in statistics on "predictive" models vice explanatory models is important. Military operations call for predictive models based on a growing base of sensor-fueled data stores. Increased computational capability is also leading statistics in a new direction, away from using "classical" results which are really approximations to avoid computational issues. This suggests a need for increased emphasis on research in areas such as robust statistics, non- parametric statistics, non-linear models etc.
- e. Statistical Analysis of Very Large and Very Small Data Sets. The state-of-the-art in statistical methods is well adapted to elicit information from medium-size data sets collected under reasonable conditions from moderately well-understood statistical distributions. However, Army analysts frequently have very large or very small data sets sampled from nonstandard, poorly understood distributions. Very often the data available does not measure the variable of interest and gives only indirect information. Thus the variable of interest is sampled in some ill-defined fashion. One needs to look at this problem in some generality and develop new theory to handle such problems with ill-defined data.
- f. Geometric Methods for Statistical Inference. ARO seeks unifying mathematical frameworks to capture the space of statistical models for inference and learning purposes. Models using differential geometric insights (such as Information Geometry) to characterize the manifold of probability density functions, to conceptualize measure-valued stochastic processes as paths on such manifold, and to understand the metric of model comparison and selection are welcome.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Joseph Myers, e-mail: joseph.d.myers8.civ@mail.mil, telephone: (919) 549-4245

3.3 Biomathematics. Biomathematics is an exciting and important new area of activity for ARO. The introduction of biomathematics as a separate area of basic research recognizes the importance and specialized nature of quantitative methods, specifically mechanistic modeling, in the biological sciences. Biology involves a large number of entities that interact with each other and their environment in complex ways and at multiple scales. This complexity makes biomathematics a highly interdisciplinary field that requires unique and highly specialized mathematical competencies to quantify structure in these relationships. In fact, progress in mathematical models of biological systems has traditionally been achieved by making convenient simplifications; major advances in biomathematics research continue to require removing these assumptions (for example, stationarity, ergodicity and deterministic nature) and finding ways to effectively model the real complexity.

Modeling techniques currently utilized in the field range from agent-based approaches for determining the results of individual behavior, whether those individuals be molecules, zooplankton, or humans, to multi-compartmental modeling in physiology, epidemiology and neurobiology, to network models involved in understanding ecosystem and human social dynamics. Research in control techniques is also valuable for its potential application in militarily important areas such as biowarfare and disease spread. Exciting new opportunities to advance the field are found in high risk attempts to develop modeling techniques in areas of mathematics not traditionally brought to bear on biological problems, advances in Bayesian statistics, a growing recognition that the diffusion approximation is not necessarily adequate for many systems, and the availability of large amounts of complex biological data.

The ultimate goal of the Biomathematics Program focuses on adapting existing mathematics and creating new mathematical techniques to uncover fundamental relationships in biology, spanning different biological systems as well as multiple spatial and temporal scales. One area of special interest to the Program is neuromathematics, the mechanistic mathematical modeling of neural processes. Recent advances in neuroscience provide important foundations to begin understanding how the brain works. Combined with experimental data, innovative mathematical modeling provides an unparalleled opportunity to gain a revolutionary new understanding of brain physiology, cognition (including sensory processing, attention, decision-making, etc.), and neurological disease. With this new understanding, improved soldier performance, as well as treatments for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, Traumatic Brain Injury, and other brain-related disorders suffered by the warfighter will be able to be achieved more effectively and efficiently.

Thrust areas of the Biomathematics Program are as follows:

3.3.1 Fundamental Laws of Biology. The field of physics has long been “mathematized” so that fundamental principles such as Newton’s Laws are not considered the application of mathematics to physics but physics itself. The field of biology is far behind physics in this respect; a similar process of mathematization is a basic and high-risk goal of the ARO Biomathematics Program. The identification and mathematical formulation of the fundamental principles of biological structure, function, and development applying across systems and scales will not only revolutionize the field of biology but will motivate the creation of new mathematics that will contribute in as-yet-unforeseen ways to biology and the field of mathematics itself.

3.3.2 Multiscale Modeling/Inverse Problems. Biological systems function through diversity, with large scale function emerging from the collective behavior of smaller scale heterogeneous elements. This “forward” problem includes creating mechanistic mathematical models at different biological scales and synchronizing their connections from one level of organization to another, as well as an important subproblem, how to represent the heterogeneity of individual elements and how much heterogeneity to include in the model. For example, the currently increasing ability to generate large volumes of molecular data provides a significant opportunity for biomathematical modelers to develop advanced analytical procedures to elucidate the fundamental principles by which genes, proteins, cells, etc. are integrated and function as systems through the use of innovative mathematical and statistical techniques. The task is complicated by the fact that data collection methods are noisy, many biological

mechanisms are not well understood, and, somewhat ironically, large volumes of data tend to obscure meaningful relationships. However, traditionally “pure” mathematical methods such as differential geometry, algebra and topology, integration of Bayesian statistical methods with mathematical methods, and the new field of topological data analysis, among others, show promise in approaching these problems. Solutions to these types of multiscale problems will elucidate the connection, for example, of stem cells to tissue and organ development or of disease processes within the human body to the behavior of epidemics.

The “inverse” problem is just as important as the forward problem. From an understanding of the overall behavior of a system, is it possible to determine something of the nature of the individual elements? For example, from knowledge of cell signaling, can we go back and retrieve information about the cell? Although inverse problems have been studied for a long time, significant progress has been elusive. This thrust area involves innovations in spatial and/or temporal modeling of multi-level biological elements with the goal of achieving a deeper understanding of biological systems and eventually connecting top-down (data-driven) and bottom-up (model-based) approaches.

3.3.3 Modeling at Intermediate Timescales. Biological processes operate at a variety of timescales; understanding the dynamics of a system at intermediate timescales, as opposed to its long term, asymptotic behavior, is critically important in biology, more so than in many other fields. For example, an epidemic is a necessarily transient phenomenon. In addition, deterministic models are an approximation that often is not good enough to be informative about the system. Yet, intermediate timescales of nonlinear dynamics with stochasticity, both internal and external, are not well understood. This thrust area attempts to fill the gap in the basic understanding of modeling of systems, as well as their control, at intermediate timescales.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Virginia Pasour
e-mail: virginia.b.pasour.civ@mail.mil, telephone: (919) 549-4254

3.4 Computational Mathematics. Scientific computation is an essential component of scientific inquiry, complementing theory and experiment, and is also an essential element of engineering in both design and in failure autopsy. Simulations in support of inquiry, design, or autopsy often require expert knowledge in order to select methods that are appropriate to the assumptions of the scenario at hand, require considerable effort to set up, require considerable computer time and memory on large scale parallel/distributed/heterogeneous systems to compute, and require considerable skill and effort to distill useful information from the massive data sets which result. Expert knowledge is also required to quantitatively estimate solution accuracy and to estimate the effort required to achieve a desired accuracy. Data has become ubiquitous and is potentially very valuable in increasing solution accuracy and/or decreasing effort required to solve, but mathematically sound methods for incorporating data into accurate simulations are incomplete. Simulations are not always timely, with results often not being available until after they are needed, for example in calculating failure of New Orleans levees during Katrina and in revising those estimates based on real time surge data. The emphasis in the Computational Mathematics program is on mathematical research directed towards

overcoming these and related shortcomings.

3.4.1 For problems that are not time-limited, research areas of interest include but are not limited to the following:

1. Advances in Numerical Analysis. Novel methodologies are sought for solving currently intractable problems. New ways of taking advantage of symmetry, conservation, and recurrence are of interest, as are new ways of creating sparsity and new computational structures which can adapt to both the evolving solution and to the evolving run-time resource allocation of modern computer architectures. Rigorous analysis sought for each in order to enable error bounds, error distribution, and error control.
2. Multi-scale methods. Problems of interest to the Army are increasingly characterized by the fact that behavior at microscopic scales has a large influence on performance of systems. To analyze these situations, algorithms are needed to deal with different mathematical models at different scales, interacting subsystems, and coupling between models and scales. The emphasis of this program is on mathematical methods which have some promise of universal application rather than methods applicable only to specific application areas.
3. Fractional order methods. As an alternative to high order methods and other less-local operators, fractional operators are another nonlocal operator that have proven to work well in modeling and have the advantage of not enforcing dubious assumptions of smoothness, especially at discontinuities and interfaces. However, the nonlocality of fractional operators also typically introduces a potentially significant increase in computational load. Advances in novel efficient computational methods for these operators are of interest

3.4.2 Army systems often operate under rapidly-changing unpredictable and adverse conditions. It is desirable for models to be computationally simulated and fast enough to drive decision making, exercise control, and to help avoid disaster. Such simulations need to be created, run, and interpreted in better than real time. Research directed towards making this goal achievable is of interest, such as:

1. Reduced Order Models. Full scale simulations are often not realized in real time. In order to investigate the behavior of systems under a variety of possible scenarios, many runs are required. Reduced order models are one major way to enable this. Possible methods to create these models include adaptive simplification methods based on singular value decompositions and reduced order numerics. To be useful, all such models should be equipped with reliable estimates of accuracy.
2. Problem Solving Environments. New approaches which enable rapid decision making is to

be driven by simulation, it is necessary to set up simulations very quickly and obtain results in an understandable format. Matlab is one current tool for such a problem solving environment. Are there other approaches?

3. Embedded Simulation. As algorithms become more efficient and computational devices shrink, it will become increasingly possible to use real-time simulation to drive control systems. New methods which address this goal are welcome, especially those which permit user-controlled and/or adaptively-controlled tradeoffs between speed and accuracy.

4. Decision Making. One valid criticism of numerical simulation is that it takes so long to set up, run, and post-process the results that they cannot be used in a timely manner to guide decision making. Mathematical ideas that can help address this problem are of interest.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Joseph Myers
e-mail: joseph.d.myers8.civ@mail.mil, telephone: (919) 549-4245

d. RESEARCH AREA 4: ELECTRONICS

4.0 Electronics. Electronic components are recognized as key force multipliers in today's Army and will remain so for the foreseeable future. The Electronics Division seeks to support scientific and engineering endeavors in research areas that possess the potential to define new electronic capabilities or to enhance future electronic performance. The Division is more concerned with generating basic knowledge about processes and mechanisms than creating actual devices, although prototype devices may be useful for demonstration of principle. The Electronic research sub-areas are (1) Nano and Bio- Electronics, (2) Optoelectronics, (3) Electronic Sensing, and (4) Electromagnetics and Circuit Integration. Proposals are sought that advance fundamental understanding of electronic and photonic processes leading to new or improved materials and devices with a strong prospect for use in future Army technology.

4.1 Nano- and Bio-Electronics. This research area emphasizes efforts to discover and create unique phenomena at the nanoscale by use of nanoscience, bioscience, and the combination thereof in an effort to provide novel electronic technological capabilities for defense-related applications such as sensing, data acquisition, information processing, communications, target recognition, and surveillance.

To establish the needed science base for future Army battle-space capabilities, innovative research is sought in areas involving mesoscopic quantum phenomena, internally and externally induced stimulus, and novel transport and electromagnetic interaction effects in nano-scale electronic structures. This fundamental research will address issues related to design, modeling, fabrication, testing and characterization to include the ability to individually address, control, and modify structures, materials and devices, and the assembly of such structures into systems of nano- and microscopic dimensions. This research will seek to discover and develop novel electronic materials, advance processing and fabrication science, and identify advanced device concepts with revolutionary capabilities. Scientific opportunities in this research include, but are not limited to, quantum-confined structures (nano-tubes/-wires/-dots) and large-

scale precise alignment and integration of these structures to create collective interactions; mesoscopic transport phenomena in 2-dimensional atomic crystals and their heterostructures; spintronic, valleytronic, and mixed domain (charge/spin/quantum) device concepts based on topological insulator and other emerging nanomaterials; heterogeneous integration of different nanostructures into complex functional nanosystems; novel nanostructures/systems for efficient generation, transmission, and detection of electromagnetic radiation, especially those covering the THz spectral range. The bio-electronics sub-area is concerned with investigation of nanoscale electronic phenomena and processes in biological environment. The goals of this sub-area are to: 1) Develop new mechanisms to interface with and manipulate molecular and biological systems; 2) Explore biomimetic approaches to new electronic circuit functionality based on the detailed understanding of biological electrical and magnetic phenomena. Multi-disciplinary approaches linking nanoelectronics and neurology, synthetic biology, genetics, biomimetics, etc., are highly desirable and encouraged. Scientific opportunities in this research include, but are not limited to, bio-compatible nanomaterials for biotic-abiotic interface such as that between neurons and electronics materials; imaging, interrogation, and control of biomacromolecules, biological cells, neurons, and other bio-nanostructures utilizing electromagnetic wave spanning DC to THz, and optical frequencies; nanomaterial/structure platforms for artificial synthetic neuronal growth and bio-hybrid neural circuits incorporating both networks of synthetic neurons and non-biological nanoelectronic structures/components; neuromorphic and biomimetic nanodevices/systems which exploit intrinsic material or structure physical limitations such as variability and stochasticity to create unprecedented capabilities; synthesis and fabrication of hybrid bio-nanostructures utilizing DNA origami self-assembly or other xenobiotic functional nano-scaffolds. Bio-inspired sensors and transducers based on semiconductor, hybrid molecular-semiconductor, and other hybrid organic-inorganic nanomaterial combinations are also included in this sub-area.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Joe Qiu
e-mail: joe.x.qiu.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4297

4.2 Optoelectronics. Research in this subarea includes novel semiconductor structures, processing techniques, and integrated optical components. The generation, guidance and control of UV through infrared signals in semiconductor, dielectric, and metallic materials are of interest. The Army has semiconductor laser research opportunities based on low dimensional semiconductor structures (quantum dots, wells, wires, etc.) operating in the eye-safe (>1.55), 3-5, 8-12, and 18-24 microns regions for various applications, such as ladar, IR countermeasures, and free space/integrated data links. Components and sources in the UV/visible spectral ranges (particularly < 300 nm) may be of interest as well. Research is necessary in semiconductor materials growth and device processing to improve the efficiency and reliability of the output of devices at these wavelengths.

High performance devices and components will be optimized for applications including high data-rate optical networks. Interfacing of optoelectronic devices with electronic processors will be investigated for full utilization of available bandwidth. Electro-optic components will be studied for use in guided wave data links for interconnections and optoelectronic integration, all requirements for high speed full situational awareness. Optical interconnect components are needed in guided-wave data links for computer interconnection and in free-space links for

optical switching and processing. For high-speed optical signal processing as well as potential for power scaling, research on individual and 1 or 2-D arrays of surface or edge-emitting lasers is necessary. Research addressing efficient, novel optical components for high speed switching based on plasmonics, quantum dots, metamaterials or other regimes may be of interest. Emitters and architectures for novel display and processing of battlefield imagery are important.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Michael Gerhold
e-mail: michael.d.gerhold.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4357

4.3 Electronic Sensing. The ultimate goal of Army sensing is 100% situational awareness to include day/night, all weather, non-line-of-sight and through natural and man-made obstructions for sensing of vehicles, personnel, weapons, chemical and biological threats, projectiles, explosives, landmines, IEDs, and motion. Sensing technologies of interest to this research sub- area currently include acoustic; seismic; passive electromagnetic; magnetic, hyperspectral, and infrared. Novel techniques that enhance the stimulus-response characteristics of nano-structures and semiconductor devices are of interest. Other innovative sensors that meet an Army need are also welcome, however chemical, biological, and radar sensing techniques are generally funded through other sub-areas as is image processing.

Novel infrared or multispectral detectors and structures are of particular interest. Efforts are sought that raise the operating temperature and reduce the cost of “cooled” high performance infrared detectors, as well as, efforts that increase performance of “uncooled” infrared detectors. Research opportunities include components based on quantum confined devices and semiconductor materials operating in the infrared 1-24 microns regions. Also of interest is the ultra-violet spectral region. In both regions, fundamental studies involving growth, defects, interfaces, substrates, doping, and other electronic characteristics will be considered.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. William Clark
e-mail: william.w.clark9.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4314

4.4 Electromagnetics and Circuit Integration. This area concerns the exploration of new concepts for circuit integration for greater functionality, smaller size/weight, lower power consumption, enhanced performance, with focus in the frequency regime from low to terahertz frequencies. It also involves investigation of electromagnetic (EM) and acoustic phenomena for integrated antenna arrays, multifunctional antennas, EM power distribution, and new sensing modalities.

This area will address the basic science behind new approaches to the generation, transmission, and reception of EM power and signals. Emphasis will be placed on the HF through terahertz spectrum, however, novel ideas at lower frequencies down to direct current may be addressed. RF circuit integration offers orders of magnitude improvements in systems performance, cost, weight, reliability, size characteristics, and functionality. Issues include the coupling of EM radiation into and out of complex structures, antennas, both active and passive, transmission lines and feed networks, power combining techniques, EM wave analyses of electrical

components, and EM modeling techniques. The solution to thermal problems stemming from the concentration of higher and higher power into smaller and smaller volumes will be addressed. Antenna research must break away from the methodologies that were developed for continuous-wave, narrowband, steady-state operation to invent new design techniques, architectures, and materials that can dramatically increase the radiation efficiency and bandwidth of tactical antennas while simultaneously reducing their size and signature. The EM and acoustic detection and analysis of underground targets, landmines, and IED's is of interest. Unusual propagation effects in the atmosphere and gaseous plasmas offer new opportunities for sensing and detection. Army applications of this technology include communications (both tactical and strategic), command and control, reconnaissance, surveillance, target acquisition, and weapons guidance and control.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Jim Harvey
e-mail: james.f.harvey.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4246

e. RESEARCH AREA 5: COMPUTING SCIENCE

5.0 Computing Science. The principal objective of the ARO Computing Science Division is to provide increased performance and capability for processing signals and data, and to extract critical information and actionable intelligence to enhance the warfighters' situation awareness, decision making, command and control, and weapons systems performance. The division supports research efforts to advance the Army and nation's knowledge and understanding of the fundamental knowledge, principles, and techniques governing intelligent and trusted computing. More specifically, the division aims to promote basic research studies to establish new computing architectures and models for intelligent computing, to create novel data fusion and extraction techniques for efficient information processing, and to build resilient computing systems for mission assurance. The results of these research efforts will stimulate future studies and help to keep the U.S. at the forefront of research in the computing sciences. The research topics described in this section of the BAA are those needed to provide the warfighters with the latest information science technology needed to achieve the vision of future Army operations.

5.1 Computational Architectures and Visualization. The Computational Architectures and Visualization program is concerned with modeling, analysis, design, and validation of computational infrastructure (hardware and software) with special emphasis on the effect emerging and future computational architectures will have on managing, processing, analyzing, and visualizing massive data sets. This is due to the fact that the Army's ability to generate data of all types from the battlefield to the laboratory far outpaces the Army's ability to efficiently manage, process, analyze, and visualize such massive amounts of information. Emerging architectures only exacerbate the problem because the present and traditional models of computation no longer apply. Specific areas of interest can be found in the following two paragraphs.

5.1.1 Computational Architectures. Future computer systems will be both massively heterogeneous and parallel implying the present and traditional models of computation will no longer be applicable. As a result, this thrust supports the development of new computational theories, mathematical abstractions, and models of computation needed to address the difficulties associated with heterogeneous, parallel and distributed processing. Of special interest is determining how these new abstractions, algorithms, and computational processes map onto emerging computational resources of different types (e.g., multi-core, quantum, cloud, and chaotic computing, and determining which platforms are most suitable for Army applications). Other important issues to be considered for these emerging and future architectures are programmability, language and compiler support, real-time scheduling, resource-allocation and the development of a flexible software environment.

5.1.2 Visualization. The visualization thrust of this program is concerned with all aspects of visualization and computer simulation of interest to the Army and is not limited to any one type of data or computer model. Specific research areas of interest are, but not limited to, discrete mathematics, computational geometry, robust geometric computing, graph theory, geometric and solid modeling, interactive graphics, 3D visualization tools, verification & validation, and synthetic environments. Special emphasis is placed on making very large simulations and the visualization of massive data sets faster, more computationally efficient, and more interactive for the user while maintaining an appropriate level of fidelity and physical realism.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. J. Michael Coyle
e-mail: joseph.m.coyle14.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4256

5.2 Information Processing & Fusion. With ubiquitous data acquisition capabilities, effective data and information processing is of increasingly critical importance to defense missions. The Information Processing and Fusion program is concerned with the creation of innovative theories and algorithms for data modeling, data processing, information extraction, and information integration to support the development of real-time decision-making and advanced targeting capabilities for military operations. Emphasis is placed on mathematical theories, methodologies and algorithms for image processing, image understanding, video-based tracking, and data/information fusion. The program consists of four thrust areas as described below.

5.2.1 Foundations of Image and Multimodal Data Analysis. Remote sensing, whether in the visible, infrared or other spectra, presents unique challenges due to a number of operation factors such as clutter, illumination, and occlusion. Innovative research is sought concerning (1) task-oriented representations of multimodal data to enable the understanding of multimodal sensor data and contextual information; (2) detection, localization, and recognition of objects and locations from imaging data with particular emphasis on provable performance guarantees; (3) detection of events, actions, and activities to extract activity-based intelligence, especially when the events are rare and no extensive training data is available; and (4) integrated approaches that enable semantic descriptions of objects and events including relations. Learning and adaptation should be enabled in the representation at both low and high-levels, where inputs from actual users of the systems are used to improve the performance of the algorithms and the fidelity of models at all levels of the modeling hierarchy. Also of interest are methods to exploit the structure of the data and capture its intrinsic dimensionality. The development of an “information/complexity theory” and a “learning theory” specific for remote sensing, imaging data and decision tasks is highly desirable.

5.2.2 Data and Information Fusion. Multimodal data acquisition systems are increasingly prevalent with disparate sensors and other information sources. This thrust seeks advanced mathematical theories and approaches for integrating multimodal data and contextual information to provide actionable intelligence. Of particular interest are systematic and unifying approaches for data and information fusion from diverse sources. Scalable methods are needed for efficiently handling vast amounts of data. Fusion in networked environments addressing issues such as adaptive, distributed and cooperative fusion is emphasized. Theories and principles for performance analysis and guarantees at all fusion levels to support robust data and information fusion are important to ensuring successful military operations.

5.2.3 Active and Collaborative Sensing. Modern sensing systems typically include multiple networked sensors with communication capabilities where the whole network can be thought of as a sensor that can be controlled, in addition to each individual node having some controllable degrees of freedom such as mobility for unmanned aerial/ground systems, pan-tilt-zoom for infrastructure sensors, or waveform for agile radar. Depending on the task or query, it is desirable for the system to control the data acquisition process so as to acquire the “most informative data” for the specific task or query. Consequently, research is solicited to address the integration of mobility, sensor-selection, modality selection, and active observation for real-time assessment and improvements of sensing performance. Another research area of interest is performance-driven active data collection. A query is given to the system together with a desired performance bound. Where the confidence in answering the query is insufficient, the system should actively interrogate or control sensors so as to achieve the desired confidence.

Such an active learning and information-driven sensor control must include the soldier in the feedback loop.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Liyi Dai
e-mail: liyi.dai.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4350

5.3 Information and Software Assurance. From the Army perspective, Information Assurance must provide authentic, accurate, secure, reliable, timely information to warfighters in order to achieve information dominance, regardless of threat conditions. Computing and information processes may be carried out over distributed and heterogeneous systems, which may include mobile computing and communications systems, and high performance information process systems that are inter-connected through both tactical and strategic communication systems.

5.3.1 Supporting Army Tactical Mission. Research is needed in the areas of protocols and techniques that would assure delivery of trustworthy data to support battlefield missions. Reconfigurable, survivable, and self-healing systems allow a combat unit to dynamically establish and maintain its command and communication capability under diversified and extreme battlefield situations. The Army requires a fully mobile, fully-communicating, agile, and situation-aware force that operates in a highly dynamic, network centric environment. This force consists of a heterogeneous mixture of individual soldiers, ground vehicles, airborne platforms, unmanned aerial vehicles, robotics, and unattended sensor networks that operate in a complex wireless environment. Information theory has played a founding role in the study of security. Across a wide range of application domains and security objectives, information theory leads to insight to the underlying tradeoffs between security and performance. The Army seeks novel ideas in fundamental research areas such as information-theoretic security and the science of security that will provide direct guidance in the design of secure tactical wireless systems. In particular, topics of interest include new paradigms for physical layer security (ranging from confidentiality to authentication to trustworthiness in physical layer communications), the fundamental bounds in key management in distributed systems, and the exploitation of key establishment and distribution protocols. The corresponding constructions that would arise from such an investigation represent a significant avenue for improving future wireless systems as well as the corresponding secrecy capacity limits that could be obtained from such an investigation serve as valuable guidelines in developing future wireless systems with confidential and authenticated communications. New computing and communication protocols and techniques are needed to assure critical information processing and delivery even when such systems are under severe resource constraints.

5.3.2 Foundations for next generation survivable systems. The field of information assurance needs a foundational science to guide the design of systems and to quantitatively measure safety and the level of assurance of complex systems that we depend upon today. Assurance principles and metrics are needed to help define, develop, and evaluate future robust and resilient systems and network architectures that would survive sophisticated attacks and intrusions with measurable confidence. We seek the capability to measure a complex system and to produce a scalar value that can determine the trustworthiness of that system. In addition, human users need to be in the loop for system assurance analysis. Developing human centric security-usability metrics, computational models for usable security in stressful situations, and adaptive security protocols according to perceived threats are some of the research areas of interest in improving warfighter performance while maintaining sufficient security requirements. One new challenging area of research that offers great promise in stronger system robustness is the

modeling of adversaries, since ultimately systems need to defend effectively against their attacks. A deeper understanding and more accurate modeling of adversarial behaviors lead to better future system development.

5.3.3 Trusted social computing. Cheaper but more powerful computing devices and ubiquitous wireless communication have profoundly changed our way of life, and transformed computing from being data processing centric in the past to being human centric now. Though social computing applications have grown rapidly, understandings on trust and assurance principles for social computing remain very limited, with no quantitative models for reliable trust determination and validation. A need exists to gain an understanding on the impact of social dynamics on trust, to explore and analyze the representation of human interaction via social protocols and collaboration patterns in social networks via parameters informed by social sciences and economics, to establish trust theories and a framework for human centric computing, to build metrics and quantitative models for determining levels of trust and implications of such trust values, and to develop new techniques for trust management and automated trust determination for multi-dimensional social computing. Social networking and social media have created an enormous amount of data (text, image and video) that contains a tremendous amount of information that can be exploited for various applications such as situational awareness and intelligence gathering. A need exists for reliable, trustworthy models and analytical tools that can be used to guide the development of new methodologies for social- media-based data sensing and processing, given that social media data can easily be forged, manipulated or fabricated.

5.3.4 Principles of Moving Target Defense. Current cyber defenses are often static and governed by lengthy processes, while adversaries can plan their attacks carefully over time and launch the attacks at cyber speeds at times of their choosing. We seek a new class of defensive strategies to present adversaries with a moving target where the attack surface of a system keeps changing. Although such an idea of a “moving target” is a powerful paradigm for building systems robust to security threats, many fundamental aspects associated with such a strategy need to be further investigated and understood. For example, such a “moving target” system may operate under many different contexts, ranging from the use of frequency hopping in spread spectrum to software diversification. It is critical to establish new theories and models that can provide trade-off analysis between system robustness against attacks vs. performance/usability, and quantify the risks associated with system adaptation under an adversarial setting. Ultimately the understandings and analytical models obtained will establish an important foundation for creating robust tactical systems capable of maximizing the difficulties for the adversaries to attack while minimizing the impact to system performance and usability.

5.3.5 Hardware Assurance. Assuming the trustworthiness of underlying hardware, current information systems typically implement security services in software that provide authenticity, integrity and confidentiality of information; however this assumption is increasingly being challenged, given the current globalized, horizontal semiconductor business model. Malicious insertion of Trojan circuits designed (e.g., to act as ‘kill switches’), extraction of sensitive IP from an IC using hardware-based side channels, and malicious system disruption and diversion using backdoors in hardware are all real and serious threats to information and cyber-physical infrastructure. In contrast to software assurance, where security risks and vulnerabilities are better studied and understood, understandings on hardware assurance are limited. New hardware analysis models and methodologies are needed to carry out correctness verification and to detect malicious logic insertion that could happen either in hardware design specification or during chip fabrication. Emergent properties of silicon chips such as Physically Unclonable Functions (PUFs)

provide a promising mechanism for IC identification, authentication and potential on-chip key generation. Novel techniques are also sought that can minimize side channel information leakage from the IC and help establish tamper proof to eliminate physical access to the chip/system from an unauthorized user.

Technical point of contact: Dr. Cliff Wang
e-mail: cliff.x.wang.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4207

5.4 Social Informatics. Technologically enabled social interaction has had explosive growth over the past several years. Social media in general and the Internet in particular facilitate social interaction and information exchange at high levels that were previously not available. They have created and continue to create an enormous amount of data (text, image and video) and information that can be exploited for various applications, such as situational awareness and intelligence gathering. Traditional models and analytical tools for physical phenomena often do not work for social phenomena. One salient difference is in trust. It is normally assumed that information about physical phenomena can be trusted (perhaps with limitations). However, one can never fully trust the information from technologically based social media since they can easily be and are commonly known to be widely forged, manipulated or fabricated. There is a lack of fundamental understanding of how to collect, model and analyze information obtained from social media in a robust and trustworthy way.

The Social Informatics Program is a program of fundamental research the objectives of which are to quantify technology-based social interaction phenomena, to develop metrics for the quantified phenomena and to develop forensic and predictive analytical and computational models based on these quantifications and metrics. The objects of interest will generally be social phenomena (social groups/structure) and socio-cognitive phenomena (human intentions in a social context). The quantification and metrics of interest to this program are those based on domain-scientific principles of social and socio-cognitive science that are at the same time mathematically consistent and computationally feasible.

The research of interest to the Social Informatics Program includes quantified, analysis-based research about technology-based social interaction phenomena in the following two areas:

5.4.1 Quantification and Metrics. Extraction of information from social media requires research in quantification of and metrics for these phenomena. The metrics by which one measures distance between phenomena will likely be nontraditional. Here, the word “metric” means simply a general distance measure, not a “metric” in the classical mathematical meaning of the word. In particular, a metric need not fulfill the triangle inequality, an inequality that does not seem to correspond to many social or socio-cognitive phenomena, and need not be symmetric, that is, the distance from y to x may be different from the distance from x to y. Traditional metrics, when they exist, often do not meaningfully measure the social or socio-cognitive phenomena in which observers in general and the Army in particular are interested. All metrics should be computationally feasible, mathematically justified and based on known or hypothesized sociological or socio-cognitive principles. Ad hoc or purely heuristic metrics are insufficient. The metrics need to be derived, not assumed or assigned. Quantification and metrics need to extend to reliability and accuracy, since falsification and deception are often present at the level of the input into the social medium by a human being. Processing of soft information such as text and voice has been extensively investigated, but insufficiently in the social context which often determines meaning and which can resolve ambiguities.

5.4.2 Analytical and Computational Models. Of interest are analytical and computational models for both forensic and predictive purposes. These models complement the qualitative models of much of sociological research, especially those in the less-investigated area of weak-tie sociology that is important for technology-based social interaction. The models are dependent on the quantification and metrics discussed in the item above as well as on quantitatively expressed social and socio-cognitive principles. Since such principles are often not yet well understood, they may have to be developed along with the models. Falsification and deception may not be identifiable at the level of input information and may have to be identified by the model. By looking at patterns of information across a variety of sources in an appropriate analytical framework, one may be able to select “true information”, avoid “false information” and produce accurate results. The analytical framework will virtually certainly be deeper and more complicated than weighting (for example, weighting information sources according to ratings or presumed trust), averaging and correlation. The models should be embedded in applicable sociological and socio-cognitive theory and should not simply be computationally descriptive of social-media phenomena and/or be based only on analogies to physical phenomena.

All of the research will need to take place in an open-world context that is significantly different from the closed-world context that has been commonly assumed in the past. Among other questions, there arises the question of what representation of uncertainty is applicable for the poorly understood open-world situations that occur in social-media phenomena. To what extent is classical probability and statistics, which is often an excellent model for well understood closed-world situations, applicable? If it is not applicable, is there evidence that some alternative theory (possibility theory, plausibility theory, various evidence theories, etc.) is applicable? The representation of uncertainty needs to be derived from applicable sociological and socio-cognitive principles, not simply assumed or assigned.

Understanding and being able to predict technology-based social networking and social media phenomena will enhance defense in current and future asymmetric conflict, especially in the technology-based component of that defense.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Joseph Myers, e-mail: joseph.d.myers8.civ@mail.mil, telephone: (919) 549-4245

f. RESEARCH AREA 6: PHYSICS

6.0 Physics. The objective of the ARO Physics Division is to develop forefront concepts and approaches, particularly exploiting atomic-scale and quantum phenomena, that will in the long-term have revolutionary consequences for Army capabilities, while in the nearer-term providing for existing Army needs. In support of this goal, the interests of the Physics Division are primarily in the following areas: condensed matter physics, quantum information science, atomic and molecular physics, and optics and fields. There is little direct interest in relativity and gravitation, cosmology, elementary particles and fields, nuclear physics, astronomy, or astrophysics, since they generally have little impact on the areas of Army needs. Nevertheless, the possible relevance of topics within these other physics disciplines is not absolutely discounted, and discussions of potential exceptions are welcome.

The disciplinary boundaries of ARO are not sharply drawn, as shown by the joint support of a number of efforts by the Physics Division and other ARO Divisions. In addition, it is not necessary that a potential investigator be associated with a physics department to receive support from the ARO Physics Division.

6.1 Condensed Matter Physics. Condensed matter physics (CMP) is a foundational science enabling fundamental Army technologies in areas such as information processing, communications, sensors, optical components, electronics, optoelectronics, night vision, seekers, countermeasures, and many others. Technologies such as these would not exist today, at least not as we know them, without visionary research in the field of CMP. The ARO CMP Program strives to continue this level of impact by looking beyond the current understanding of natural and designed condensed matter, to lay a foundation for revolutionary technology development for next generation and future generations of warfighters.

Strong Correlations and Novel Quantum Phases of Matter. Understanding, predicting, and experimentally demonstrating novel phases of matter in strongly correlated systems will lay a foundation for new technology paradigms for applications ranging from information processing to sensing to novel functional materials. Interest primarily involves strong correlations of electrons, but those of other particles or excitations are not excluded. This thrust has predominantly emphasized complex oxide heterostructures as a material system in which the discovery, design and control of electronic correlations may be possible but other material systems are of interest as well. The thrust also has interest in quantum criticality, dynamically-stabilized electronic states and metastable phases that are not adiabatically accessible from known ground states. The Program seeks to foster novel experimental and theoretical research targeting the discovery and rational design of new quantum phases of matter, along with exploring how excitations within these phases can be probed and controlled.

Topological Electronic Phases in Condensed Matter. Novel quantum phases of electronic matter can also exist apart from strong correlations as recent developments in topological insulators has demonstrated. This thrust seeks to expand the physics embodied in the topology of the electronic structure, including the role of strong correlations and other influences, theoretically predicted or not. Interest emphasizes experimental studies but not to the exclusion of theoretical efforts. Studies in physics and physics-enabling chemistry, such as bulk crystal growth, heteroepitaxial growth modes, and surface chemistry are also of interest.

Unique Instrumentation Development. Advanced studies of CMP phenomena often require unique

experimental techniques with tools that are not readily available. The construction and demonstration of new methods for probing and controlling unique phenomena, especially in the studies of novel quantum phases of matter, is of particular interest. Further, structures and assemblies exhibiting unique CMP phenomena may require unique synthetic techniques, which might range from biological assembly to optical lattices. Establishing such techniques for the fabrication or simulation of condensed-matter systems are of interest when they provide access to novel quantum phenomena that are not otherwise readily obtainable.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Marc Ulrich
e-mail: marc.d.ulrich.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4319

6.2 Quantum Information Science. Quantum mechanics provides the opportunity to perform highly non-classical operations that can result in beyond-classical capabilities in imaging, sensing and precision measurements, exponential speed-ups in computation, or ultra-secure transmittal of information. This Program seeks to understand, control, and exploit such non-classical phenomena for revolutionary advances beyond those possible with classical systems. An overarching interest is the exploration of small systems involving small numbers of entangled particles. There are three major areas of interest within this Program.

Foundational Quantum Physics. Experimental investigations of the fundamental nature of quantum phenomena, potentially useful for quantum information science, are of interest. Examples include coherence properties, decoherence mechanisms, decoherence mitigation, entanglement creation and measurement, nondestructive measurement, complex quantum state manipulation, and quantum feedback. An important objective is to ascertain the limits of our ability to create, control, and utilize quantum information in multiple quantum entities in the presence of noise. Of particular interest is the demonstration of the ability to manipulate quantum coherent states on time scales much faster than the decoherence time. Theoretical analyses of non-classical phenomena may also be of interest if the work is strongly coupled to a specific experimental investigation, such as proof-of-concept demonstrations in atomic, molecular, and optical as well as other systems.

Quantum Sensing, Imaging, and Metrology. This research area seeks to explore, develop, and demonstrate multi-particle coherent systems to enable beyond classical capabilities in imaging, sensing, and metrology. Central to this research area is the exploration of small systems involving a few entangled particles. Topics of interest in this research area include the discovery and exploration of (a) multi-particle quantum states advantageous for imaging, sensing, and metrology, (b) quantum circuits that operate on multi-particle quantum states to enable beyond-classical capabilities, and (c) methods for the readout of quantum states. Other research topics of interest are: theory to explore multi-particle quantum states useful for beyond classical capabilities, quantitative assessment of capabilities and comparison to classical systems, efficient state preparation, quantum circuits for processing these states as quantum bits, readout techniques, decoherence mitigation and error-correction for improved performance, supporting algorithms as a basis for processing circuits, connections between the solution of hard computational problems and overcoming classical limitations in imaging, sensing, and metrology, entanglement as a resource, and suitable physical systems and key demonstration experiments.

Quantum Computation and Communication. Quantum computing and communication will

entail the control and manipulation of quantum bits with high fidelity. The objective is the experimental demonstration of quantum logic performed on several quantum bits operating simultaneously, which would represent a significant advance toward that ultimate goal of tremendous speed up of computations. Demonstrations of quantum feedback and error correction for multiple quantum bit systems are also of interest. There is particular interest in developing quantum computation algorithms that efficiently solve classically hard problems, and are useful for applications involving resource optimization, imaging, and the simulation of complex physical systems. Input/output interfaces for quantum computation to handle large amounts of classical data efficiently are of interest. The ability to transmit information through quantum entanglements distributed between spatially-separated quantum entities has opened the possibility for an ultra-secure means of communication. Exploration of quantum communication of information based on distributed entanglements such as in quantum teleportation is of interest. In addition, the exploration of long-range quantum entanglements, entanglement transfer among different quantum systems, and long-term quantum memory are also of interest.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. T.R. Govindan
e-mail: t.r.govindan.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4236

6.3 Atomic and Molecular Physics. Research efforts in the Atomic and Molecular Physics (AMP) Program will create fundamentally new capabilities for the Army, as well as provide the scientific underpinnings to enhance existing technologies. Topics of interest include (i) quantum degenerate atomic gases, both Bose and Fermi, their excitations and properties, including mixed species, mixed state, and molecular, (ii) quantum limited precision metrology, (iii) nonlinear atomic and molecular processes, (iv) quantum topological matter, (v) novel forms and effects of coherence, and (vi) emerging areas. Cooling schemes for molecules are of importance for extending the range of systems that may be exploited. In addition, there is an interest in emerging areas of atomic, molecular, and optical (AMO) physics such as states of protected matter including but not limited to topological phases, emergent lattices in quantum gases, opto-mechanical interfaces, non-equilibrium many body dynamics, and weak measurements. Research efforts within the AMP Program fall within two thrust areas: *Advanced Quantum Capabilities and Novel Quantum Methods*. It is anticipated that research efforts within these areas will lead to applications including novel materials, robust quantum devices, and novel fieldable quantum sensors.

6.3.1 *Advanced Quantum Capabilities*. The two focus areas of this thrust are the development of quantum simulators and foundational research in quantum entanglement. The quantum simulator portion of the thrust seeks research on novel cooling, trapping, and the expansion of atomic and molecular species. The effort seeks the validation of many-body quantum theories through the development of experimental tools including quantum gas microscopes, synthetic gauge fields, mixed species, and novel interactions. Complimenting this effort will be the inclusion of foundational investigations into quantum mechanics, such as entanglement, topologically protected states, and entropy. To take advantage of the precision inherent in future quantum devices, these systems will need to connect to the classical world in such a manner that allows them to sample the signal of interest while remaining robust to noisy environments. Investigating how to maximize both the quality and quantity of entanglement within these systems will be a priority. General issues of quantum coherence, quantum interference, entanglement growth, entanglement purity, and non-equilibrium phenomena, as well as discovering new scientific opportunities are also of interest.

Novel Quantum Methods. The AMP Program has a general interest in exploring fundamental atomic and molecular physics that may impact future Army capabilities. This thrust is divided into two main areas: quantum limited precision metrology and quantum state determined chemical reactions. The quantum limited precision metrology effort will expand the foundations of quantum measurement into new areas including opto-mechanics, weak-value measurement, and other areas that increases fundamental precision through interactions, including cavities and Rydberg atoms. Quantum state determined chemical reactions will explore molecular and ion chemistry, controlled at the level of quantum state. Methods will be developed that exploit Feshbach resonances and other methods to tune interactions, both as a mechanism for ultracold molecule production and as a way to cross over from weak coupling to strong coupling regimes (e.g., in superfluidity). It is expected that research in this thrust will complement efforts in the *Advanced Quantum Capabilities* thrust. For example, molecules could be studied in mixed dimensions in optical lattices or quantum gas microscopes. The *Novel Quantum Methods* thrust is distinguished from programs in the materials and chemical sciences. One distinguishing feature is its focus not on synthesis, but on the underlying mechanisms, such as electronic transport, magnetic response, coherence properties (or their use in molecule formation/selection), control, and/or linear and nonlinear optical properties. The systems of interest are well-defined molecules, generally small or of high symmetry, and their functionalized variants.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Paul Baker
e-mail: paul.m.baker4.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4202

6.4 Optical Physics and Fields. The Army seeks fundamental physics related to optics and electromagnetism. The objective of this Program is to investigate physical phenomena that will lead to a deeper understanding of the underlying physics or the discovery of new physical effects that can improve capabilities of the Army. In particular, this program emphasizes physics that will significantly improve areas such as remote sensing, information processing, light and energy transmission, interactions between light and matter, and new or emerging phenomena relating to optical physics and fields. Much of the Army's capability in sensing and information and/or energy exchange depends on light. This Program also seeks research for other long-range physical fields that can complement electromagnetic radiation.

Extreme Light. This thrust focuses on research on extreme light, meaning the examination of light in the extreme limits, such as the shortest pulses attainable and the highest intensity fields attainable. Advances in these areas require theoretical and experimental research. For example, ultrashort pulsed lasers have now achieved intensities of 10²² W/cm². Future applications of these pulses may include high-harmonic generation, nanolithography, particle beam acceleration and control, and light filaments. In addition, effects such as THz formation and control and broadband localized radiation from filaments are of interest. In the near future, even higher intensities are expected. Theoretical and experimental research efforts are needed to describe and understand how matter behaves under these conditions—from single particle motion and radiation reaction to the effects in materials—and how to generate these pulses and use them effectively. Pulses as short as 80 attoseconds have been produced, and the Program seeks ways to make them shorter and to understand both the physics and applications of this form of radiation. Another example of extreme light is light filaments, where light and plasmas interact to form a new kind of energy propagation. The physics of the interactions as well as many yet-to-be discovered issues need to be understood, such as how far can light filaments propagate and how much energy can they contain. Proposals for new areas of extreme light such as relativistic optics

are welcome.

Meta-Optics. This thrust pursues a fresh start in optics due to the new kinds of effects allowed by negative index materials and more generally, optical metamaterials. In this area, many conventional limits of optics can be broken in ways such as sub-wavelength imaging and superlensing related phenomena. It is timely to look at the quantum optics of such processes. This program has interest in new methods to overcome loss in metamaterials, hyperbolic materials, and new phenomena made possible by flat photonics. Proposals for new areas of meta-optics such as parity-time (PT) symmetries and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians are of interest. New forms of imaging using transformation optics or other novel imaging, including quantum optics, are also of interest. In general, any optical phenomena that can ultimately improve Army capabilities are sought.

Non-Electromagnetic Fields . Other forms of propagating energy have been predicted and will theoretically have properties that differ dramatically from electromagnetism. Modern theories of gravity as well as string theory predict, in addition to gravity, the existence of two other long-range fields. If these theories are correct in their predictions, this suggests applications in which these new fields may be useful for detection or communication, especially in situations where electromagnetism and optics are not useful, such as propagating through conducting media. This program seeks proposals that may lead to the detection and/or measurement of these fields.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Richard Hammond
e-mail: richard.t.hammond10.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4313

g. RESEARCH AREA 7: CHEMICAL SCIENCES

7.0 Chemical Sciences. The ARO Chemical Sciences Division supports research efforts to advance the Army and Nation's knowledge and understanding of the fundamental properties and processes governing molecules and their interactions in materials and chemical systems. The Division encourages proposals that promote basic research to develop methods for accurately predicting the pathways, intermediates, and energy transfer of specific reactions, to understand the fundamental processes governing electrochemical reactions and transport of species, and to discover the relationships between macromolecular microstructure, architecture, functionality, and macroscopic properties. In addition, these efforts will likely lead to new methods for synthesizing and analyzing molecules and materials that will open the door to future studies not feasible with current approaches.

7.1 Molecular Structure and Dynamics. The goal of this Program is to determine the pathways and intermediates for fast reactions of molecules in gas and condensed phases at high temperatures and pressures, and to develop theories that are capable of accurately describing and predicting these phenomena. In the long term, these studies may serve as the basis for the design of future propellants, explosives, and sensors. This Program is divided into two research thrusts: (i) *Reaction Dynamics* and (ii) *Computational Modeling*.

Research in the *Reaction Dynamics* thrust explores energy transfer mechanisms in molecular systems. In particular, research is focused on understanding dynamic processes such as roaming

radicals, chemical reactions in solid state crystals and heterogeneous mixtures, phase transformations at extreme conditions, and control of processes using both shaped laser pulses and continuous wave laser beams. Studies that yield new insights on the decomposition pathways of energetic molecules, both in the gas and condensed phases, are also of interest.

Research in the *Computational Modeling* thrust is focused on the development and validation of theories for describing and predicting the properties of chemical reactions and molecular phenomena in gas and condensed phases. In particular, research targeted at the development and implementation of novel theoretical computational chemistry methods is of interest. Ideally, such methods will go beyond current theories to allow for efficient, accurate, and *a priori* prediction of thermochemical properties. Such methods may take advantage of near-ideal parallel processing on massive computer clusters, or they may seek to solve current scaling problems through novel implementation of unprecedented computer algorithms. The accurate prediction of intermolecular forces for problems in solid-state chemistry, such as the prediction of x-ray crystal structures, is also of current interest.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. James Parker
email: james.k.parker30.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4293

7.2 Electrochemistry. This Program supports fundamental electrochemical studies to understand and control the physics and chemistry that govern electrochemical redox reactions and transport of species, and how these are coupled with electrode, catalysis, electrolyte, and interface. Research includes ionic conduction in electrolytes, electrocatalysis, interfacial electron transfer, transport through coatings, surface films and polymer electrolytes, activation of carbon-hydrogen and carbon-carbon bonds, and spectroscopic techniques that selectively probe electrode surfaces and electrode-electrolyte interfaces. Novel electrochemical synthesis, investigations into the effect of microenvironment on chemical reactivity, quantitative models of electrochemical systems, and electrochemistry using excited electrons are also of interest.

This Program is divided into two research thrusts, although other areas of electrochemical research may be considered: (i) Reduction-oxidation (Redox) Chemistry and Electrocatalysis, and (ii) Transport of Electroactive Species. The *Redox Chemistry and Electrocatalysis* thrust supports research to understand how material and morphology affect electron transfer and electrocatalysis, to tailor electrodes and electrocatalysts at a molecular level, and to discover new spectroscopic and electrochemical techniques for probing surfaces and selected species on those surfaces. The *Transport of Electroactive Species* thrust identifies and supports research to uncover the mechanisms of transport through heterogeneous, charged environments such as polymers and electrolytes, to design tailorable electrolytes based on new polymers and ionic liquids, and to explore new methodologies and computational approaches to study the selective transport of species in charged environments.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Robert Mantz, e-mail: robert.a.mantz.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4309

7.3 Polymer Chemistry. The goal of this Program is to understand the molecular-level link between polymer microstructure, architecture, functionality, and the ensuing macroscopic properties. Research in this Program may ultimately enable the design and synthesis of functional polymeric materials that give the Soldier new and improved protective and sensing

capabilities as well as capabilities not yet imagined. This Program is divided into two research thrusts: (i) *Precision Polymeric Materials* and (ii) *Complex Polymer Systems*. Within these thrusts, high-risk, high-payoff research is identified and supported to pursue the Program's long-term goal.

The *Precision Polymeric Materials* thrust supports research aimed at developing new approaches for synthesizing polymers with precisely-defined molecular weight, microstructure (monomer sequence and tacticity), architecture, and functional group location; exploring how changes in molecular structure and composition impact macroscopic properties; and on developing polymers that exhibit programmed molecular responses to external stimuli. Of particular interest is research related to sequence-defined polymers, self-immolative polymers, and polymer mechanochemistry. The *Complex Polymer Systems* thrust focuses on controlling polymer assembly to enable complex structures with diverse functions and new properties. Of interest to this thrust are research efforts that explore how molecular structure influences polymer assembly into more complex, hierarchical structures as well as influence interactions with other materials (e.g., inorganic or biological materials) to render functional hybrid assemblies. Research efforts that explore assembly/incorporation of multiple responsive groups into a single polymeric materials system to engender complex responsive behavior are also of interest.

Technical Points of Contact:

Dr. Dawanne Poree , e-mail: dawanne.e.poree.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4238

7.4 Reactive Chemical Systems. The goal of this Program is to achieve a molecular level understanding of interfacial activity and dynamic nanostructured and self-assembled chemical systems to provide unprecedented hazardous materials management capabilities and soldier survivability. This Program supports high-risk, high-payoff basic research with Army relevance in surfaces, catalysis, organized assemblies, and stimuli-responsive chemical systems. This program is divided into two thrusts: (i) *Interfacial Activity* and (ii) *Synthetic Molecular Systems*. The *Interfacial Activity* thrust supports research on understanding the kinetics and mechanisms of reactions occurring at surfaces and interfaces and the development of new methods to achieve precise control over the structure and function of chemical and biological molecules on surfaces. Specific areas of interest include adsorption, desorption, and the catalytic processes occurring at surfaces and interfaces and the interface between nanostructures and biomolecules to generate advanced materials. The *Synthetic Molecular Synthesis* thrust supports research that imparts multi-functionality, stimuli-responsive and dynamic behavior to completely synthetic molecular and chemical systems. Research of interest includes design and development of nanostructured scaffolds and sequential catalytic systems. This thrust also supports research aimed at exploring the properties and capabilities of self-assembled and supramolecular structures, including their functionality, and how to control assembly in different environments

In addition, the emerging field of dynamic, responsive, multi-functional materials has great potential to provide revolutionary new capabilities. A specific technical area in this field is "targeting and triggering" in which a specific chemical (or event) is targeted (recognized) and that recognition triggers a response. Particular technical challenges of interest include selective and reversible recognition, amplification, and multi-responsive systems. Alternative approaches to selective, yet reversible, recognition are needed. Amplification includes an understanding of how to amplify the response from a single molecular recognition event to a multi-molecular response with approaches that promote chain reactions, self-amplification or cascade-type

reactions within a single system. Multi-responsive systems in which specific stimuli trigger distinct responses are also of interest.

Technical Point of Contact: Ms. Wendy Mills (contractor), e-mail: wendy.y.mills.ctr@mail.mil, (919) 549-4235; Dr. Dawanne Poree, e-mail: dawanne.e.poree.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4238

h. RESEARCH AREA 8: LIFE SCIENCES

8.0 Life Sciences. The ARO Life Sciences Division supports research efforts to advance the Army and Nation's knowledge and understanding of the fundamental properties, principles, and processes governing DNA, RNA, proteins, organelles, prokaryotes, and eukaryotes, as well as multi-species communities, biofilms, individual humans, and groups of humans. The interests of the Life Sciences Division are primarily in the following areas: biochemistry, neuroscience, microbiology, molecular biology, genetics, genomics, proteomics, epigenetics, systems biology, bioinformatics, and social science. The results of fundamental research supported by this division are expected to enable the creation of new technologies for optimizing warfighters' physical and cognitive performance capabilities, for protecting warfighters, and for creating new Army capabilities in the areas of biomaterials, energy, logistics, and intelligence.

The Division's research programs are currently focused on five research areas. The titles, scopes and points of contact for these programs, each of which address general aspects of basic research in life sciences, are listed below. A small number of symposia, conferences and workshops are also supported in part or in whole to provide an exchange of ideas in areas of Army interest.

8.1 Biochemistry. This Program emphasizes innovative high-risk basic research focused on understanding and controlling the activity and assembly of biomolecules. Scientific advances supported by this Program are anticipated to enable the development of novel systems, materials and processes that enhance Soldier protection and performance. An overarching goal of the Program is to provide the scientific foundations to support biological activity outside of the natural biological context, including integration of biological systems with synthetic systems. The *Biomolecular Specificity and Regulation* thrust is focused on elucidating the mechanisms by which biomolecules recognize and interact with their targets, as well as the regulatory mechanisms utilized to activate or inhibit biomolecular activity. This research thrust also includes novel engineered approaches to modulate and control biomolecular activity. The *Biomolecular Assembly and Organization* thrust is focused on understanding the molecular interactions and design rules that govern self-assembly of biomolecules into both naturally occurring biomolecular structures and designed architectures. This research thrust also includes novel approaches to control biomolecular assembly and approaches to utilize biomolecular architectures to organize biomolecular activity and/or achieve specialized properties.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Stephanie McElhinny
e-mail: stephanie.a.mcelhinny.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4240

8.2 Genetics. This Program supports basic research in genetics, molecular biology, genomics, epigenetics, and systems biology in areas that may enable the optimization of the Soldier's cognitive and physical performance capabilities, increase Soldier survivability, and improve Army capabilities in areas such as biomaterials, sensing, energy, and intelligence. This Program

emphasizes innovative high-risk fundamental research in areas such as identification and characterization of gene function, gene regulation, genetic interactions, gene pathways, gene expression patterns, epigenetics, mitochondrial regulation and biogenesis, and nuclear and mitochondrial DNA replication, mutagenesis, oxidative stress, and DNA repair. This Program is interested in identifying and understanding the molecular factors that affect human performance capabilities as well as human survival and protection under normal conditions and when affected by a variety of stressors likely to be encountered in battlefield situations, such as dehydration, heat, cold, sleep deprivation, fatigue, caloric insufficiency, pathogens, and physical and psychological stress. Also of interest are mechanisms of prokaryotic adaptation, biological components of social instability, and biological systems for sensing and detection.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Micheline Strand
e-mail: micheline.k.strand.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4343

8.3 Microbial Physiology and Engineering. This Program supports research in fundamental microbiology and the bioengineering of microbial systems that can help advance needs in Soldier protection and performance. There are two primary research thrusts within this program: (i) *Microbial Survival Mechanisms* and (ii) *Synthetic Bioengineering of Microbes*. The *Microbial Survival Mechanisms* thrust focuses on the study of the cellular and genetic mechanisms and responses that underlie microbial survival in the face of environmental stress. These stressors include extremes in temperature, pH, or salinity; the presence of antibiotics/antimicrobials and toxins including metals and toxic organic molecules; oxidative stress; and the depletion of specific nutrients. Research approaches can include fundamental studies of microbial physiology and metabolism, cell biology, molecular genetics that examine key cellular networks linked to survival, microbial cell membrane structure and the dissection of relevant critical signal transduction pathways and other sense-and-respond mechanisms; the dynamics of microbial-host interactions; and systems level, ecological and evolutionary approaches that examine the relationship and molecular communication within bacterial communities. The *Synthetic Bioengineering of Microbes* thrust supports the engineering of microorganisms toward biotechnological outputs and applications of interest to the Army. Engineered synthetic microbiological approaches are encouraged toward studies in protein engineering, electromicrobiology, the production of biofuels and commodity chemicals and the bioremediation of toxins. Additionally, of joint interest with the Biomathematics Program, are research efforts that advance our ability to work with complex biological data sets to increase understanding of microbiological systems, ranging from single-cell processes to multi-cellular interactions.

Technical Point of Contact: Robert Kokoska
e-mail: robert.j.kokoska2.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4342

8.4 Neurophysiology of Cognition. Research in the neurophysiological bases of perception, cognition and behavior, covering areas such as neuronal cellular/molecular physiology and biophysics, neuropsychology and integrative neurobiology is of interest. Specific examples can include physiological, neuro-psychological and/or cortical/cognitive mechanisms underlying successful completion of complex task behaviors; including amelioration of induced losses as well as enhancement in defined perceptual, cognitive and/or motor abilities in healthy humans. Investigations can span the gamut from single-neuron electrophysiology and multi-unit recordings through neuro-imaging technologies and computational neurobiology to define the relationship between structural and functional neural connectivity and humoral or psychological correlates of both central and peripheral nervous system function. Non-medically oriented

research in both human volunteers and animal models designed to elucidate the fundamental physiology underlying cognition during normal activity that is applicable to non-laboratory environments under non-ideal conditions is appropriate. Perceptual and/or psychophysiological implications of brain-machine interfaces ranging from optimizing auditory, visual and/or somatosensory display, and control systems based on physiological or psychological states through modeling of individual cognitive dynamics is also relevant to this research area.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Frederick Gregory
e-mail: frederick.d.gregory5.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4318

8.5 Social and Behavioral Science. The goal of this Program is to promote basic research on human and social behavior to discover the theoretical foundations of behavior at all levels, from individual actors to global societies. The goals of this Program include (i) developing reliable and valid measures of social and behavioral concepts, and (ii) discovering and testing causal theories that describe, explain, and predict behavioral responses to physical, environmental, psychological, or social stimuli. Research in this program can include a broad range of methodological and theoretical approaches including empirical studies that require primary data collection, such as random control trials, quasi-experiments, laboratory and field experiments, surveys, comparative and observational studies, or the use of secondary data sources, such as media archives or area reports. Formal computational approaches are encouraged to aid in the development of testable dynamic models of individual, group, and population-level phenomena. Of particular interest is research that examines (1) the interrelationships among human, social, natural, and physical systems and (2) multi-level system dynamics. Examples might include, but are not limited to (a) understanding how change in man-made and natural environments affects migration, urbanization, change in governance systems, collective action, deviance; (b) investigating the interrelationships between individual values, group identity, and interpersonal and intergroup cooperation and conflict; (c) studying how emerging technologies impact intra- and intergroup interaction and decision-making under risk and uncertainty; and (d) modeling the diffusion of values, beliefs, and influence across individuals, groups, and societies along with the physical and natural contexts that impact pathways of diffusion.

Technical Points of Contact: Dr. Lisa Troyer (contractor), e-mail: lisa.l.troyer.ctr@mail.mil, (919) 549-4230
Dr. Micheline Strand, e-mail: micheline.k.strand.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4343

i. RESEARCH AREA 9: MATERIALS SCIENCE

9.0 Materials Science. The Materials Science Division of the ARO seeks to realize unprecedented material properties by embracing long-term, high risk, high-payoff opportunities for the US Army with special emphasis on: Materials by Design, Mechanical Behavior of Materials, Physical Properties of Materials, Synthesis and Processing, and Earth Materials and Processes. Research supported by the division seeks to discover the fundamental relationships that link chemical composition, microstructure, and processing history with the resultant material properties and behavior. The work, although basic in nature, is focused on developing new materials, material processes, and properties that promise to significantly improve the performance, increase the reliability, or reduce the cost of future Army systems. It also endeavors to advance the understanding of geological materials and processes, to establish

opportunities from which to optimize the performance of future Army systems functioning within them. Fundamental research that lays the foundation for the design and manufacture of multi-component and complex materials is of particular interest. Foundational research that integrates novel experimental work with the development of new predictive materials theory is also of significant interest. Furthermore, there is lasting interest in new ideas and cross-disciplinary concepts in materials science that may have future applications for the Army.

9.1 Materials by Design. The Materials by Design program seeks to establish the experimental techniques and theoretical foundations needed to facilitate the hierarchical design and bottom-up assembly of multifunctional materials that will enable the implementation of advanced materials concepts including transformational optics, biomimetics and smart materials.

(i) Directed 3D Self-Assembly of Materials is aimed at enabling the directed 3D assembly of reconfigurable materials, and developing viable approaches to the design and synthesis of multi-component materials incorporating hierarchical constructs.

(ii) Functional Integration of Materials focuses on demonstrating the predictive design and integration of functional properties into complex multi-component systems, and developing analytical techniques for interrogating the evolution of the 3D structure and properties of material assemblies at the nanoscale.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. John Prater,
email: john.t.prater.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4259

9.2 Mechanical Behavior of Materials. The Mechanical Behavior of Materials program seeks to reveal underlying design principles and exploit emerging force-activated phenomena in a wide range of advanced materials to demonstrate unprecedented mechanical properties and complementary behaviors.

(i) Force-Activated Materials involves demonstration and characterization of robust mechanochemically adaptive materials based on force-activated molecules and force-activated reactions, tailoring the deformation and failure mechanisms in materials to mitigate the propagation of intense stress-waves and control energy dissipation, and the creation of a new class of adaptive structural materials that demonstrate “mechanical homeostasis.”

(ii) Mechanical Complements in Materials discovers superior ionic transport materials and transparent materials through a complementary, interdependent, optimization of mechanical properties, catalyzes a self-sustaining investigation of fiber precursors, tailored for lateral and axial interactions, to generate new paradigms for revolutionary structural fibers, and discovers and validates new atomic-scale strengthening mechanisms governing bulk mechanical behavior.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. David Stepp
email: david.m.stepp.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4329

9.3 Physical Properties of Materials. The Physical Properties of Materials program seeks to elucidate fundamental mechanisms responsible for achieving extraordinary electronic, photonic, magnetic and thermal properties in advanced materials to enable future Army relevant innovations.

(i) Free-standing 2D Materials focuses on the creation of novel free-standing 2D materials, heterostructures and hybrids with physical properties complementary or superior to graphene, and the invention of novel characterization techniques specific to 2D materials to

determine unprecedented properties.

(ii) Defect Science & Engineering explores the specific influence of defects (positive or negative) on the physical properties of novel functional materials, and elucidates defect control mechanisms during thin film growth and bulk processing of novel functional materials.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Pani Varanasi
email: chakrapani.v.varanasi.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4325

9.4 Synthesis and Processing of Materials. The Synthesis and Processing of Materials program seeks to discover and illuminate the governing processing-microstructure-property relationships for optimal creation of superior structural and bulk nanostructured materials.

(i) Stability of Nanostructured Materials focuses on the creation of thermally-stable, ultrahigh strength nanocrystalline materials through interfacial grain boundary engineering, and the realization of high strength, stable nanostructured alloys via pinning nano-precipitates and internal coherent boundaries.

(ii) Manufacturing Process Science supports discovery of the fundamental physical laws and phenomena of materials processes, and the exploitation of unique phenomena that occur under metastable and complex processing conditions for the creation of revolutionary materials.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Pani Varanasi
email: chakrapani.v.varanasi.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4325

j. RESEARCH AREA 10: NETWORK SCIENCE

10.0 Network Science. Work over the past ten years by researchers in various fields including Statistical Mechanics, Anthropology, Structural Biology, Distributed Systems, Theoretical Computer Science, Robotics and Control theory has shown that there is a lot of commonality in the structure of different networks – be it communication among a school of fish, pack of wolves, a group of jihadis, or an ad-hoc wireless network. The goal of the Network Sciences Division is to make use of this commonality, in a synergistic way, to address issues of importance to the Army. Networks of sensors, communication and computation nodes, and robots are pervasive throughout the Army and especially in Command, Control, Communications, Computing, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems. The Network Science Division identifies and addresses the Army's critical basic research problems in C4ISR where progress has been inhibited by a lack of novel concepts or fundamental knowledge. Research in this program has applications to a wide variety of developmental efforts and contributes to the solution of technology-related problems throughout the Army's Future Force operational goals.

The ARO supports and advances fundamental research and knowledge that focuses on the needs of the Army's effort to be net-centric. To accomplish this objective, the Division supports extramural basic research in the four areas of Communication and Human Networks, Intelligent Networks, Multi-Agent Network Control and Socio-Cognitive Networks. The boundary between these programs is fluid and, thus, a research topic might fall in more than one area. In fact, research that will elucidate and define the common underpinning science that cuts across different types of networks is of particular interest. In many cases, there will be a close relationship to the Mathematical and Computer Sciences programs in the directorate.

10.1 Communication and Human Networks. This program is concerned with the application of the emerging network science to wireless communication networks and human social networks. In both of these areas, DoD and particularly Army unique problems will be investigated. For communications networks, tactical multi-hop wireless communications which lack fixed infrastructure will be investigated. For social networks, problems such as understanding adversarial networks with limited data and the effects of the human network on military decision making are example topics of interest. Also of interest is the interaction of the communications network with primarily the social network but also the information network.

New and existing methods in the areas of information theory, game theory, and graph theory need to be applied to these problems in order to find unique solutions.

10.1.1 Wireless Network Theory. Research is required in the broad area of wireless network science including fundamental limits, performance characterization, novel architectures, and high fidelity simulation. Metrics, fundamental limits, and performance need to be characterized for multi-hop wireless networks with mobility, node loss, and bursty traffic. New simulation techniques are necessary to allow for very large simulations without losing the fidelity at the physical layer that is necessary for realistic results.

10.1.2 Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks. Networks serving Army needs must operate in highly dynamic environments with limited infrastructure support. Nodes in such networks often have only noisy local information and must operate in a decentralized fashion. New research approaches are needed to explicitly account for the lack of full network state information and the cost of coordination.

Adaptive networking techniques are needed for the dynamic allocation of resources based on operation needs, traffic characteristics, dynamic topologies, interference conditions, and security considerations. Networks need to be able to adapt to varied quality of information (QoI) requirements of various applications. Dynamic optimization and learning methods are needed to discover and capture communication and networking opportunities. Networking and sensing architectures for cognitive mobile ad hoc networks need to be developed with qualitative and quantitative performance measures. The impacts of mobility, fading, and multi-user interference need to be investigated.

Networking in combat operations needs to cope with the presence of passive or active adversaries of various types. New signal processing, information theory, and networking theory methodologies are needed to provide reliable and efficient communications in the presence of various adversarial actions. There is a need to characterize fundamental tradeoffs among various conflicting objectives: between secrecy/anonymity vs. rate of communications vs. resource consumption, the need for rapid response and the requirement of authentication and security.

Providing energy efficient sensor networking under different operating conditions presents difficult technical challenges. New techniques are needed for sensor fusion using Shannon and non-Shannon information theoretic metrics for bandwidth and energy efficiency. Distributed synchronization methods with limited information exchange for varying network topology and heterogeneous delay requirements are needed. Research should investigate fundamental performance bounds and characterize the tradeoffs between conflicting metrics.

10.1.3 Interactions Between Social and Communications Networks. The synergy among social networking and communication networking, particularly in a tactical mobile ad-hoc scenario, is a fertile research area that can provide significant advances for the design of new communication. Important questions include: How do human networks behave in the presence of constraints imposed by the communication network? How do we design communication networks to achieve a global mission among the human actors which may be evolving in time as more information gets revealed and circumstances change? Interaction between human and communications networks need to be analyzed, such as the interaction of QoS communications goals with the requirements of the human network in a tactical scenario. There are many social networking aspects that are common to mobile ad-hoc networking needs such as distributed decision making, robustness, cooperation, self-organization, cluster formation, search and exploration to name a few. Social Networking Analysis concepts have been recently used in routing and storing of information for Disruption (or Delay) Tolerant Networks (DTN), with some encouraging results.

10.1.4 Human Networks. Topology, dynamics, and information flow within human networks is of interest as well as interaction of communications and human networks. In particular, this program will leverage mathematical techniques utilized in network science to analyze other types of networks, such as network information theory, graph theory, game theory, data mining, and Markov chains, to analyze human networks.

Determining social network structure from heterogeneous data is of prime interest to this program. Data can be derived based on multiple forms of electronic contacts, such as email, phone calls, social networking sites, as well as face to face contacts. Complicating factors include very large networks, limited data per potential link, and distinguishing between important links and coincidental links.

An important question is to characterize how social networks facilitate such functions as aggregating information, propagating beliefs, imposing constraints, developing incentives, and conducting transactions. How do groups aggregate information over networks? How do information shocks get absorbed in the network or explode through the network? How can misinformation be contained? How does the network structure affect the efficiency of information propagation? Which networks are robust in aggregating information efficiently?

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Robert Ulman
e-mail: robert.j.ulman.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4330

10.2 Social and Cognitive Networks

The goal of the Social and Cognitive Networks program is to understand human cognition processes and behaviors leading to group level phenomena particularly relevant in military settings. Social networks are the underlying structure of interaction and exchanges between humans within both strategically designed and emergent or self-organized systems. Social networks allow for collective actions in which groups of people can communicate, collaborate, organize, mobilize, attack, and defend. The changing nature of DoD's missions greatly increase the need for models that capture the cognitive, organizational, and cultural factors that drive activities of co-present, virtual, or distributed groups, teams, and populations. Better understanding the human dimension of complexity will provide critical insights about emerging

phenomena, social diffusion and propagation, thresholds, and tipping points.

The Social and Cognitive Networks program supports projects that contribute substantive knowledge to theories about human behavior and interaction and make methodological advancements in modeling and analyzing social network structures. The US Army is particularly interested in research that uses defense-relevant empirical data to feed into computational and mathematical models of human interaction. As such, this program funds projects successful in blending theories and methods from the social sciences with rigorous computational methods from computer science and mathematical modeling.

10.2.1 Human Behavior and Interaction. This program supports research from disciplines such as communication, health and behavioral science, I/O and social psychology, library and information science, management science, and sociology that use a social networks lens to focus on the ways people think and interact whereby creating higher-order systems. Topics of interest include social influence, leadership, trust, team science, cooperation and competition, and crisis management. Social influence processes determine how ideological groups form and dissolve, information and beliefs spread and interact, and how populations reach consensus or contested states. Additionally, health topics related to education, healthcare behaviors, disease propagation, and wellness from a social networks perspective are of particular interest.

10.2.2 Information and Knowledge Management. This program supports social network centric research to study the ways people learn individually and collectively and how they utilize that information for decision making and goal attainment. Examples of relevant topics include transactive memories, public goods, collective action, information sharing, information fidelity, diffusion and propagation dynamics, and collective decision-making. Diffusion dynamics research will develop mechanistic understanding of opinion and behavior change associated with influence, contagion, and other social propagation processes. Collective decision-making research will contribute fundamental theories and models to predict, evaluate and simulate how teams organize, exchange information, build knowledge, influence, adapt, learn, and build consensus using cooperative strategies and emergent capabilities.

10.2.3 Social Network Analysis. In addition to the topical areas identified above, this program supports methodological advancements for social network analysis. Methodological research in this program will focus on important advances in exponential random graph models (ERGMs or p^*), object oriented agent-based models, computational models, and dynamic simulations that resolve network modeling issues. Such research will focus on scalability of networks, hierarchical or multilevel (nested) systems, longitudinal networks, social influence models, network resilience, techniques to deal with missing, incomplete, or inaccurate network data, and techniques to deal with visualizing multilevel multimodal networks. Scalability and dimensionality research will identify overarching mechanisms that span scales and dimensions of human systems that will parameterize, model, and predict both small group and big data network models. Data accuracy research focuses on investigating effects of measurement error on metrics and inferences due to incomplete (missing or inaccurate) network data. These projects could include research examining small group dynamics within big data sets; multi-level models that account for nested cognitive, social, cultural, physical dimensions of systems; or link and subgroup estimation algorithms to deal with incomplete data and clandestine activities.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Edward Palazzolo
e-mail: edward.t.palazzolo.civ@mail.mil, 919.549.4234

10.3 Intelligent Networks. The overall objective of the Intelligent Networks program is to augment human decision makers (both commanders and soldiers) with enhanced-embedded battlefield intelligence that will provide them with the necessary situational awareness, reconnaissance, and decision making tools to decisively defeat any future adversarial threats which is in line with the DoD's adoption of net-centric warfare, variously defined as flattening the information space to interconnect soldiers and commanders to provide instantaneous access to information, knowledge, and situational awareness. Given this goal, it becomes necessary to understand (a) fundamentals of what intelligence means in the context of autonomous systems, (b) how to build intelligent systems, and (c) what role intelligence plays in man-machine teams. The following thrusts, among others, are intended to address the goals of the Intelligent Networks program.

10.3.1 Integrated Intelligence and Theory of Mind. Intelligence emanates from several components acting in synergistic ways, be it human cognition that embodies cumulative effects of various separate components of the human brain or the collective intelligence of a network of agents (humans, birds, insects, etc.). Fundamental questions that need to be answered include: What is the least amount of knowledge necessary to boot-strap learning in autonomous systems (or in a network)? How can joint reasoning over various components be carried out (vision, knowledge representation, reasoning, planning, for instance) to obtain a sum that is more than its parts? Are there viable, computable theories of mind that can realistically implement reflection and meta-cognition?

10.3.2 Robust Reasoning Under Uncertainty. The ability to adapt or compensate, in reasoning, for the uncertainty inherent in real systems related to modeling error, sensing errors and noise, system failures, and changing dynamic environments, are important. Two further aspects of reasoning are reflection and transparency – the ability of autonomous systems and intelligent tools to explain the current state of the world, as they see it, and to be able to explain their internal state and their actions. The latter is especially important in systems that can learn and, thus, its behavior can change with time.

10.3.3 Adversarial Reasoning. Development of appropriate mathematical tools to model and reason about societies and cultures, that brings together tools from Game Theory, Social Sciences and Knowledge Representation. Research of interest include, but is not limited to, Game Theory for security applications while accounting for bounded rationality, development of Game Theory based on data regarding cultural and adversarial groups, and Behavioral Game Theory that can explain intelligence in groups and societies.

10.3.4 Collective Intelligence. Can wisdom of crowds be harnessed to solve problems of importance to societies and problems that are deemed computationally hard? In particular, can a man in the middle of a man-machine ensemble, or, more appropriately, can a crowd and machine ensemble solve inherently hard problems? Approaches to mechanism design that teases out intelligence inherent in a crowd (or society) are of interest in this thrust.

10.3.5 Distributed Graph Algorithms. Large graphs and voluminous data characterize problems in Network Science. Questions of interest include: are there scalable, distributed graph algorithms that can be used to represent and reason about graphs as large as the Internet? Is it possible to design approximate algorithms that have tight performance bounds and tight guarantees on efficacy of results? New approaches that would advance algorithmic approaches

to generalization of computational problems involving graphs, hyper-graphs, matroids, etc. are necessary to advance computational aspects of Network Science.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Purush Iyer,
e-mail: s.p.iyer.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4204

10.4 Multi-Agent Network Control. The objective of the Multi-Agent Network Control program is to establish the physical, mathematical and information processing foundations for the control of complex networks. The research program is concerned with developing novel mathematical abstractions and methods for the modeling and control of both individual agents as well as the collective behavior of large scale networks of heterogeneous multi-agent systems. In this regard, the term “agent” can span the biological, physical, and information and communication domain. Autonomy is central to program efforts as anticipated dynamics of the future battle space will require a greatly increased level of autonomy to enable the necessary mobility, sensor coverage, information flow, and responsiveness to support the military goals of information superiority, dominant maneuver, and precision engagement.

10.4.1 Control and Dynamical Systems Theory for Complex, Co-Evolving Networks. The high-dimensionality and complex, evolving topologies in complex networks requires new dynamical systems and control theory tools. There is a need for mathematically rigorous methods and physics of continuous and discrete high-dimensional dynamical systems, uncertainty propagation methods in spatiotemporally heterogeneous dynamical systems, models for rare cascading events and failures, and integration of realistic factors in the development of multi-agent control such as high-order, possibly non-minimum phase, unmodeled dynamics, noise, and delays. In large-scale networks of interdependent dynamical systems, temporal anisotropy, spatial non-locality, and multiscale processes precipitate local dynamics to global responses in a non-straightforward manner such that ergodicity may only apply at certain spatiotemporal scales and hierarchies. Of particular interest are methods and techniques from complexity science, dynamical systems theory, computational homology, topology, graph theory, and percolation theory to develop stability and control principles. Multiscale projection-operator methods and renormalization groups for novel reduced order modeling strategies for controlling complex dynamical systems is of major interest.

10.4.2 Information Structure, Causality, and Dynamics for Control. Understanding information processing within and for controlling complex and co-evolving networks requires new tools for causality and topological inference as well as unification with the mathematical foundations in the first programmatic thrust. Major programmatic interests center on formulating abstractions of cognitive processes and perception for fundamentally changing the way information is exploited to enable control and re-shaping the actions of multiple agents and complex networks. Further questions include: How does control as an objective effect communication and information processing in complex networks? What are the fundamental limits of causality? How do we infer causality and perform estimation in co-evolving complex networks.

10.4.3 Fundamental Physics of Co-Evolving, Complex Networks. Presently, there is a significant need to bring together statistical physics, nonlinear dynamics, and nonlinear control to establish a physics of large-scale far-from-equilibrium systems that does not exist today. Determining, understanding, and manipulating the mathematical structures, bifurcations phenomena, self-similarity, chaotic and fractional dynamics, etc., will lead to unprecedented

capabilities for controlling complex networks. New scientific understanding of feedback architectures are needed within hierarchically organized multi-agent systems and complex networks. Such systems may be either synthetic, naturally occurring, or some combination thereof. Further topics include, but are not limited to: mathematical abstractions related to distributed system theory; metrics for system complexity, information content, flow, structure, swarming phenomena, design of emergent behavior for heterogeneous multi-agent systems, and information processing and data fusion for decision- making and distributed multi-agent theory.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Samuel Stanton
e-mail: samuel.c.stanton2.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4225

k. RESEARCH AREA 11: ARO SPECIAL PROGRAMS

11.1. SHORT-TERM INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (STIR) PROGRAM.

The objectives of the STIR program are to provide rapid, short-term investigations to assess the merit of innovative new concepts in basic research.

11.1.1. Eligibility. Research proposals are sought from educational institutions, nonprofit organizations, and commercial organizations. Prospective offerors of a STIR proposal are encouraged to contact the appropriate ARO Technical Point of Contact (TPOC/ Program Manager) identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA, to ascertain the extent of interest in the specific research project.

11.1.2. Research Sought. Proposals in the amount of \$50,000 or less are sought for research in the areas identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA.

11.1.3. Proposal Preparation.

11.1.3.1. Organizations or institutions should submit proposals that are no more than twenty (20) pages in length, inclusive of the budget, transmittal letter, and attachments. No brochures or explanatory material should be submitted with the proposal.

11.1.3.2. Proposed research efforts must be "stand alone" and not predicated on the use of any facilities other than those under the direct control of the offeror. Research must be completed within nine (9) months of award of the agreement. **Extensions of the nine-month performance period will not be granted.**

11.1.3.3. The research proposal should follow the format set forth in Section II.D (Application and Submission Information) of this BAA. Limited rights in technical data and restricted rights in computer software should be identified as an attachment to the proposal. Otherwise, it will be assumed that the proposal does not contain any such limitations or restrictions

11.1.3.4. No capital equipment may be purchased. Travel costs must not exceed \$500. Report preparation costs must not exceed \$100. Fee is not permitted under STIR Program awards. Indirect costs will not be reimbursed under STIR Program awards. Any applicable indirect costs must be borne by the offeror.

11.1.3.5. The principal investigator(s) should disclose and explain the relevance of the proposal

to the research interests identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA.

11.1.3.6. A brief, final technical report is required. Please note that your award document will reference Form 18, "Reporting Instructions," as found at <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=29>. You shall use these reporting instructions for format instructions only; the due date for receipt of a final technical report is thirty (30) days from completion of the award.

11.2. YOUNG INVESTIGATOR PROGRAM (YIP).

YIP awards are one of the most prestigious honors bestowed by the Army on outstanding scientists beginning their independent careers. The objective of the YIP is to attract outstanding young university faculty Members to pursue fundamental research in areas relevant to the Army, to support their research in these areas, and to encourage their teaching and research careers. Young investigators meeting eligibility requirements may submit a YIP proposal. Outstanding YIP projects may be considered for a Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (PECASE).

11.2.1. Eligibility. This program is open to U.S. citizens, U.S. Nationals, and Permanent Resident Aliens holding tenure-track positions at U.S. universities and colleges, who have held their graduate degrees (Ph.D. or equivalent) for fewer than five years at the time of application. Faculty at an institution of higher education which does not designate any faculty appointments as "tenure track" are eligible if that is so indicated in the proposal, and the supporting letter from the university states that the faculty member submitting the proposal will be considered for a permanent appointment.

11.2.2. Research Sought. Proposals are encouraged for research in areas described earlier in the ARO Research Areas. Proposals may be submitted at any time. As is the case for all other research programs, discussions with the cognizant ARO Technical Point of Contact (TPOC/ Program Manager) identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA is strongly recommended before submission of a formal proposal. An award in each topic area is not guaranteed. YIP awards, not to exceed \$120,000 per year for three years, will be made based on evaluation factors discussed below.

11.2.3. Proposal Preparation.

11.2.3.1. An individual applying for a YIP award must submit a research proposal and a supporting letter, both through official university channels. Any resulting agreement will be made to the institution, not to the investigator. The research proposal should follow the format set forth in Section II.D (Application and Submission Information) of this BAA.

Technical Point of Contact: Contact the relevant Program Manager

11.2.3.2. The supporting letter must be from the applicant's Department Chairperson, Dean, or other official who speaks for the university, and should address support for and commitment to the applicant. Strong university support for the applicant is essential. This support can include the applicant's nine-month academic salary, release time from administrative responsibilities, the purchase of equipment, support for the applicant's graduate students, waiver of indirect costs, departmental cost sharing, start-up funding, and so on. It must be clear that the university views

the applicant as a truly outstanding, faculty member, and is making a long-term commitment to the application and the research.

11.2.4. Evaluation Factors. The evaluation factors to be used in determining which proposals are selected for funding are described in Section II.E (Application review information) of this BAA. In addition, proposals submitted for YIP funding will be evaluated based on a long-term commitment by the university to the applicant and the research. YIP proposals will be selected for award on a competitive basis after a peer scientific review.

11.2.5. Continued Support. Support under the YIP is limited to three years. Upon completion of the YIP, young investigators may apply and be considered for continued support in the areas identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA. Decisions about continued funding outside the context of the YIP will be made following a peer scientific review, a review of ARO's research priorities, and the creativity and productivity demonstrated during the previous research program.

11.3. Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (PECASE).

11.3.1. An applicant may not directly apply for a PECASE award. Instead, once a year, ARO technical program managers will nominate PECASE candidates from among all ARO YIP and other proposals and whitepapers (if any) received. The technical program manager will make the PECASE nomination based on strong endorsement of the YIP proposal by the external scientific reviewers and on the great potential shown by the applicant to contribute to science and to the mission of the Army.

11.3.2. Following nomination of a PECASE candidate, a supplemental PECASE proposal will be requested in which the candidate will indicate how PECASE funding would augment the YIP project. PECASE awards are not to exceed \$200,000 per year for five years. Supporting information including letters (non-federal government) of recommendation, detailed scientific biographical information, and a summary of past research accomplishments will be required in the PECASE proposal.

11.3.3. Complete PECASE proposal packages will be evaluated by external scientific reviewers. The proposals which demonstrate the greatest potential to contribute to science and to the mission of the Army will be rank ordered by an Army PECASE Evaluation Committee. The PECASE packages are evaluated based on (1) Publications, (2) Presentations, (3) Scientific Leadership, (4) Mission Relevance of the research, (5) Community Outreach, (6) Department Commitment & Recommendation Letters, and (7) Scientific Quality of the research.

11.3.4 Continued Support. Support under PECASE is limited to five years. Upon completion of the PECASE project, young investigators may apply and be considered for continued support in the areas identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA. Decisions about continued funding outside the context of PECASE will be made following a peer scientific review, a review of ARO's research priorities, and the creativity and productivity demonstrated during the previous research program.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Tom Doligalski,
email: thomas.l.doligalski.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4251.

11.4. RESEARCH INSTRUMENTATION (RI) PROGRAM.

Research instrumentation is designed to improve the capabilities of U.S. universities to conduct research and educate scientists and engineers in areas important to national defense. Of the funds available to support ARO mission research described in this BAA, funds may be provided to purchase instrumentation in support of this research or in the development of new research capabilities.

11.4.1. Eligibility and Areas of Interest. It is highly recommended that potential offerors contact the appropriate ARO Technical Point of Contact (TPOC/ Program Manager) identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA for advice and assistance before preparation of an instrumentation proposal.

11.4.2. Content of Request for Instrumentation. The request for instrumentation shall include:

11.4.2.1. A concise abstract (approximately 300 words) that describes the instrumentation requested and the research to be supported by that instrumentation.

11.4.2.2. A budget that addresses equipment to be purchased, cost per item, and total cost. Indicate the proposed source of the equipment and the name and telephone number of a contact at that source. The budget should indicate the amount of funds to be contributed by other sources toward the purchase of the instrumentation.

11.4.2.3. A description of how the proposed instrumentation will: (i) establish new research capabilities, (ii) contribute to research currently proposed to DOD, or (iii) enhance the quality of research currently being funded by ARO.

11.4.2.4. A description of how the proposed instrumentation will interface with or upgrade other research facilities and instrumentation now available.

11.4.2.5. A description of the amounts and sources of ongoing or proposed support for the research to be supported by the instrumentation.

Note: Costs associated with equipment/facility modifications are generally considered unallowable and require the review and approval of the Grants Officer.

11.5. DOD PROGRAMS.

11.5.1. Each year the Army Research Office, along with the Office of Naval Research (ONR) and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR), participates in two programs sponsored by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. These two programs, titled the Defense University Research Instrumentation Program (DURIP) and the Research and Educational Program (REP) for Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority-Serving Institutions (HBCU/MI) are conducted under separate BAAs that are posted yearly on the ARO web site. For the purpose of these two programs, the areas of interest for submitting proposals are limited to Research Areas as identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA. Offerors are reminded that these two BAAs have definitive closing dates for receipt of proposals (see each specific BAA for details). In addition, offerors must

review the specific BAAs for eligibility considerations.

Solicitations are available on the ARO website under “Funding Opportunities” as well as the Grants.gov website. These solicitations will have a definite closing date for proposal submission, and offerors are advised to review the solicitations for eligibility considerations.

11.5.2. DEFENSE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INSTRUMENTATION PROGRAM (DURIP). Research instrumentation is designed to improve the capabilities of U.S. universities to conduct research and educate scientists and engineers in areas important to national defense. Of the funds available to acquire research described earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA, funds may be used only to purchase instrumentation in support of this research or in the development of new instrumentation in support of these research areas.

11.5.2.1. Eligibility and Areas of Interest. To be eligible for an instrumentation award, it is highly recommended that potential offerors contact the appropriate ARO Technical Point of Contact (TPOC/ Program Manager) identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA for advice and assistance before preparation of an instrumentation proposal.

11.5.2.2 Content of Request for Instrumentation. The request for instrumentation shall include:

11.5.2.2.1. A concise abstract (approximately 300 words) that describes the instrumentation requested and the research to be supported by that instrumentation.

11.5.2.2.2 A budget that addresses equipment to be purchased, cost per item, and total cost. Indicate the proposed source of the equipment and the name and telephone number of a contact at that source. The budget should indicate the amount of funds to be contributed by other sources toward the purchase of the instrumentation.

11.5.2.2.3. A description of how the proposed instrumentation will: (i) establish new research capabilities, (ii) contribute to research currently proposed to DOD, or (iii) enhance the quality of research currently being funded by ARO.

11.5.2.2.4. A description of how the proposed instrumentation will interface with or upgrade other research facilities and instrumentation now available.

11.5.2.2.5. A description of the amounts and sources of ongoing or proposed support for the research to be supported by the instrumentation.

Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Tom Doligalski,
email: thomas.l.doligalski.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4251.

11.5.3. Research and Educational Program (REP) for Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority-Serving Institutions (HBCU/MI).

The Army Research Office periodically issues solicitations for proposals from HBCUs and MIs under the policy and guidance of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)). The program aims to (a) enhance HBCU/MI programs and capabilities in scientific and engineering disciplines critical to the national security functions of the DoD, (b) encourage greater HBCU/MI participation in DoD programs and activities, (c)

increase the number of graduates, including underrepresented minorities, in the fields of science, technology, engineering and/or mathematics (STEM), and (d) encourage research and educational collaborations.

Technical Point of Contact: Ms. Patricia Huff
Email: patricia.a.huff26.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4283

2. OTHER PROGRAMS

a. CONFERENCE AND SYMPOSIA GRANTS

1. Introduction. The Army supports conferences and symposia (as defined in the DOD Travel Regulations) in special areas of science that bring experts together to discuss recent research or educational findings or to expose other researchers or advanced graduate students to new research and educational techniques. The Army encourages the convening in the United States of major international conferences, symposia, and assemblies of international alliances.

2. Eligibility. Notwithstanding the Army's authority to provide grant support for such events, only non-commercial scientific, technical, or professional organization which qualify for tax exemption under the provision of 26 U.S.C. Sec. 501(c) (3) may receive a conference grant/symposia grant. Those who meet this requirement should also be aware that the Department of Defense (DOD) does not permit "co-sponsorship" (as defined in DoD 5500.07-R) absent additional high level staffing and approval. In other words, the conference grant support identified in this BAA is NOT DoD sponsorship or co-sponsorship since ARL/ARO is neither an organizer nor provider of any substantial logistical support for the conferences addressed in this section.

3. Conference Support. Conference support proposals should be submitted a minimum of six (6) months prior to the date of the conference.

4. Technical Proposal Preparation. The technical portion of a proposal for support of a conference or symposium should include:

- a. A one page or less summary indicating the objectives of the project.
- b. The topics to be covered.
- c. The location and probable date(s) and why the conference is considered appropriate at the time specified.
- d. An explanation of how the conference will relate to the research interests of the Army and how it will contribute to the enhancement and improvement of scientific, engineering, and/or educational in general and activities as outlined earlier in the ARO Research Areas.
- e. The name of chairperson(s)/principal investigator(s) and his/her biographical information.
- f. A list of proposed participants and the methods of announcement or invitation.
- g. A summary of how the results of the meeting will be disseminated.
- h. A signed cover page.

5. Cost Proposal Preparation. The cost portion of the proposal should show:

- a. Total project conference costs by major cost elements.

- b. Anticipated sources of conference income and amount from each.
 - c. Anticipated use of funds requested.
 - d. A signed budget.
6. Participant Support. Funds provided cannot be used for payment to any federal government employee for support, subsistence, or services in connection with the proposed conference or symposium.
7. Cognizant ARO Technical Point of Contact (TPOC/ Program Manager). It is highly recommended that potential offerors contact the appropriate ARO TPOC/ Program Manager identified earlier in the ARO Research Areas of this BAA for advice and assistance before preparation of a conference/symposia proposal.

**b. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND
MINORITY- SERVING INSTITUTIONS (HBCU/MIs)**

The Army has a long history of advocating and supporting research at HBCU/MIs. Through this solicitation, the Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and the Army Research Office (ARO) actively seek proposals from the HBCU/MI community in full and open competition with all offerors. Proposals may relate to any research topic described herein. In addition to single investigator research proposals, collaborative research proposals are also encouraged. Collaborations may be between HBCU/MIs and other institutions of higher education (not limited to HBCU/MIs) and/or industry partners.

The ARO encourages basic research proposals from HBCU/MIs in response to the ARO Research Areas of this BAA in full and open competition with all offerors. Included are special emphasis programs such as Short-Term Innovative Research (STIR) and the Young Investigator Program (YIP), described in detail elsewhere in this announcement.

Through this solicitation, the ARO encourages basic research proposals from the HBCU/MI community in full and open competition with all offerors. Proposals may relate to any research topic described herein. In addition to single investigator basic research, collaborative research proposals are also of interest. Collaborations may be between HBCU/MIs and other institutions of higher education (not limited to HBCU/MIs) and/or industry partners.

In addition to the ARO basic research program, there are other special funding opportunities available to HBCUs/MIs through ARO. Research and educational programs and activities for HBCUs/MIs are established in accordance with Section 252 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (enacted in 10 U.S.C. 2362) and the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2010. As noted above, the program is executed under policy and guidance of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)). Solicitations are issued annually and are available on the ARO website as well as through Grants.gov.

The program aims to (a) enhance HBCU/MI programs and capabilities in scientific and engineering disciplines critical to the national security functions of the DoD, (b) encourage greater participation by HBCU/MIs in DoD programs and activities, (c) increase the number of graduates, including underrepresented minorities, in the fields of science, technology, engineering and/or mathematics (STEM), and (d) encourage research and educational

collaborations.

In addition, ARO may periodically release special DoD solicitations that target specific groups such as Tribal Colleges and Universities (TCUs). The DoD solicitations may offer one or more of the following components: research; instrumentation enhancement (for research and/or educational purposes); scholarships; fellowships; collaborative research; and centers of excellence.

Point of Contact for the ARO and DoD HBCU/MI programs is Ms. Patricia Huff
Email: patricia.a.huff26.civ@mail.mil, (919) 549-4283

Eligibility. Eligible institutions include:

- a. institutions of higher education eligible for assistance under Title III or V of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.); or
- b. an accredited postsecondary minority serving institutions.

These definitions encompass a large and diverse group of institutions. Enrollments, accreditation, and other factors may affect an institution's eligibility in any given year. Therefore, each institution must determine its eligibility based on these criteria. A current copy of minority certification or Title III or V certificate and accreditation documents must be included with each proposal.

c. Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Army Educational Outreach Program (AEOP)

AEOP is comprised of Army-sponsored research, education, competitions, internships and practical experiences designed to engage and guide students and teachers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM). More information about AEOP is available at www.usaeop.com. With available funding, the Army seeks to expand the reach and scope of the AEOP by considering ideas from the field for innovative STEM education programs that will fit into the AEOP portfolio and strengthen its mission of impacting the Nation's STEM literacy. Program emphasis may include, but is not limited to, reaching underrepresented or disadvantaged populations, reaching areas of the country not currently served by AEOP, supporting teachers' efforts to engage students, engage K-6 grade students in STEM activities.

Programs can apply a wide range of approaches including virtual education/resources, hands-on activities, development of classroom resources, novel partnerships with industry or academia, to name a few. Proposals should have a defined program evaluation plan to measure efficacy and collect/report participation data. The program also supports the development of methodologies (e.g., data collection, statistical methods, and research designs) that have the potential to help advance the DoD impact in STEM education outreach and/or the development of initiatives that aim to increase human capital in the management of STEM.

Technical Point of Contact: Mr. Michael Caccuitto, (919) 549-4369, e-mail: michael.j.caccuitto.civ@mail.mil.

d. High School Apprenticeship Program (HSAP)/Undergraduate Research Apprenticeship Program (URAP)

The HSAP funds the STEM apprenticeship of promising high school juniors and seniors to work in a university structured research environment under the direction of ARO sponsored principal investigators (PIs) serving as mentors. The URAP provides similar opportunities for Undergraduate students. Awards will be made as add-ons to research grants, MURIs, UARCs, and cooperative agreements that have at least 12 months' period of performance remaining from the date of HSAP/URAP proposal submission.

HSAP/URAP program goals are to:

- Provide authentic science and engineering research experience to high school and undergraduate students
- Educate students about the Army's interest and investment in science and engineering research and the associated educational opportunities available to students through the Army Educational Outreach Program (AEOP) and Department of Defense Graduate Fellowships
- Provide participants with experience in developing and presenting scientific research
- Benefit from the expertise of a scientist or engineer as a mentor for professional and academic development purposes
- Develop students' skills and background to prepare them for competitive entry to science and engineering education programs and careers

Limited funding is available annually for Principal Investigators interested in participating in HSAP/URAP. PIs should submit a short proposal that clearly articulates the meaningful research that the apprentice will conduct along with the strategy for mentorship and facilitation of follow-on opportunities (e.g., university attendance, other research experiences, etc). Proposal should include provisions to pay HSAP/URAP students a stipend equivalent to approximately \$10 per hour; not to exceed 300 hours total. Proposal should generally be limited to two students per PI, except for UARC or MURI awards on which up to 6 students are allowed.

If more than one student is proposed, there must be a near equal mix of HSAP and URAP (i.e. proposals should not be for multiple URAP students only).

Evaluation Criteria: Proposals will be evaluated for both the scientific and educational merit of the proposed student research experience. PIs should communicate with the Technical Point of Contact below regarding expectations of educational merit.

Educational merit will be evaluated in the four areas:

- Student project definition – PI must determine what aspect(s) of the current program the student will be working on, desired deliverables, and anticipated research outcomes
- Mentor Identification – PIs should describe who within the lab will be responsible for day to day mentoring of the students (e.g. PI, research associate, graduate student etc.) If direct supervision of students will be someone other than the PI, the mentor's CV must be provided.
- Anticipated outcomes – Identify the gains for the student and the lab for HSAP and URAP participation in terms of technical skills, scientific reasoning in specific domains, or publication opportunities. Follow-on opportunities and relationships for students within the lab are encouraged.
- Specific qualifications/Areas of Interest – PIs should explain any specific skills, coursework, educational level (high school or undergraduate) and particular areas of

interest that would be required of a student candidate to work on the proposed project. If the lab or PI will recruit students without Army assistance the recruitment source, process and selection criteria must be identified. If the lab or PI will not facilitate the participant recruitment process ARO Youth Science will cooperate with the PI to recruit students.

Describing an Outreach Strategy: A primary objective of the HSAP and URAP programs is to expose new students to research opportunities in a research laboratory. Thus, PIs must describe a plan to attract and engage students not already affiliated with the PI, laboratory, or research project. The proposal should include a short description of the local outreach and student recruitment strategy to be used to make schools/students aware of the opportunity and identify/select student candidates. All student applications for the HSAP and URAP programs must be collected through the Army's Educational Outreach Program (AEOP) Application portal (www.usaeop.com). PIs must plan to conduct local outreach to promote awareness of the opportunity among students/schools, and then direct them to the AEOP website to apply. The PI will receive all of the applications and make the final decision about which students are invited to participate in the lab. Student participants are responsible to develop a brief (one-page) abstract of their work to be included in an AEOP program booklet. PIs shall review and approve these abstracts before submission to ARO.

Timeline: Consistent with the BAA, proposals are accepted on a rolling basis. However, PIs interested in receiving HSAP/URAP funding for the summer of 2015 should submit proposals by December 10, 2014 to provide sufficient time for proposal review, award processing, and student outreach/recruitment. For the summers of 2016 and beyond, proposals should be submitted by November 10 of the prior year (For example, to receive funding for use in summer 2016, proposals should be submitted by November 10, 2015) to improve the timing of student outreach and recruitment. Proposals should not exceed three pages in length and must be submitted through grants.gov utilizing solicitation no. W911NF-12-R-0011-03. Please include the title of the research project, the proposal number of the core grant, and the specific number of HSAP and/or URAP opportunities.

Technical Point of Contact: Mr. Michael Caccuitto, (919) 549-4369, e-mail: michael.j.caccuitto.civ@mail.mil OR Ms. ReShockie Smith, (919) 549-4339, e-mail: reshockie.r.smith.ctr@mail.mil.

B. Award Information

The ARL, to include ARO, have the authority to award a variety of instruments. The ARL/ARO reserves the right to use the type of instrument most appropriate for the effort proposed. Offerors should familiarize themselves with these instrument types and the applicable regulations before submitting a proposal. Following are brief descriptions of the possible award instruments.

1. Procurement Contract. A legal instrument, which, consistent with 31 U.S.C. 6303, reflects a relationship between the Federal Government and a State, a local government, or other recipient when the principal purpose of the instrument is to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the Federal Government.
2. Grant - A legal instrument that, consistent with 31 U.S.C. 6304, is used to enter into a relationship:

- a. The principal purpose of which is to transfer a thing of value to the recipient to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law or the United States, rather than to acquire property or services for the DOD's direct benefit or use.
 - b. In which substantial involvement is not expected between the DOD and the recipient when carrying out the activity contemplated by the grant.
 - c. No fee or profit is allowed.
3. Cooperative Agreement - A legal instrument which, consistent with 31 U.S.C. 6305, is used to enter into the same kind of relationship as a grant (see definition "grant"), except that substantial involvement is expected between the DOD and the recipient when carrying out the activity contemplated by the cooperative agreement. The term does not include "cooperative research and development agreements" as defined in 15 U.S.C. 3710a. No fee or profit is allowed.

Grants and cooperative agreements are governed by the following regulations:

- a. 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 200, "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards," as modified and supplemented by the Department of Defense's (DoD) interim implementation found at 2 CFR part 1103, "Interim Grants and Cooperative Agreements Implementation of Guidance in 2 CFR part 200" (79 FR 76047, December 19, 2014).

Note: Any inconsistencies in the requirements of an assistance award shall be resolved in the following order:

- Federal statutes
- Federal regulations
- 2 CFR part 200, as modified and supplemented by DoD's interim Implementation found in 2 CFR part 1103
 - Award-specific terms and conditions 3210.6-R

Copies of OMB regulations may be obtained from:

Executive Office of the President
 Publications Service
 New Executive Office Building
 725 17th Street, N.W., Room 2200
 Washington, DC 20503

Telephone: (202) 395-7332
 FAX Requests: (202) 395-9068
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/grants>

An electronic copy of the DODGARs may be found at:

<http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?rgn=div5;node=32%3A1.1.1.3.16>

4. Technology Investment Agreement (TIA) Assistance Transaction other than a Grant or Cooperative Agreement (see 32 CFR, Part 37). A legal instrument, consistent with 10 U.S.C. 2371, which may be used when the use of a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement is not

feasible or appropriate for basic, applied, and advanced research projects. The research covered under a TIA shall not be duplicative of research being conducted under an existing DOD program. To the maximum extent practicable, TIA's shall provide for a 50/50 cost share between the government and the offeror. An offeror's cost share may take the form of cash, independent research and development (IR&D), foregone intellectual property rights, equipment, or access to unique facilities, as well as others. Due to the extent of cost share, and the fact that an other transaction does not qualify as a "funding agreement" as defined at 37 CFR 401.2(a), the intellectual property provisions of a TIA can be negotiated to provide expanded protection to an offeror's intellectual property. No fee or profit is allowed on other transactions. Cost sharing & matching is not an evaluation factor used under this BAA . Exceptions to this may exist if the offeror is proposing the use of a TIA or an OTA as an award instrument..

5. Other Transaction for Prototype (OTA). A legal instrument, consistent with 10 U.S.C. 2371 (as supplemented by Section 845 of Public Law 104-201 and Section 804 of Public Law 104-201), which may be used when the use of a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement is not feasible or appropriate for prototype projects directly relevant to weapons or weapon systems proposed to be acquired or developed by the DOD. The effort covered under an other transaction for prototype shall not be duplicative of effort being conducted under an existing DOD program (please refer to the "Other Transactions" OT Guide for Prototype Projects at www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/Docs/otguide.doc). For Cost-Sharing requirements for these types of awards please see "C2.16. COST SHARING" found on page 30 at the web-link directly above.

Unsuccessful Proposal Disposition. Unless noted in an offeror's proposal to the contrary, unsuccessful proposals will be retained for six (6) months from declination and then properly destroyed.

C. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants:

Eligible applicants under this BAA include degree-granting universities, nonprofit organizations, or industrial concerns. There is no restriction on the place of performance included in the BAA. Proposals are encouraged from Historically Black Colleges and Universities (as determined by the Secretary of Education to meet requirements of Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. § 1061)) and from Minority Serving Institutions defined as institutions "whose enrollment of a single minority or a combination of minorities...exceeds 50 percent of the total enrollment." [20 U.S.C. § 1067q and 10 U.S.C. § 2362].

2. Cost Sharing or Matching:

There is no required cost sharing, matching, or cost participation to be eligible under this BAA and cost sharing & matching is not an evaluation factor used under this BAA . Exceptions to this may exist if the offeror is proposing the use of a TIA or an OTA as an award instrument.

3. Duns and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM):

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or Federal agency that is exempt from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the agency under 2 CFR 25.110(d)) is required to: (i) Be registered in the SAM prior to submitting its application; (ii) provide a valid DUNS number in its application; and (iii) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application or plan under consideration by an agency. An award will not be made to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements.

4. Other:

Foreign owned, controlled, or influenced firms are advised that security restrictions may apply that could preclude their participation in these efforts. Before preparing a proposal, such firms are requested to contact the ARL Security and Counterintelligence Branch (301) 394-2411 concerning their eligibility. Pursuant to the policy of FAR 35.017 and supplements, selected Federally Funded Research and Development Centers may propose under this BAA.

D. Application and Submission Information

1. Address to View Broad Agency Announcement

This BAA may be accessed from www.grants.gov, www.fbo.gov, and the ARL website <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=8>.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission

Section 1 - General Information:

Preliminary Inquires: The ARL and ARO receive several hundred research proposals annually. Because of financial constraints, we are able to provide support for only a limited number of the proposals received. We realize that the preparation of a research proposal often represents a substantial investment of time and effort by the offeror. Therefore, in an attempt to minimize this burden, we strongly encourage organizations and individuals interested in submitting research proposals to make preliminary inquiries as to the general need for the type of research effort contemplated, before expending extensive effort in preparing a detailed research proposal or submitting proprietary information. The TPOCs' names, telephone numbers, and e-mail addresses are listed immediately after each research area of interest and they should be contacted as appropriate prior to the submission of whitepapers or formal proposals.

Classified Submissions: Classified proposals are not expected. However, in an unusual circumstance where an offeror believes a proposal has the potential to be classified, contact the corresponding security office. For ARL (non-ARO) Core Competencies, contact (301) 394-1978, and for the ARO Research Areas, contact (919) 549-4356, prior to proposal submission.

Use of Color in Proposals: All proposals received shall be stored as electronic images. Electronic color images require a significantly larger amount of storage space than black-and-white images. As a result, offerors' use of color in proposals should be minimal and

used only when necessary for details. Do not use color if it is not necessary.

Post Employment Conflict of Interest: There are certain post employment restrictions on former federal officers and employees, including special government employees (Section 207 of Title 18, U.S.C.). If a prospective offeror believes a conflict of interest may exist, the situation should be discussed with Technical Point of Contact (TPOC) listed in the BAA for their area of scientific research who will then coordinate with appropriate ARO/ARL legal personnel prior to expending time and effort in preparing a proposal

Statement of Disclosure Preference: Please complete Form 52 or 52A stating your preference for release of information contained in your proposal. Copies of these forms are available at

<http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?Action=29&Page=218#baaforms>.

NOTE: Proposals may be handled for administrative purposes by support contractors. These support contractors are prohibited from competition on BAA proposals and are bound by appropriate non-disclosure requirements.

Equipment: Normally, title to equipment or other tangible property purchased with Government funds vests with nonprofit institutions of higher education or with nonprofit research organizations if vesting will facilitate scientific research performed for the Government. Commercial organizations are expected to possess the necessary plant and equipment to conduct the proposed research. Deviations may be made on a case-by-case basis to allow commercial organizations to purchase equipment but disposition instructions must be followed.

Section 2 – The Application Process

The application process is in three stages as follows:

Stage 1- Verify the accuracy of your Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) registration at the D&B website <http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform> before registering with the System for Award Management System (SAM) at <https://sam.gov>. Prospective offerors must be registered in SAM prior to award. The SAM obtains Legal Business Name, Doing Business Name (DBA), Physical Address, and Postal Code/ Zip+4 data fields from D&B: If corrections are required, registrants will not be able to enter/modify these fields in SAM; they will be pre-populated using D&B Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) record data. When D&B confirms the correction has been made, the registrant must then re-visit ccr.gov and click a “yes” D&B's changes. Only at this point will the D&B data be accepted into the SAM record. Allow two (2) business days for D&B to send the modified data to SAM.

Stage 2 - Prospective proposers are requested to submit whitepapers prior to the submission of a complete, more detailed proposal. The purpose of whitepapers is to minimize the labor and cost associated with the production of detailed proposals that have very little chance of being selected for funding. Based on assessment of the whitepapers, feedback will be provided to the proposers to encourage or discourage them to or from submitting full proposals. Whitepapers should present the effort in sufficient detail to allow evaluation of the concept's technical merit and its potential contributions of the effort to the Army mission. Due to Government budget uncertainties, no specific dollars have been reserved for awards under this BAA.

Stage 3 - Interested offerors are required to submit full proposals. All proposals submitted under the terms and conditions cited in this BAA will be reviewed regardless of the feedback on, or lack of, a whitepaper.

All proposals for Assistance Instruments must be submitted electronically through Grants.gov in a Portable Document Format (.PDF). Proposals for Contract may be submitted via either Grants.gov or email to: usarmy.rtp.aro.mbx.baa@mail.mil. Requests for waiver of electronic submission may be submitted via e-mail to: usarmy.rtp.aro.mbx.baa@mail.mil or regular mail:

Army Research Office
ATTN: RDRL-RO (Proposal Processing)
P.O. Box 12211
RTP, NC 27709-2211

All required forms for proposals may be downloaded from the ARO web site at <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=29> under "For the Researcher" (Forms, ARO BAA Forms).

Section 3: WhitePaper Preparation

1. Whitepapers should focus on describing details of the proposed research, including how it is innovative and how it could substantially increase the scientific state of the art. Army relevance, and potential impact should also be described.
2. Whitepapers are limited to five (5) pages plus the cover page and a one-page addendum as discussed below. Evaluators will be advised that they are only required to review the whitepaper cover page and up to five pages and the addendum.
3. Combine all files and forms into a single PDF before submitting.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION:

1. A detailed discussion of the effort's scientific research objective, approach, relationship to similar research, and level of effort shall be submitted. Also include the nature and extent of the anticipated results and, if known, the manner in which the work will contribute to the accomplishment of Army's mission and how this would be demonstrated.
2. The type of support, if any, that the offeror requests of the Government, such as facilities, equipment, demonstration sites, test ranges, software, personnel or materials, shall be identified as government furnished equipment (GFE), government furnished information (GFI), government furnished property (GFP), or government furnished data (GFD). equipment or facilities necessary to perform any simulations or exercises that would demonstrate the proposed capability.
3. As an addendum to the whitepaper, include biographical sketches (one page) of the key personnel who will perform the research, highlighting their qualifications and experience.
4. The cost portion of the whitepaper shall contain a brief cost estimate revealing all the component parts of the proposal, including research hours, burden, material costs, travel, etc.

RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS ON WHITEPAPERS:

1. Any proprietary data that the offeror intends to be used only by the Government for evaluation purchases must be identified. The offeror must also identify any technical data or computer software contained in the whitepaper that is to be treated by the Government as limited rights in technical data and restricted rights in computer software. In the absence of such identification, the Government will assume to no limitations or restrictions on technical data or computer software included in the whitepaper. Records or data bearing a restrictive legend may be included in the whitepaper. It is the intent of the Army to treat all whitepapers as privileged information before the award and to disclose their contents only for the purpose of evaluation.
2. The offerors are cautioned, however, that portions of the whitepapers may be subject to release under terms of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended.

EVALUATION AND DISPOSITION OF WHITEPAPERS:

1. Evaluation Process: Offerors are advised that invitations for complete proposals will be made based on the initial whitepaper submission and the availability of funding. As stated above, the whitepaper will be evaluated for the concept's scientific merit and potential contributions of the effort to the Army mission. Offerors whose whitepapers are evaluated as having significant scientific merit may be invited to submit a complete detailed proposal. Care must be exercised to ensure that classified, sensitive, critical technologies are not included. If such information is required, appropriate restrictive markings and procedures should be applied.
2. Disposition Process: After completion of the evaluation, the offeror will be notified in writing of the results.

Section 4: Whitepaper Submission

All Whitepapers must be submitted electronically and should be emailed directly to the Technical Point of Contact. Include the BAA number W911NF-12-R-0012-03 in the email subject line.

Whitepapers submitted via email must be in the following format but do not require any special forms:

- Single PDF formatted file as an email attachment
- Page Size: 8 ½ x 11 inches
- Margins – 1 inch
- Spacing – single
- Font – Times New Roman, 12 point

Section 5: Preparation of Complete Research Proposals

COVER PAGE ARO FORM 51 for Contract proposals submitted by email. The Form SF 424 (R&R) is for all proposals submitted through Grants.gov (Assistance Instruments must submit through Grants.gov):

1. A Cover Page is required. Proposals will not be processed without either: (1) a signed Cover Page, ARO Form 51, or (2) an SF 424 R & R Form. More information regarding the Cover Page can be found in Section 6.
2. Should the project be carried out at a branch campus or other component of the submitting organization, that branch campus or component should be identified in the space provided (Block 11 on the ARO Form 51 and Block 12 on the SF424 R&R).
3. The title of the proposed project should be brief, scientifically representative, intelligible to a scientifically literate reader, and suitable for use in the public domain.
4. The proposed duration for which support is requested should be consistent with the nature and complexity of the proposed activity. For Core Competencies listed at Section II, A, 1, offerors shall discuss the preferred performance period with the TPOC. For Research Areas listed at Section II, A, 2, the ARO normally awards research agreements for periods up to three (3) years (1 basic year of performance with two 1-year options). Nevertheless, the federal awarding agency reserves the right to make awards with shorter or longer periods of performance.
5. Specification of a desired starting date for the project is important and helpful however, requested effective dates cannot be guaranteed.
6. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 7701, as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 [Section 31001(I)(1), Public Law 104-134], federal agencies shall obtain each awardees' Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN). This number may be the Employer Identification Number for a business or non-profit entity or the Social Security Number for an individual. The TIN is being obtained for purposes of collecting and reporting on any delinquent amounts that may arise out of an awardees' relationship with the Government.
7. Offerors shall provide their organization's Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. The DUNS number is a nine-digit number assigned by Dun and Bradstreet Information Services.
8. Offerors shall provide their assigned Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code. The CAGE Code is a 5-character code assigned and maintained by the Defense Logistics Service Center (DLSC) to identify a commercial plant or establishment.

PROJECT ABSTRACT:

1. The Project Abstract shall include a concise statement of work and basic approaches to be used in the proposed effort. The abstract should include a statement of scientific objectives, methods to be employed, and the significance of the proposed effort to the advancement of knowledge.
2. The abstract should be no longer than one (1) page and be in a form that is releasable under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended. The abstract should indicate the effort intended for each 12-month period of research, where applicable.

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (PROJECT DESCRIPTION): The technical portion of the proposal shall contain the following:

1. A complete discussion stating the background and objectives of the proposed work, the scientific approaches to be considered, the relationship to competing or related research, and the level of effort to be employed. Include also the nature and extent of the anticipated results and how they will significantly advance the scientific state-of-the-art. Also, if known, include the manner in which the work will contribute to the accomplishment of the Army's mission. Ensure the proposal identifies any scientific uncertainties and describes specific approaches for the resolution of the uncertainties.
2. A brief description of your organization. If the offeror has extensive government contracting experience and has previously provided the information to the ARL/ARO, the information need not be provided again. A statement setting forth this condition should be made.
3. The names of other federal, state, local agencies, or other parties receiving the proposal and/or funding the proposed effort. If none, so state. Concurrent or later submission of the proposal to other organizations will not prejudice its review by the ARL/ARO if we are kept informed of the situation.
4. A statement regarding possible impact, if any, of the proposed effort on the environment considering as a minimum its effect upon water, atmosphere, natural resources, human resources, and any other values.
5. The offeror shall provide a statement regarding the use of Class I and Class II ozone-depleting substances. Ozone-depleting substances mean any substance designated as Class I by EPA, including but not limited to chlorofluorocarbons, halons, carbon tetrachloride, and methyl chloroform and any substance designated as Class II by EPA, including but not limited to hydrochlorofluorocarbons. See 40 C.F.R. Part 82 for detailed information. If Class I or II substances are to be utilized, a list shall be provided as part of the offeror's proposal. If none, so state.
6. The type of additional support, if any, requested (e.g., facilities, equipment, and materials).

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES:

1. This Section shall contain the biographical sketches for senior personnel only.

- a. Primary Principal Investigator: The “Primary” PI provides a single or initial point of communication between the sponsoring agency(s) and the awardee organization(s) about scientific matters. If not otherwise designated, the first PI listed will serve as the “Primary” PI. This individual can be changed with notification of the agency. The sponsoring agency(s) does not infer any additional scientific stature to this role among collaborating investigators.
- b. Co-Principal Investigators: The individual(s) a research organization designates as having an appropriate level of authority and responsibility for the proper conduct of the research and submission of required reports to the agency. When an organization designates more than one PI, it identifies them as individuals who share the authority and responsibility for leading and directing the research, intellectually and logistically. The sponsoring agency(s) does not infer any distinction among multiple PIs.

2. The following information is required:

- a. Relevant experience and employment history including a description of any prior Federal employment within one year preceding the date of proposal submission.
- b. List of up to five (5) publications most closely related to the proposed project and up to five (5) other significant publications, including those being printed. Patents, copyrights, or software systems developed may be substituted for publications.
- c. List of persons, other than those cited in the publications list, who have collaborated on a project or a book, article, report or paper within the last four (4) years. Include pending publications and submissions. Otherwise, state "None."
- d. Names of each investigator's own graduate or post graduate advisors and advisees. The information provided in "c" and "d" is used to help identify potential conflicts or bias in the selection of reviewers.

3. For the personnel categories of postdoctoral associates, other professionals, and students (research assistants), the proposal may include information on exceptional qualifications of these individuals that merit consideration in the evaluation of the proposal.

4. The biographical sketches are limited to three (3) pages per investigator and other individuals that merit consideration.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: A bibliography of pertinent literature is required. Citations must be complete (including full name of author(s), title, and location in the literature).

CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT:

1. All project support from whatever source must be listed. The list must include all projects requiring a portion of the principal investigator's and other senior personnel's time, even if they receive no salary support from the project(s).

2. The information should include, as a minimum: (i) the project/proposal title and brief description, (ii) the name and location of the organization or agency presently funding the work or requested to fund such work, (iii) the award amount or annual dollar volume of the effort, (iv) the period of performance, and (v) a breakdown of the time required of the principal investigator and/or other senior personnel.

FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, AND OTHER RESOURCES: The offeror should include in the proposal a listing of facilities, equipment, and other resources already available to perform the research proposed.

BUDGET PROPOSAL (including DD Form 1861):

1. Each proposal must contain a budget for each year of support requested and a cumulative budget for the full term of requested support. The budget form (Form 99) may be reproduced as needed. Locally produced versions may be used, but you may not make substitutions in prescribed budget categories nor alter or rearrange the cost categories as they appear on the form. The proposal may request funds under any of the categories listed so long as the item is considered necessary to perform the proposed work and is not precluded by applicable cost principles. In addition to the forms, the budget proposal should include no more than five (5) pages of budget justification for each year.
2. A signed summary budget page must be included. The documentation pages should be titled "Budget Explanation Page" and numbered chronologically starting with the budget form. The need for each item should be explained clearly.
3. All cost data must be current and complete. Costs proposed must conform to the following principles and procedures:

Educational Institutions: 2 CFR Part 220 (formerly OMB Circular A-21) Nonprofit Organizations: 2 CFR Part 230 (formerly OMB Circular A-122*) Commercial Organizations: FAR Part 31, DFARS Part 231, FAR Subsection 15.403-5, and DFARS Subsection 215.403-5.

*For those nonprofit organizations specifically exempt from the provisions of 2 CFR Part 230, FAR Part 31 and DFARS Part 231 shall apply.

4. Sample itemized budgets and the information they must include for a contract and for grants and cooperative agreements can be found at Section II. H. (Other Information). Before award it must be established that an approved accounting system and financial management system exist.

APPENDICES: Some situations require that special information and supporting documents be included in the proposal before funding can be approved. Such information and documentation should be included by appendix to the proposal.

Section 6: Submission of Complete Research Proposals

Proposals must be submitted through the offeror's organizational office having responsibility for Government business relations. All signatures must be that of an official authorized to commit the organization in business and financial affairs. 71

Proposals must be submitted electronically using one of the two following formats. The content will remain the same whether using email or Grants.gov.

EMAIL SUBMISSION (for Contracts only)

- a. Contracts may be E-mailed directly to usarmy.rtp.aro.mbx.baa@mail.mil. Do not email full proposals to the TPOC. All e-mailed proposals must contain the information outlined in Section II, D, 2 (Section 5), entitled "Table of Contents" including the electronic forms as follows: (1) ARO Form 51, Proposal Cover Page; (2) ARO Form 99, Summary Proposal Budget or equivalent, (3) ARO Current and Pending Support (unnumbered form), and (4) ARO Form 52 or ARO Form 52a. (5) Representation by Corporations Regarding conviction of a Felony Criminal Violation under any Federal or State Law and Representation by Corporations Regarding an Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability. These forms may be accessed at <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=218#forms> under BAA Forms. The fillable PDF forms may be saved to a working directory on a computer and opened and filled in using the latest compatible Adobe Reader software application found at this Grants.Gov hot-link: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support/technical-support/software/adobe-reader-compatibility.html>. **Note:** Representation by Corporations Regarding Conviction of a Felony Criminal Violation and Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability require POC information and signature of the authorized representative.
- b. All forms requiring signature must be completed, printed, signed, and scanned into a PDF document. All documents must be combined into a single PDF formatted file to be attached to the e-mail.
- c. Proposal documents (excluding required forms) must use the following format:
 - Page Size – 8 ½ x 11 inches
 - Margins – 1 inch
 - Spacing – single
 - Font – Times New Roman, 12 point

GRANTS.GOV SUBMISSION (For all Assistance Instruments. Contracts may be submitted via Grants.gov or via e-mail submission.)

1. Grants.gov Registration (See Section 7 below) must be accomplished prior to application through this process. Note- All web links referenced in this section and Section 7 are current as of 15 May 2012, but are subject to change by grants.gov and may not be updated here.
2. Specific forms are required for submission of a proposal. The forms are contained in the Application Package available through the Grants.gov application process. To access these materials, go to <http://www.grants.gov>, select "Apply for Grants," and then select "Download Application Package." A Grant Application Package and Application Instructions are available for download through the Grants.Gov Apply portal under CFDA Number 12.431/Funding Opportunity Number W911NF-12-R-0012-03. The following documents are mandatory: (1) Application for Federal Assistance (Research and Related) (SF 424 (R&R)), and (2) Attachments form.

- (a) The SF 424 (R&R) form is to be used as the cover page for all proposals.

Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) usernames and passwords serve as “electronic signatures” when your organization submits applications through Grants.gov. By using the SF 424 (R&R), proposers are providing the certification required by 32 CFR Part 28 regarding lobbying. The SF 424 (R&R) must be fully completed. Block 11, “Descriptive Title of Applicant’s Project,” **must reference the research topic area being addressed in the effort** by identifying the specific paragraph from Section II, A 1 (ARL) or Section II, A, 2 (ARO).

- (b) The Attachments form must contain the information outlined in Section II, D, 2 (Section 5), entitled “Table of Contents” of this BAA including the electronic forms as follows: (1) Research and Related Other Project Information; (2) ARO Form 99, Summary Proposal Budget; (3) ARO Current and Pending Support (unnumbered form), (4) Representation by Corporations Regarding conviction of a Felony Criminal Violation under any Federal or State Law and Representation by Corporations Regarding an Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability Items (1)-(3) forms may be accessed at <http://www.arl.army.mil/www/default.cfm?page=218#forms> Item (4) “Representation relating to Tax Liability and Felony Convictions” may be submitted on a word document and attached to available field within the attachments form. The fillable PDF forms may be saved to a working directory on a computer and opened and filled in using the latest compatible Adobe Reader software application found at this Grants.Gov hot-link: <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support/technical-support/software/adobe-reader-compatibility.html>. **Note:** Representation by Corporations Regarding Conviction of a Felony Criminal Violation and Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability require POC information and signature of the authorized representative.
- (c) All documents must be combined into separate and single PDF formatted files titled using the Table of Contents names listed in “Section 5: Preparation of complete Research Proposals”. Include “W911NF-12-R-0012-03” in title so the proposal will be distinguished from other BAA submissions and upload using the mandatory Attachments form.
- (d) The training demonstration at <http://www.grants.gov/documents/19/23905/GrantsGovApplicantGeneralOverview.pdf/a57fc764-d310-410d-a8c1-057986464745> will assist AORs in the application process. Remember that you must open and complete the Application for Federal Assistance (Research and Related) (SF 424 (R&R)) first, as this form will automatically populate data fields in other forms. If you encounter any problems, contact customer support at 1-800-518-4726 or at support@grants.gov. If you forget your user name or password, follow the instructions provided in the Credential Provider tutorial. Tutorials may be printed by right-clicking on the tutorial and selecting “Print”.
- (e) As it is possible for grants.gov to reject the proposal during this process, it is strongly recommended that proposals be uploaded at least two days before any established deadline in the BAA so that they will not be received late and be ineligible for award consideration. It is also recommended to start uploading proposals at least two days before the deadline to plan ahead for any potential technical and/or input problems involving the applicant’s own equipment.

Section 7: Grants.Gov Registration

Registration. Each organization that desires to submit applications via Grants.Gov must complete a one-time registration. There are several one-time actions your organization must complete in order to submit applications through Grants.gov (e.g., obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number, register with the System for Award Management (SAM), register with the credential provider, register with Grants.gov and obtain approval for an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) to submit applications on behalf of the organization). To registered please see

<http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html>

Please note the registration process for an Organization or an Individual can take between three to five business days or as long as four weeks if all steps are not completed in a timely manner.

Questions relating to the registration process, system requirements, how an application form works, or the submittal process should be directed to Grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or support@grants.gov.

3. Submission Dates and Times:

Whitepapers and Proposals:

Whitepapers and proposals will be considered through the methods noted previously until and including 31 March 2017 except for special programs identified in this BAA that may announce specific opening/closing dates.

4. Intergovernmental Review

Not Applicable

5. Funding Restrictions:

There are no specific funding restrictions associated with this BAA, e.g., direct, indirect costs, etc.

6. Other Submission Requirements:

Information To Be Requested From Successful Offerors- Offerors whose proposals are accepted for funding will be contacted before award to provide additional information required for award. The required information is normally limited to clarifying budget explanations, representations, certifications, and some technical aspects.

For Contracts Only- Performance Work Statements (PWS)- prior to award the Contracting Officer may request that the contractor submit a PWS for the effort to be performed, which will be incorporated into the contract at the time of award.

E. Application Review Information:

1. Criteria:

Proposals submitted in response to this BAA will be evaluated using the factors listed below (in descending order of importance):

- a. Potential of the proposal to achieve the stated objective of the research.
- b. Likelihood of the proposed method to develop new capabilities or enhance existing capabilities.
- c. Qualifications, capabilities, experience, and past research accomplishments of the investigator(s) and key personnel who are critical to achieving the objectives of the proposal.
- d. Realism and reasonableness of budget.
- e. Potential of the proposal to achieve the stated goals of the program and the extent to which the proposed effort would enhance related studies.
- f. Actual or potential relevance of the proposed research to any part of the mission of your activity.
- g. Overall potential of the proposed effort.

2. Review and Selection Process:

- a. Upon receipt of a proposal, the ARL/ARO staff will perform an initial review of its scientific merit and potential contribution to the Army mission and also determine if funds are expected to be available for the effort. Proposals not considered having sufficient scientific merit or relevance to the Army's needs or those in areas for which funds are not expected to be available may be declined without further review.
- b. Prior to award all proposals and contents are treated as proprietary information and are disclosed only for the purpose of evaluation. Proposals not declined as a result of an initial review will be subject to a peer review by highly qualified scientists. While the offeror may restrict the evaluation to scientists from within the Government, to do so may prevent review of the proposal by those most qualified in the field of research covered by the proposal. The offeror must indicate on the appropriate proposal form (Form 52 or 52A) any limitation to be placed on disclosure of information contained in the proposal.
- c. Each proposal will be evaluated based on all the evaluation criteria rather than against other proposals for research in the same general area.

3. Recipient Qualification

For CONTRACT Proposals:

The Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) will be checked prior to making an award. The web address is: <http://www.fapiis.gov/fapiis/index.jsp> The applicant representing the entity may comment in this system on any information about itself that a Federal Government Official entered. The information in FAPIIS will be used in making a judgment about the entity's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards that may affect the official's determination that the applicant is qualified to receive an award.

252.209-7998 Representation Regarding Conviction of a Felony Criminal Violation under any Federal or State Law.

See [Class Deviation 2012-O0007](#), Prohibition Against Contracting with Corporations that Have a Felony Conviction, dated March 9, 2012. Contracting officers shall include the provision at 252.209-7998 in all solicitations that will use funds made available by Division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, including solicitations for acquisition of commercial items under FAR part 12, and shall apply the restrictions included in the deviation. This deviation is effective beginning March 9, 2012, and remains in effect until incorporated in the FAR or DFARS or otherwise rescinded.

252.209-7999 Representation by Corporations Regarding an Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability or a Felony Conviction under any Federal Law.

See [Class Deviation 2012-O0004](#), Prohibition Against Contracting With Corporations That Have an Unpaid Delinquent Tax Liability or a Felony Conviction under Federal Law, dated January 23, 2012. Contracting officers shall include this provision in all solicitations that will use funds made available by Division A of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, including solicitations for acquisition of commercial items under FAR part 12, and shall apply the restrictions included in the deviation. This deviation is effective beginning January 23, 2012, and remains in effect until incorporated in the FAR or DFARS or otherwise rescinded.

(Revised May 28, 2014)

For Assistance awards recipients will be required to submit the following representation prior to award.

Representations under DoD Assistance Agreements: Appropriations Provisions on Tax Delinquency and Felony Convictions

The applicant is () is not () a "Corporation" meaning any entity, including any institution of higher education, other nonprofit organization, or for-profit entity that has filed articles of incorporation.

If the applicant is a "Corporation" please complete the following representations:

(1) The applicant represents that it is () is not () a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

(2) The applicant represents that it is () is not () is not a corporation that was convicted of a criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months.

NOTE: If an applicant responds in the affirmative to either of the above representations, the applicant is ineligible to receive an award unless the agency suspension and debarment official (SDO) has considered suspension or debarment and determined that further action is not required to protect the Government's interests. The applicant therefore should provide information about its tax liability or conviction to the agency's SDO as soon as it can do so, to facilitate completion of the required considerations before award decisions are made. Applicant's authorized representative must sign and date form.

F. Award Administration Information:

1. Award Notices:

Offerors whose proposals are recommended for award will be contacted by a Contract/Grant Specialist to discuss any additional information required for award. This may include representations and certifications, revised budgets or budget explanations, certificate of current cost or pricing data, subcontracting plan for small businesses, and other information as applicable to the proposed award. The anticipated award start date will be determined at this time. The appropriate award document, when signed by the Government Contracting/Grants Officer is the authorizing award document.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements:

a. Required Certifications

For CONTRACT Proposals:

Certifications Required for Contract Awards. Certifications and representations shall be completed by successful offerors prior to award. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Online Representations and Certifications are to be completed through SAM at website <https://www.SAM.gov>. Defense FAR Supplement and contract specific certification packages will be provided to the contractor for completion prior to award.

For GRANT and COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT Proposals:

Grant awards greater than \$100,000 require a certification of compliance with a national

policy mandate concerning lobbying. Statutes and Government-wide regulations require the certification to be submitted prior to award. The certification is set forth at Appendix A to 32 CFR 28 regarding lobbying. When submitting your grant through Grants.gov, by completing blocks 18 and 19 of the Standard Form 424 Research and Related (R&R) Form, the grant applicant is providing the certification on lobbying required by 32 CFR Part 28, otherwise a signed copy by the authorized representative must be provided. Below is the required certification:

1. CERTIFICATION AT APPENDIX A TO 32 CFR PART 28 REGARDING

LOBBYING: Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

b. Policy Requirements

- 1) Protection of Human Subjects. Assistance Instruments Only. All research involving human subjects must be conducted in accordance with 32 CFR 219, 10 U.S.C. 980, and DoDI 3216.02, and AR 70-25 as well as other applicable federal and state regulations. Contractors/grantees must be cognizant of and abide by the additional restrictions and

limitations imposed on the DoD regarding research involving human subjects, specifically as regards vulnerable populations (32 CFR 219 modifications to subparts B-D of 45 CFR 46), recruitment of military research subjects (32 CFR 219), and surrogate consent (10 U.S.C. 980). The regulations mandate that all DoD activities, components, and agencies protect the rights and welfare of human subjects of study in DoD-supported research, development, test and evaluation, and related activities hereafter referred to as “research”. The requirement to comply with the regulations applies to new starts and to continuing research.

Notwithstanding any other provision contained in an assistance award or incorporated by reference therein, the Recipient is expressly forbidden to use or subcontract for the use of human subjects in any manner whatsoever without the prior express written approval of the applicable protocol proposed for an award as required by AR 70-25 in addition to the institution's approval. Non-compliance with any part of this provision may result in withholding of funds and/or the termination of an award.

The Principal Investigators will notify the Army technical representatives within 24 hours if any of the following occur: (1) the protocol is suspended; (2) a death occurs as a consequence of the research protocol; or (3) a life-threatening, adverse event occurs as a consequence of the research protocol.

For Contracts, the appropriate clauses shall be added.

2) ANIMAL USE. Assistance Instruments Only. DODI 3216.01 and AR 40-33, provides policy and requirements for the use of animals in DOD-funded research. The DoD definition of animal is any live nonhuman vertebrate. All proposals that involve the use of animals must address compliance with DoDI 3216.01 and AR 40-33.

Provisions include rules on animal acquisition, transport, care, handling, and use in 9 CFR parts 1-4, Department of Agriculture rules implementing the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (7 U.S.C. 2131-2156), and guidelines in the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” (1996), including the Public Health Service Policy and Government Principles Regarding the Care and Use of Animals in Appendix D to the Guide.

Notwithstanding any other provision contained in this award or incorporated by reference therein, the Recipient is expressly forbidden to use or subcontract for the use of laboratory animals in any manner whatsoever without the prior express written approval of the applicable protocol proposed for this award as required by AR 40-33 in addition to the institution's approval. Non-compliance with this provision may result in withholding of funds and/or termination of the award.

The Principal Investigator will notify the Army technical representatives within 24 hours if any of the following occur: (1) the protocol is suspended; or (2) a life-threatening, adverse event occurs as a consequence of the research protocol.

For Contracts, the appropriate clauses shall be added.

3) **BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE SAFETY PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS:** For All Awards. Successful offerors whose Principal Investigators are conducting research with Bio-safety Levels 3 and 4 material must prepare a Facility Safety Plan in accordance with 32 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 626.18. See URL: www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_99/32cfr626_99.html for a copy of 32 CFR 626.18, Biological Defense Safety Program.

4) **MILITARY RECRUITING:** For Assistance Instruments Only. This is to notify potential offerors that each grant or cooperative agreement awarded under this announcement to an institution of higher education must include the following term and condition:

"As a condition for receipt of funds available to the Department of Defense (DOD) under this award, the recipient agrees that it is not an institution of higher education (as defined in 32 CFR part 216) that has a policy of denying, and that it is not an institution of higher education that effectively prevents, the Secretary of Defense from obtaining for military recruiting purposes: (A) entry to campuses or access to students on campuses or (B) access to directory information pertaining to students. If the recipient is determined, using the procedures in 32 CFR part 216, to be such an institution of higher education during the period of performance of this agreement, and therefore to be in breach of this clause, the Government will cease all payments of DOD funds under this agreement and all other DOD grants and cooperative agreements to the recipient, and it may suspend or terminate such grants and agreements unilaterally for material failure to comply with the terms and conditions of award."

If your institution has been identified under the procedures established by the Secretary of Defense to implement Section 558, then: (1) no funds available to DOD may be provided to your institution through any grant, including any existing grant, (2) as a matter of policy, this restriction also applies to any cooperative agreement, and (3) your institution is not eligible to receive a grant or cooperative agreement in response to this solicitation.

5) **MILITARY RECRUITING:** For Contracts Only. This is to notify potential offerors that each contract awarded under this announcement to an institution of higher education shall include the following clause: Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) clause 252.209-7005, Military Recruiting on Campus.

6) **SUBCONTRACTING:** For Contracts Only. This section is applicable to contracts where the dollar threshold is expected to exceed to \$650,000.00. Pursuant to Section 8(d) of the Small Business Act [15 U.S.C. 637(d)], it is the policy of the Government to enable small business concerns to be considered fairly as subcontractors under all research agreements awarded to prime contractors. The required elements of the Subcontracting Plan are set forth by FAR 52.219-9 and DFARS 252.219-7003. The offeror's plan shall depict the percentage values of the option requirements separately. The information in the SB Subcontracting Plan must properly correlate with that of the offeror's SB Participation Plan. The Government's subcontracting goals for Fiscal Year 2012 (FY12) are listed below, future year goals can be

found at:

<http://www.acq.osd.mil/osbp/gov/sbProgramGoals.shtml>.

Subcontracting Plan Goals. The offeror is requested to consider, when appropriate, the Government's subcontracting goals. The goals for FY12 are as follows:

- Small Business 35%
- Small Disadvantaged Business 5% [FY12 goal is 3%; aim for DFARS 219.705-4(d) compliance]
- Women-Owned Small Business 3%
- HUBZone Small Business: 3%
- Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business 3%
- Historically Black Colleges/Universities or Minority Serving Institutions 3%

3. Reporting:

Additional reports including number and types will be specified in the award document, but will include as a minimum monthly financial status reports. The reports shall be prepared and submitted in accordance with the procedures contained in the award document and mutually agreed upon before award. Reports and briefing material will also be required as appropriate to document progress in accomplishing program metrics. A final report that summarizes the project and tasks will be required at the conclusion of the performance period for the award.

ARMY MANPOWER CONTRACTOR REPORTING: For Contracts Only. The Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower & Reserve Affairs) operates and maintains a secure Army data collection site where the contractor will report ALL contractor manpower (including subcontractor manpower) required for performance of this contract. The contractor is required to completely fill in all the information in the format using the following web address: <https://cmra.army.mil/>. The required information includes: (1) Contracting Office, Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer's Technical Representative; (2) Contract number, including task and delivery order number; (3) Beginning and ending dates covered by reporting period; (4) Contractor name, address, phone number, e-mail address, identity of contractor employee entering data; (5) Estimated direct labor hours (including sub-contractors); (6) Estimated direct labor dollars paid this reporting period (including sub- contractors); (7) Total payments (including sub-contractors); (8) Predominate Federal Service Code (FSC) reflecting services provided by contractor (and separate predominant FSC for each sub-contractor if different); (9) Estimated data collection cost; (10) Organizational title associated with the Unit Identification Code (UIC) for the Army Requiring Activity (the Army Requiring Activity is responsible for providing the contractor with its UIC for the purposes of reporting this information); (11) Locations where contractor and sub-contractors perform the work (specified by zip code in the United States and nearest city, country, when in an overseas location, using standardized nomenclature provided on website); (12) Presence of deployment or contingency contract language; and (13) Number of contractor and sub-contractor employees deployed in theater this reporting period (by country). As part of its submission, the contractor will also provide the estimated total cost (if any) incurred to comply with this reporting requirement. Reporting period will be the period of performance not to exceed 12 months ending 30 September of each

government fiscal year and must be reported by 31 October of each calendar year. Contractors may use a direct XML data transfer to the database server or fill in the fields on the website. The XML direct transfer is a format for transferring files from a contractor's systems to the secure web site without the need for separate data entries for each required data element at the web site. The specific formats for the XML direct transfer may be downloaded from the web site.

G. Agency Contacts:

Questions of a technical nature are to be directed to:

The TPOCs for each area of interest are identified as part of the description of that area and may be contacted as appropriate.

Questions of a business nature are to be directed to:

usarmy.rtp.aro.mbx.baa@mail.mil

Comments or questions submitted should be concise and to the point, eliminating any unnecessary verbiage. In addition, the relevant part and paragraph of the Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) should be referenced.

H. Other Information:

Below are 2 separate outlines of the informational requirements for a sample cost proposal. H.1. is for a procurement contract and H.2 for grants, cooperative agreements and TIAs.

1. CONTRACT Proposals:

Cost Proposal – {No Page Limit} Cover sheet to include:

- (1) BAA number;
- (2) Technical area;
- (3) Lead Organization Submitting proposal;
- (4) Type of business, selected among the following categories: "LARGE BUSINESS", "SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS", "OTHER SMALL BUSINESS", "HBCU", "MI", "OTHER EDUCATIONAL", OR "OTHER NONPROFIT";
- (5) Contractor's reference number (if any);
- (6) Other team members (if applicable) and type of business for each;
- (7) Proposal title;
- (8) Technical point of contact to include: salutation, last name, first name, street address, city, state, zip code, telephone, fax (if available), electronic mail (if available);
- (9) Administrative point of contact to include: salutation, last name, first name, street address, city, state, zip code, telephone, fax (if available), and electronic mail (if available);
- (10) Award instrument requested: cost-plus-fixed-fee (CPFF), cost-contract—no fee, cost sharing contract – no fee, or other type of procurement contract (specify).
- (11) Place(s) and period(s) of performance;
- (12) Total proposed cost separated by basic award and option(s) (if any);

- (13) Name, address, and telephone number of the proposer's cognizant Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) administration office (if known);
- (14) Name, address, and telephone number of the proposer's cognizant Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) audit office (if known);
- (15) Date proposal was prepared;
- (16) DUNS number; (17) TIN number; and
- (18) Cage Code;
- (19) Subcontractor Information; and
- (20) Proposal validity period
- (21) Any Forward Pricing Rate Agreement, other such approved rate information, or such other documentation that may assist in expediting negotiations (if available).

I. Reasoning for Submitting a Strong Cost Proposal

The ultimate responsibility of the Contracting Officer is to ensure that all prices offered in a proposal are fair and reasonable before contract award [FAR 15.4]. To establish the reasonableness of the offered prices, the Contracting Officer may ask the offeror to provide various supporting documentation that assists in this determination. The offeror's ability to be responsive to the Contracting Officer's requests can expedite contract award. As specified in Section 808 of Public Law 105-261, an offeror who does not comply with a requirement to submit information for a contract or subcontract in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) of FAR 15.403-3 may be ineligible for award.

II. DCAA-Accepted Accounting System

- A) Before a contract can be awarded, the Contracting Officer must confirm that the offeror has a Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA)-accepted accounting system in place for accumulating and billing costs under Government contracts [FAR 53.209-1(f)]. If the offeror has DCAA correspondence, which documents the acceptance of their accounting system, this should be provided to the Contracting Officer (i.e. attached or referenced in the proposal). Otherwise, the Contracting Officer will submit an inquiry directly to the appropriate DCAA office and request a review of the offeror's accounting system.
- B) If an offeror does not have a DCAA-accepted accounting system in place, the DCAA review process can take several months depending upon the availability of the DCAA auditors and the offeror's internal processes. This will cause a delay in contract award.
- C) For more information about cost proposals and accounting standards, view the link titled "Information for Contractors" on the main menu on their website.

III. Field Pricing Assistance

During the pre-award cost audit process, the Contracting Officer will solicit support from DCAA to determine commerciality and price reasonableness of the proposal [FAR 15.404-2]. Any proprietary information or reports obtained from DCAA field audits will be appropriately identified and protected within the Government.

IV. Sample Cost Proposal – “Piece by Piece”

- A) To help guide offerors through the pre-award cost audit process, a sample cost proposal is detailed below. This sample also allows the offeror to see exactly what the Government is looking for; therefore, all cost and pricing back-up data can be provided to the Government in the first cost proposal submission. Review each cost element within the proposal, and take note of the types of documentation that the Contracting Officer will require from the offeror.
- B) Direct Labor: The first cost element included in the cost proposal is Direct Labor. The Department of Defense (DoD) requires each proposed employee to be listed by name and labor category.

Below is the Direct Labor as proposed by our sample

offeror: DIRECT LABOR: YEAR 1 YEAR
2

Employee Name	Labor Category	Direct Hourly Rate	Direct Hours	Total Direct Labor	Direct Hourly Rate	Direct Hours	Total Direct Labor
Andy Smith	Program Manager	\$55.00	720.00	\$39,600.00	\$56.65	720.00	\$40,788.00
Bryan Andrew	Senior Engineer	\$40.00	672.00	\$26,880.00	\$41.20	672.00	\$27,686.40
Cindy Thomas	Principal Engineer	\$50.00	512.00	\$25,600.00	\$51.50	512.00	\$26,368.00
David Porter	Entry Level Engineer	\$10.00	400.00	\$4,000.00	\$10.30	400.00	\$4,120.00
Edward Bean	Project Administrator	\$25.00	48.00	\$1,200.00	\$25.75	48.00	\$1,236.00

Subtotal Direct Labor (DL): \$97,280.00 \$100,198.40

- 1) For this cost element, the Contracting Officer requires the offeror to provide adequate documentation in order to determine that each labor rate for each employee/labor category is fair and reasonable. The documentation will need to explain how these labor rates were derived. For example, if the rates are DCAA-approved labor rates, provide the Contracting Officer with copies of the DCAA documents stating the approval. This is the most acceptable means of documentation

to determine the rates fair and reasonable. Other types of supporting documentation may include General Service Administration (GSA) contract price lists, actual payroll journals, or Salary.com research. If an employee listed in a cost proposal is not a current employee (maybe a new employee, or one contingent upon the award of this contract), a copy of the offer letter stating the hourly rate - signed and accepted by the employee - may be provided as adequate documentation. Sometimes the hourly rates listed in a proposal are derived through subjective processes, i.e., blending of multiple employees in one labor category, or averaged over the course of the year to include scheduled payroll increases, etc. These situations should be clearly documented for the Contracting Officer.

- 2) Another cost element in Direct Labor is labor escalation, or the increase in labor rates from Year 1 to Year 2. In the example above, the proposed labor escalation is 3% (ex., Andy Smith increased from \$55.00/hr in Year 1, by 3% to \$56.65/hr in Year 2). Often times, an offeror may not propose escalation on labor rates during a 24-month period. Whatever the proposed escalation rate is, please be prepared to explain why it is fair and reasonable [ex., A sufficient explanation for our sample escalation rate would be the Government's General Schedule Increase and Locality Pay for the same time period (name FY) in the same location (name location) was published as 3.5%, therefore a 3% increase is fair and reasonable].

C) Other Direct Costs (ODCs): This section of the cost proposal includes all other directly related costs required in support of the effort i.e., materials, subcontractors, consultants, travel, etc. Any cost element that includes various items will need to be detailed in a cost breakdown to the Contracting Officer.

- 1) Direct Material Costs: This subsection of the cost proposal will include any special tooling, test equipment, and material costs necessary to perform the project. Items included in this section will be carefully reviewed relative to need and appropriateness for the work proposed, and must, in the opinion of the Contracting Officer, be advantageous to the Government and directly related to the specific topic.
 - a) The Contracting Officer will require adequate documentation from the offeror to determine the cost reasonableness for each material cost proposed. The following methods are ways in which the Contracting Officer can determine this [FAR 15.403-1].
 - i) Adequate Price Competition. A price is based on adequate price competition when the offeror solicits and receives quotes from two or more responsible vendors for the same or similar items or services. Based on these quotes, the offeror selects the vendor who represents the best value to the Government. The offeror will be required to provide copies of all vendor quotes received to the Contracting Officer.

Note: Price competition is not required for items at or below the simplified acquisition threshold (\$3,000) [FAR 15.403-1]. If an item's

unit cost is less than or equal to \$3,000, price competition is not necessary. However, if an item's total cost over the period of performance (unit cost * quantity is higher than \$3,000, two or more quotes must be obtained by the offeror.

ii) Commercial Prices. Commercial prices are those published on current price lists, catalogs, or market prices. This includes vendors who have prices published on a GSA-schedule contract. The offeror will be required to provide copies of such price lists to the Contracting Officer.

iii) Prices set by law or regulation. If a price is mandated by the Government (i.e. pronouncements in the form of periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, or embodied in the laws) that is sufficient to set a price.

b) Below is the list of Direct Material costs included in our sample proposal:

(i)

DIRECT MATERIAL COSTS:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2
Raw Materials	\$35,000.00	\$12,000.00
Computer for experiments	\$4,215.00	\$0.00
Cable (item #12-3657, 300 ft)	\$1,275.00	\$0.00
Software	\$1,825.00	\$1,825.00
Subtotal Direct Materials Costs (DM):	\$42,315.00	\$13,825.00

ii) "Raw Materials": This is a generic label used to group many material items into one cost item within the proposal. The Contracts Officer will require a detailed breakout of all the items that make up this cost. For each separate item over \$3,000 (total for Year 1 + Year 2), the offeror must be able to provide either competitive quotes received, or show that published pricing was used.

iii) "Computer for experiments": Again, this item is most likely a grouping of several components that make up one system. The Contracts Officer will require a detailed breakout of all the items that make up this cost. For each separate item over \$3,000 (total for Year 1 + Year 2), the offeror must be able to provide either competitive quotes received, or show that published pricing was used.

iv) "Cable": Since this item is under the simplified acquisition threshold of \$3,000, competitive quotes or published pricing are not required. Simply provide documentation to show the Contracting Officer where this price came from.

v) "Software": This cost item could include either one software product, or multiple products. If this includes a price for multiple items, please provide the detailed cost breakdown.

Note: The price for Year 1 (\$1,825) is below the simplified acquisition threshold; however, in total (Year 1 + Year 2) the price is over \$3,000, so competitive quotes or published pricing documentation must be provided.

c) Due to the specialized types of products and services necessary to perform these projects, it may not always be possible to obtain competitive quotes from more than one reliable source. Each cost element over the simplified acquisition threshold (\$3,000) must be substantiated. There is always an explanation for HOW the cost of an item was derived; show us how you came up with that price!

d) When it is not possible for an offeror to obtain a vendor price through competitive quotes or published price lists, a Contracting Officer may accept other methods to determine cost reasonableness. Below are some examples of other documentation, which the Contracting Officer may accept to substantiate costs:

- i) Evidence that a vendor/supplier charged another offeror a similar price for similar services. Has the vendor charged someone else for the same product? (Two (2) to three (3) invoices from that vendor to different customers may be used as evidence.)
- ii) Previous contract prices. Has the offeror charged the Government a similar price under another Government contract for similar services? If the Government has already paid a certain price for services, then that price may already be considered fair and reasonable. (Provide the contract number, and billing rates for reference.)
- iii) DCAA approved. Has DCAA already accepted or verified specific cost items included in your proposal? (Provide a copy of DCAA correspondence that addressed these costs.)

2) Below is the remaining ODC portion of our proposal including equipment, subcontractors, consultants, and travel. Assume in this scenario that competitive quotes or catalog prices were not available for these items:

OTHER DIRECT COSTS:	YEAR 1	YEAR 2
Equipment Rental for Analysis	\$5,500.00	\$5,600.00
Subcontractor - Lockheed	\$25,000.00	\$0.00
Consultant: John Bowers	\$0.00	\$12,000.00
Travel	\$1,250.00	\$1,250.00
Subtotal Other Direct Costs		
(ODC):	\$31,750.00	\$18,850.00

a) "Equipment Rental for Analysis": The offeror explains that the Year 1 cost of \$5,500 is based upon 250 hours of equipment rental at an hourly rate of \$22.00/hr. One (1) invoice from the vendor charging another vendor the same price for the same service is provided to the Contracting Officer as evidence. Since this cost is over the simplified acquisition threshold, further

documentation to determine cost reasonableness is required. The offeror is able to furnish another invoice charging a second vendor the same price for the same service.

- b) “Subcontractor – Widget, Inc.”: The offeror provides a copy of the subcontractor quote to the Contracting Officer in support of the \$25,000 cost. This subcontractor quote must include sufficient detailed information (equivalent to the data included in the prime’s proposal to the Government), so that the Contracting Officer can make a determination of cost reasonableness.
- i) As stated in Section 3.5(c)(6) of the DoD Cost Proposal guidance, “All subcontractor costs and consultant costs must be detailed at the same level as prime contractor costs in regards to labor, travel, equipment, etc. Provide detailed substantiation of subcontractor costs in your cost proposal.”
 - ii) In accordance with FAR 15.404-3, “the Contracting Officer is responsible for the determination of price reasonableness for the prime contract, including subcontracting costs”. This means that the subcontractor’s quote/proposal may be subject to the same scrutiny by the Contracting Officer as the cost proposal submitted by the prime. The Contracting Officer will need to determine whether the subcontractor has an accepted purchasing system in place and/or conduct appropriate cost or price analyses to establish the reasonableness of proposed subcontract prices. Due to the proprietary nature of cost data, the Subcontractor may choose to submit their pricing information directly to the Contracting Officer and not through the prime. This is understood and encouraged.
 - iii) When a subcontractor is selected to provide support under the prime contract due to their specialized experience, the Contracting Officer may request sole source justification from the offeror.
- c) “Consultant – John Bowers”: Again, the offeror shall provide a copy of the consultant’s quote to the Contracting Officer as evidence. In this example, the consultant will be charging an hourly rate of \$125 an hour for 96 hours of support. The offeror indicates to the Contracting Officer that this particular consultant was used on a previous contract with the Government (provide contract number), and will be charging the same rate. A copy of the consultant’s invoice to the offeror under the prior contract is available as supporting evidence. Since the Government has paid this price for the same services in the past, determination has already been made that the price is fair.
- d) “Travel”: The Contracting Officer will require a detailed cost breakdown for travel expenses to determine whether the total cost is reasonable based on Government per diem and mileage rates. This breakdown shall include the number of trips, the destinations, and the number of travelers. It will also need to include the estimated airfare per round trip, estimated car rental, lodging rate per trip, tax on lodging, and per diem rate per trip. The lodging and per diem rates must coincide with the Joint Travel Regulations. Please see the following website to determine the appropriate lodging and per diem rates: <http://perdiem.hqda.pentagon.mil/perdiem/conus2009.txt>. Additionally, the offeror must

provide why the airfare is fair and reasonable as well. Sufficient back up for both airfare and car rental would include print outs of online research at the various travel search engines (Expedia, Travelocity, etc.) documenting the prices for airfare and car rentals thus proving why your chosen rate is fair and reasonable.

(i) Below is a sample of the travel portion:

TRAVEL		Trips	Travelers	Nights	Days	Unit Cost	Total Travel
Airfare	per roundtrip	1	1			\$996.00	\$996.00
Lodging	per day	1	1	1		\$75.00	\$75.00
Tax on Lodging (12%)	per day	1	1	1		\$9.00	\$9.00
Per Diem	per day	1	1		2	\$44.00	\$88.00
Automobile Rental	per day	1	1		2	\$41.00	\$82.00
Subtotal Travel							\$1,250.00

D) Indirect Rates: Indirect rates include elements such as Fringe Benefits, General & Administrative (G&A), Overhead, and Material Handling costs. The offeror shall indicate in the cost proposal both the indirect rates (as a percentage) as well as how those rates are allocated to the costs in the proposal.

Below is the Indirect portion of our sample proposal:

INDIRECTS	YEAR 1	YEAR 2
Subtotal Direct Labor (DL):	\$97,280.00	\$100,198.40
Fringe Benefits, if not included in Overhead, rate (15.0000 %) X DL =	\$14,592.00	\$15,029.76
Labor Overhead (rate 45.0000 %) X (DL + Fringe) =	\$50,342.40	\$51,852.67
Total Direct Labor (TDL):	\$162,214.40	\$167,080.83

- 1) In this example, the offeror includes a Fringe Benefit rate of 15.00% that it allocated to the Direct Labor costs. They also propose a Labor Overhead rate of 45.00% that is allocated to the Direct Labor costs plus the Fringe Benefits.
- 2) All indirect rates and the allocation methods of those rates must be verified by the Contracting Officer. In most cases, DCAA documentation supporting the indirect rates and allocation methods can be obtained through a DCAA field audit or

proposal review. Many offerors have already completed such reviews and have this documentation readily available. If an offeror is unable to participate in a DCAA review to substantiate indirect rates, the Contracting Officer may request other accounting data from the offeror to make a determination.

- E.) Cost of Money (COM): If Cost of Money (an imputed cost that is not a form of interest on borrowings (see FAR 31.205-20); an “incurred cost” for cost-reimbursement purposes under applicable cost-reimbursement contracts and for progress payment purposes under fixed-price contracts; and refers to— (1) Facilities capital cost of money (48 CFR 9904.414); and (2) Cost of money as an element of the cost of capital assets under construction (48 CFR 9904.417)) is proposed in accordance with FAR 31.205-10, a DD Form 1861 is required to be completed and submitted with the contractor’s proposal.
- F.) Fee/Profit: The proposed fee percentage will be analyzed in accordance with DFARS 215.404, the Weighted Guidelines Method.
- G.) Subcontracting Plan: If the total amount of the proposal exceeds \$650,000 and the offeror is a large business or an institute of higher education (other than HBCU/MI) and the resultant award is a contract, the offeror shall be prepared to submit a subcontracting plan for small business and small disadvantaged business concerns. A mutually agreeable plan will be included in and made a part of the contract (see the goals listed at Section II, F, 2, b).

2. GRANT and COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT Proposals:

Before award it must be established that an approved accounting system and financial management system exist.

- A.) Direct Labor: Show the current and projected salary amounts in terms of man-hours, man- months, or annual salary to be charged by the principal investigator(s), faculty, research associates, postdoctoral associates, graduate and undergraduate students, secretarial, clerical, and other technical personnel either by personnel or position. State the number of man-hours used to calculate a man-month or man-year. For proposals from universities, research during the academic term is deemed part of regular academic duties, not an extra function for which additional compensation or compensation at a higher rate is warranted. Consequently, academic term salaries shall not be augmented either in rate or in total amount for research performed during the academic term. Rates of compensation for research conducted during non-academic (summer) terms shall not exceed the rate for the academic terms. When part or all of a person's services are to be charged as project costs, it is expected that the person will be relieved of an equal part or all of his or her regular teaching or other obligations. For each person or position, provide the following information:

- (1) The basis for the direct labor hours or percentage of effort (e.g., historical hours or estimates).

- (2) The basis for the direct labor rates or salaries. Labor costs should be predicted upon current labor rates or salaries. These rates may be adjusted upward for forecast salary or wage cost-of-living increases that will occur during the agreement period. The cost proposal should separately identify the rationale applied to base salary/wage for cost-of-living adjustments and merit increases. Each must be fully explained.
- (3) The portion of time to be devoted to the proposed research, divided between academic and non-academic (summer) terms, when applicable.
- (4) The total annual salary charged to the research project.
- (5) Any details that may affect the salary during the project, such as plans for leave and/or remuneration while on leave.

B.) Fringe Benefits and Indirect Costs (Overhead, General and Administrative, and Other): The most recent rates, dates of negotiation, the base(s) and periods to which the rates apply must be disclosed and a statement included identifying whether the proposed rates are provisional or fixed. If the rates have been negotiated by a Government agency, state when and by which agency. A copy of the negotiation memorandum should be provided. If negotiated forecast rates do not exist, offerors must provide sufficient detail to enable a determination to be made that the costs included in the forecast rate are allocable according to applicable OMB Circulars or FAR/DFARS provisions. Offerors' disclosure should be sufficient to permit a full understanding of the content of the rate(s) and how it was established. As a minimum, the submission should identify:

- (1) All individual cost elements included in the forecast rate(s);
- (2) Bases used to prorate indirect expenses to cost pools, if any;
- (3) How the rate(s) was calculated;
- (4) Distribution basis of the developed rate(s);
- (5) Bases on which the overhead rate is calculated, such as "salaries and wages" or "total costs," and
- (6) The period of the offeror's fiscal year.

C.) Permanent Equipment: If facilities or equipment are required, a justification why this property should be furnished by the Government must be submitted. State the organization's inability or unwillingness to furnish the facilities or equipment. Offerors must provide an itemized list of permanent equipment showing the cost for each item. Permanent equipment is any article or tangible nonexpendable property having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more per unit. The basis for the cost of each item of permanent equipment included in the budget must be disclosed, such as:

- (1) Vendor Quote: Show name of vendor, number of quotes received and justification, if intended award is to other than lowest bidder.
 - (2) Historical Cost: Identify vendor, date of purchase, and whether or not cost represents lowest bid. Include reason(s) for not soliciting current quotes.
 - (3) Engineering Estimate: Include rationale for quote and reason for not soliciting current quotes. If applicable, the following additional information shall be disclosed in the offeror's cost proposal:
 - (4) Special test equipment to be fabricated by the awardee for specific research purposes and its cost.
 - (5) Standard equipment to be acquired and modified to meet specific requirements, including acquisition and modification costs, listed separately.
 - (6) Existing equipment to be modified to meet specific research requirements, including modification costs. Do not include equipment the organization will purchase with its funds if the equipment will be capitalized for Federal income tax purposes. Proposed permanent equipment purchases during the final year of an award shall be limited and fully justified.
 - (7) Grants and cooperative agreements may convey title to an institution for equipment purchased with project funds. At the discretion of the contracting/grants officer, the agreement may provide for retention of the title by the Government or may impose conditions governing the equipment conveyed to the organization per the governing laws and regulations.
- D.) Travel: Forecasts of travel expenditures (domestic and foreign) that identify the destination and the various cost elements (airfare, mileage, per diem rates, etc.) must be submitted. The costs should be in sufficient detail to determine the reasonableness of such costs. Allowance for air travel normally will not exceed the cost of round-trip, economy air accommodations. Specify the type of travel and its relationship to the research project. Requests for domestic travel must not exceed \$3,000 per year per principal investigator. Separate, prior approval by the ARL is required for all foreign travel (i.e., travel outside the continental U.S., its possessions and Canada). Foreign travel requests must not exceed \$1,800 each per year per principal investigator. Special justification will be required for travel requests in excess of the amounts stated above and for travel by individuals other than the principal investigator(s). Individuals other than the principal investigator(s) are considered postdoctoral associates, research associates, graduate and undergraduate students, secretarial, clerical, and other technical personnel. Additional travel may be requested for travel to Army laboratories and facilities to enhance agreement objectives and to achieve technology transfer.
- E.) Participant Support Costs: This budget category refers to costs of transportation, per diem, stipends, and other related costs for participants or trainees (but not employees) in

connection with ARL-sponsored conferences, meetings, symposia, training activities, and workshops (see the "Other Programs" section as described earlier in this BAA). Generally, indirect costs are not allowed on participant support costs. The number of participants to be supported should be entered in the parentheses on the budget form. These costs should also be justified in the budget justification page(s) attached to the cost proposal.

- F.) Materials, Supplies, and Consumables: A general description and total estimated cost of expendable equipment and supplies are required. The basis for developing the cost estimate (vendor quotes, invoice prices, engineering estimate, purchase order history, etc.) must be included. If possible, provide a material list.

- G.) Publication, Documentation, and Dissemination: The budget may request funds for the costs of preparing, publishing, or otherwise making available to others the findings and products of the work conducted under an agreement, including costs of reports, reprints, page charges, or other journal costs (except costs for prior or early publication); necessary illustrations, cleanup, documentation, storage, and indexing of data and databases; and development, documentation, and debugging of software.

- H.) Consultant Costs: Offerors normally are expected to utilize the services of their own staff to the maximum extent possible in managing and performing the project's effort. If the need for consultant services is anticipated, the nature of proposed consultant services should be justified and included in the technical proposal narrative. The cost proposal should include the names of consultant(s), primary organizational affiliation, each individual's expertise, daily compensation rate, number of days of expected service, and estimated travel and per diem costs.

- I.) Computer Services: The cost of computer services, including computer-based retrieval of scientific, technical, and educational information, may be requested. A justification/explanation based on the established computer service rates at the proposing organization should be included. The budget also may request costs, which must be shown to be reasonable, for leasing automatic data processing equipment. The purchase of computers or associated hardware and software should be requested as items of equipment.

- J.) Subawards (subcontracts or subgrants): A precise description of services or materials that are to be awarded by a subaward must be provided. For subawards totaling \$10,000 or more, provide the following specific information:
 - (1) A clear description of the work to be performed.
 - (2) If known, the identification of the proposed subawardee and an explanation of why and how the subawardee was selected or will be selected.
 - (3) The identification of the type of award to be used (cost reimbursement, fixed price, etc.).
 - (4) Whether or not the award will be competitive and, if noncompetitive, rationale to justify the absence of competition.

(5) A detailed cost summary.

- K.) Other Direct Costs: Itemize and provide the basis for proposed costs for other anticipated direct costs such as communications, transportation, insurance, and rental of equipment other than computer related items. Unusual or expensive items shall be fully explained and justified.
- L.) Profit/ Fee: Profit/ fee is not allowed for the Recipient of or subaward to an assistance instrument, where the principal purpose of the activity to be carried out is to stimulate or support a public purpose (i.e., to provide assistance), rather than acquisition (i.e., to acquire goods and services for the direct benefit of the United States Government). A subaward is an award of financial assistance in the form of money, or property in lieu of money, made under a DoD grant or cooperative agreement by a recipient to an eligible subrecipient. The term includes financial assistance for substantive program performance by the subrecipient of a portion of the program for which the DoD grant or cooperative agreement was made. It does not include the recipient's procurement of goods and services needed to carry out the program.
- M.) Subcontracting Plan: Subcontracting plans do not apply to assistance instruments.

CONTRACT FACILITIES CAPITAL COST OF MONEY: If cost of money is proposed, a completed Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money (FCCM) (DD Form 1861) is required.