

**RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND
ENGINEERING COMMAND – SIMULATION AND
TRAINING TECHNOLOGY CENTER
(RDECOM-STTC)**

**BROAD AGENCY ANNOUNCEMENT
(BAA)
W91CRB-08-R-0073**

ISSUE DATE:	11 AUG 2008
BAA EXPIRATION DATE:	11 AUG 2013

THIS BAA SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT UNTIL SUPERCEDED OR EXPIRED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - INTRODUCTION..... 1

Authority1

Process1

 Step 1 - Telephone Contact.....1

 Synthetic Environment Data Modeling, Interchange, Access, and Reuse Development Effort2

 Step 2 - Informal White Paper (Technical Dialog)2

 Step 3 - Submission of Formal Research Proposal2

 Step 4 - Contract Award for Selected Projects2

Government obligation.....3

PART II RDECOM-STTC RESEARCH INTERESTS..... 4

OVERVIEW4

Topic 1. BATTLEFIELD SIMULATION RESEARCH.....4

 1-A. Engagement Simulation and Instrumentation Technology4

 1-B. Position, Location, and Tracking for Live Training in Urban Terrain5

 1-C. Modeling and Simulation for Joint Interagency, Intergovernmental, Multinational Warfare6

 1-D. Predictive Analysis Research7

 1-E. Synthetic Environment Data Modeling, Interchange, Access, and Reuse Development Effort.....7

Topic 2. Embedded Simulation & Training for Combat Systems and Vehicles7

TOPIC 3. Dismounted Soldier Training Systems8

Topic 4. Medical Modeling and Simulation.....9

Topic 5. Complex Adaptive Learning Environments9

TOPIC 6. THREE-DIMENSIONAL HOLOGRAPHY MODELING AND SIMULATION13

TOPIC 7. ADVANCED DISTRIBUTED LEARNING11

TOPIC 8. DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY17

Topic 9. Additional Research Interests14

PART III - WHITE PAPER SUBMISSIONS 16

Use of Non-Government Personnel.....16

White paper format and Content20

PART IV - PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION..... 21

General Information.....21

 Eligibility21

 Post-Employment Conflict of Interest21

 Restrictive Markings on Proposals22

 Data and Software Clauses22

 Reporting Requirements23

 Operational Security Education23

 Non-U.S.Citizen Participation23

 Period of Performance24

 Contract Types.....24

 White Paper/Proposal Submission Cut-Off Date24

 Follow-On Contracts24

 Proposal Copies25

 Mailing Addresses25

Proposal Preparation Instructions	26
Technical Section Contents	26
Administrative Section Contents	32
Contract Type	32
Environmental Considerations	32
Organizational Conflicts of Interest	32
Facility Clearance	33
Disclosure Requirement	33
Understanding of Evaluation Policy	33
Representations, Certifications and Other Statements of Offerors	33
Subcontracting Plan	33
Title to Equipment	34
Cost Section Contents	34
Period of Performance	34
Direct Labor	34
Materials	34
Other Direct Costs	35
Subcontracts	35
Consultants	35
Miscellaneous	35
Indirect Costs	35
Fee/Profit	28
<i>PART V - PROPOSAL EVALUATION</i>	37
Initial Review	37
Peer Review	37
Proposed Research.....	37
Potential Contribution.....	37
Offeror’s Qualifications.....	37
Personnel	29
Cost Realism	38
Administrative Proposal	38
Proposal Comparisons	38
<i>PART VII - PROPOSAL FORMS</i>	39
<i>APPENDIX A</i>	40
RDECOM STTC BAA SCHEDULE	40

PART I - INTRODUCTION

AUTHORITY

U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command – Simulation and Training Technology Center (RDECOM-STTC) issues this Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) under the provisions of paragraphs 35.016 and 6.102(d)(2) of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), which provides for the competitive selection of research proposals. Contract(s) based on responses to this BAA are in full compliance with the provisions of PL 98-369, "The Competition in Contracting Act of 1984."

RDECOM-STTC through RDECOM Acquisition Center, contracts with educational institutions, nonprofit organizations, and private industry for research and development (R&D) in those areas covered in Part II of this BAA. Proposed efforts should address issues in all domains including Advanced Concepts and Requirements (ACR) and Research, Development and Acquisition (RDA). Contractors are urged to consider cost sharing schemes in cooperation with RDECOM-STTC.

PROCESS

Funding of research within RDECOM-STTC will be determined by funding constraints and research priorities set during each budget cycle. Therefore, those contemplating submission of a white paper are encouraged to contact the RDECOM-STTC BAA Coordinator, or the technical point of contact as noted at the end of the technical area entry, to determine whether the research warrants further inquiry. If the research warrants further inquiry and if funding is available, then submission of a white paper/proposal will be entertained.

The following four-step sequence is established for offerors contemplating submission of a proposal under this BAA. This sequence allows for an early determination of the potential for interest based on technical merit, applicability to RDECOM-STTC and projected funding. This process is designed to limit offeror and Government expenditure of effort to prepare and review formal proposals for research that may have little chance of being supported.

Step 1 - Telephone Contact

This step initiates a technical dialog between the Government and the potential offeror. The initial point of contact may direct callers to a specific scientific/technical point of contact based on the topic area and specifics of the proposed research project. The initial contact points for each area of research interest identified in Part II are shown below:

Research Area	Point of Contact	Phone
Engagement Simulation and Instrumentation Technology	Frank Tucker	407-384-5448
Position, Location, and Tracking for Live Training in Urban Terrain	Frank Tucker	407-384-5448
Modeling and Simulation for Joint Interagency, Intergovernmental, Multinational Warfare	Rodney Long	407-384-3938
Predictive Analysis Research	Jerry Speer	407-384-3835

Synthetic Environment Data Modeling, Interchange, Access, and Reuse Development Effort	Julio De la Cruz	407-384-3733
Embedded Simulation & Training for Combat Systems and Vehicles	Latika Eifert	407-384-5338
Dismounted Soldier Training Systems	Tim Roberts	407-208-3178
Medical Modeling and Simulation	Jack Norfleet	407-384-3897
Complex Adaptive Learning Environments	Joe Traugott	407-384-3988
Three-Dimensional Holography Modeling and Simulation	Michelle Kalphat	407-384-3862
Advanced Distributed Learning	Dr. Kristy Murray	407-384-3913
Defense Acquisition University	Chris Paddock	813-826-9426
Additional Research Interests	Thao Pham	407-384-5460

Step 2 - Informal White Paper (Technical Dialog)

This step is a continuation of the technical dialog for projects of interest. The scientific point of contact may request submission of an informal white paper no more than 4 pages in length (exclusive of resumes and diagrams) to facilitate their understanding of the scientific and technical aspects of the proposed research project. Although there are no restrictions or formal requirements, use of the white paper is intended to determine which efforts are of sufficient scientific and technical merit prior to submission of a formal research proposal as described in Part IV; therefore, informal white papers should not be so lengthy or detailed as to constitute a formal proposal (see Part IV). Informal white papers may contain a Rough Order of Magnitude estimate.

Please note that the Government may use non-Government participants during the white paper review process (See Part III – Use of Non-Government Personnel).

All submitted papers will undergo an initial review for technical merit and program applicability. The technical review team may discuss the proposed project with the potential offeror, as required, to facilitate the Government’s understanding of the scientific and technical aspects of the proposed research project.

Step 3 - Submission of Formal Research Proposal

This step ends the technical dialog. If there is sufficient interest in a proposed research project, the Contracting Officer will invite the offeror to submit a formal research proposal (see Part IV). Once the Contracting Office receives a research proposal, communication between scientific personnel and the offeror is permitted only as authorized by the Contracting Officer.

Please note that the Government may use non-Government participants during the evaluation of the proposals technical section (See Part III – Use of Non-Government Personnel).

Step 4 - Contract Award for Selected Projects

Regardless of whether the four-step process is used, all proposals will receive an initial review (see Part V) and the Contracting Officer will notify the offeror, in writing, whether the proposal will be processed for award. The primary basis for selecting proposals for award shall be scientific/technical merit, importance to agency programs, corporate capabilities, and personnel. Cost realism, reasonableness and

fund availability will also be considered to the extent appropriate. **See Part V for specific evaluation criteria.** The Government has the right to make multiple awards.

GOVERNMENT OBLIGATION

Persons submitting white papers and proposals are cautioned that only a Contracting Officer may obligate the Government to any agreement involving expenditure of Government funds.

BAA POINTS OF CONTACT

The Research Development and Engineering Command – Simulation and Training Technology Center (RDECOM-STTC) BAA Coordinator is Ms. Thao Pham who may be reached at (407) 384-5460 or by email at phuongthao.pham@us.army.mil.

The Contractual POCs are Ms. Nikia Jelks, Contract Specialist who may be reached at (407) 384-5585 or email nikia.s.jelks@us.army.mil or Mrs. Vanessa T. Dobson, Contracting Officer who may be reached at (407) 384-5581, email vanessa.dobson@us.army.mil

PART II RDECOM-STTC RESEARCH INTERESTS

OVERVIEW

RDECOM-STTC has the mission to develop and advance the state-of-the-art in simulation, training, learning and instrumentation technologies with the overall goal of producing more efficient and effective Army training, test and evaluation systems. Programs funded under this BAA will include exploratory and advanced research related to this mission, as well as, technology demonstrations. Collaboration between universities and industrial companies is encouraged. Projects should take maximum advantage of existing university and industry research and engineering programs and facilities, and those of the Army's sister DOD services. It is envisioned that project emphasis will be in those areas that: explore and develop novel applications of new simulation, training and instrumentation technologies; explore new methods of implementing instructional principles in training devices; and foster productive and synergistic working relationships through interdisciplinary groups in which instructional specialists, engineers, psychologists and other specialists can work together to optimally develop technologies for equipment to train and assess the Army's current and future forces. Additional information on RDECOM-STTC projects can be found on our website at <http://www.rdecom.army.mil/STTC/index.html>.

TOPIC 1. BATTLEFIELD SIMULATION RESEARCH

During the next decade there will be increasing demands for simulated representations of the combined arms battlefield for use in training, analysis, force development, combat development, materiel development, and operational test and evaluation. These simulated battlefield environments must exhibit real-time interactions, as perceived by humans synchronized in time and space, such that war fighters, both individually and collectively, experience the complexity of dynamic force-on-force battlefield behaviors. Moreover, the simulated environments must be accredited based on validated models and methodologies. Research interests in this area include the following:

1-A. Engagement Simulation and Instrumentation Technology

The Army has successfully fielded the Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System (MILES) as a means of providing non-lethal, real-time casualty assessment for direct fire, force-on-force engagement exercises. Current development efforts will extend capabilities to include indirect fire, area weapons, and extended data collection for use in after action reviews. With the introduction of increasing numbers of smart and "fire and forget" munitions, multi-spectral sensor systems, directed energy weapons (DEW) and the need to operate in obscured battlefield conditions, present approaches to pairing systems for real-time casualty assessment are no longer adequate to support future engagement simulation requirements. Next generation engagement simulation technologies should be explored to increase the cost effectiveness of Combat Training Centers of the future. Research issues include:

New or different approaches for determining real-time casualty assessment (RTCA) of weapons engagement simulations while providing high level resolution of aspect angle and location of hit for direct fire and smart munitions. Of particular interest are approaches which capitalize on the use of new, emerging attitude sensor technologies such as gyroscopes, accelerometers, inertial measurement units (IMU), dual antenna Global Positioning Systems (GPS) receivers (both hardware and software-based) or similar innovative technologies.

The battlefield environment involves many complex elements, to include: new, multi-spectral battlefield obscurants (e.g., infrared (IR) and millimeter wave (MMW)), electronic systems, countermeasures, jammers, etc. Home station, Combat Training Centers and test activities require the capability to simulate and instrument a realistic battlefield environment for test and training.

Non-Line-Of-Sight (NLOS) weapons, such as the MK 19, and over-the-horizon munitions fired from air and ground units are presently included with a labor-intensive effort. Many of these weapons are rapid fire and need to be compatible with the fire control systems of high performance aircraft, both as a shooter and as a target. The home station and the Combat Training Centers (CTC) need to be able to simulate and instrument these NLOS weapons without using additional electromagnetic (EM) spectrum.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Mr. Frank Tucker, (407) 384-5448, frank.tucker2@us.army.mil.

1-B. Position, Location, and Tracking for Live Training in Urban Terrain

RDECOM-STTC is interested in technologies that improve live training of dismounted Soldier and weapon system tactical engagements, particularly in urban terrain, where GPS signals may become degraded, obscured, or distorted due to multi-path phenomena and line of sight is obscured. The Army's transformation to the Objective Force is bringing forth new challenges for training, such as engagements that require the Soldier to fire at targets that cannot be seen. This is also referred to as non line-of-sight (NLOS) tactics, such as firing through a wall or over buildings. During training, the Soldier needs to know whether the target was hit, and to what degree of accuracy, without firing live munitions. The technology applied to solve these challenges must have the following characteristics or capabilities:

- Determine the pointing direction (bearing and azimuth to a high degree of accuracy) that is sufficiently small and low powered to be embedded into a small arms weapon (e.g.; M4 carbine: A compact version of the M16A2 rifle).
- The ability to locate and track a Soldier within buildings of various structures for up to 4 hours.
- Have the potential to be light and small enough to embed into weapons systems as small as the size of a rifle (e.g., M4 carbine: A compact version of the M16A2 rifle).
- Have a battery life of up to 72 hours without changing or charging batteries.

The need for infrastructure to support the technology must be minimal, or ideally, none at all.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Frank Tucker, (407) 384-5448; frank.tucker2@us.army.mil.

1-C. Modeling and Simulation for Joint Interagency, Intergovernmental, Multinational Warfare

RDECOM-STTC has an interest in researching, developing and demonstrating technologies, techniques and strategies to create a flexible virtual simulation environment that can be used to train

Soldiers for a wide range of Joint Interagency Intergovernmental and Multinational (JIIM) operations, such as humanitarian assistance, peacekeeping, peace enforcement, and low or high intensity conflict.

Areas of consideration include:

- Multiplayer Games (MPGs) that simulate mounted, dismounted and Close Air Support (CAS) operations in cluttered urban environments.
- Physics-based dynamic terrain in real-time for wall breaches/CAS/Joint Fires and rubble effects
- Scalability research using MPGs or Virtual World technologies to drive multi-echelon training (100's of entities)
- Open architectures for MPG integration with Live, Virtual, and Constructive simulations
- Tools for distributed Exercise Control and After Action Review
- Representation of cultural/societal factors in modeling civilian crowd and insurgent behavior in computer generated forces applications.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Mr. Rodney Long, (407) 384-3938, rodney.long@us.army.mil.

1-D. Predictive Analysis Research

The terms *predictive analysis* (US Army) and *predictive battlespace awareness* (US Air Force) describe future techniques and technologies that will help the commander estimate enemy courses of action and adaptively plan friendly actions based on these estimates. Both communities have identified the lack of critical technologies, techniques and *simulation and training* tools to support such capabilities. Adaptation of these types of technologies to the training, modeling and simulation domain is needed. Specifically, Simulation tactical platform and unit behaviors can be driven by the estimating tools to produce a “low overhead” driver capability for, simulation, training and test environments. Training process techniques are also needed to address this new technology area.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Mr. Jerry Speer, 407-384-3835, e-mail Jerry.Speer@us.army.mil.

1-E. Synthetic Environment Data Modeling, Interchange, Access, and Reuse Development Effort

Army simulation and battle command systems are transforming into highly integrated, distributed/collaborative tool suites that improve warfighter readiness and responsiveness by enabling commanders and staffs to see, understand, and act first, and finish decisively. To function properly, these systems depend on accurate, timely geospatial data; this will be especially true for the Army Current and Future Force. Accurate, timely geospatial data is also critical to the User Defined Operational Picture (UDOP); embedded training systems; mission rehearsal systems; course of action analysis/development/evaluation tools and other Command and Control (C2) planning; integrated Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR); and robotics. Providing technologies of representations of the Complex urban environment is a critical element of models and simulations. It includes those physical aspects of the real world which provide the context for application-specific simulations. Interoperability of heterogeneous simulation systems depends heavily on sharing complex environment data in a consistent and complete manner. The emphasis is to provide the capability to represent the synthetic environment as realistically as possible to support the Army mission.

Areas of consideration include:

- Extraction of data from real world environments for virtual representations to support virtual simulation databases.
- Analytical and visual evaluation tools and software for comparison between the constructed virtual represented and the real work representation.
- The capability and processes for rapid construction or interiors and structures of buildings, tunnels, sewers, and the placement and state of smaller structures such as furniture, doors.
- Analyze and development of extensions for data representation models, read application programming interface (API), and Write API to support dynamic exchange of synthetic environments
- Meteorological and oceanographic representation
- Representation of dynamic terrain and objects such as craters, rubbles, and deformation of the environment
- Addressing end-to-end geospatial data processes and database generation technologies for Rapid construction of complex urban environments
- Developing methodologies and technologies to support correlation between the open database generation system and disparate M&S run-time systems.
- Interoperability between One Semi-Automated Objective System (OOS) and the virtual environment representation for visual image generation system.
- Realistic dynamic modeling of weapons effects on 3D models or artifacts in a real time dynamic environment.
- Other areas not defined herein that enhance the representation, exchange, and use of synthetic environment

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is: Mr. Julio de la Cruz, (407)384-3733, julio.delacruz@us.army.mil.

TOPIC 2. EMBEDDED SIMULATION & TRAINING FOR COMBAT SYSTEMS AND VEHICLES

Embedded Training (ET) is a capability designed into a Ground Combat System (GCS) and Dismounted Soldiers (DS) that enables the GCS and DS to provide necessary environmental and system feedback to train individuals, crews and units, and enhance operational readiness using the system's operational equipment. Having a training capability integrated within the system's operational equipment allows units to train anywhere and anytime, including while deployed. The goal is enable more cost-effective training and mission rehearsal, on demand, whether at home station or deployed. ET must ensure maximum accessibility, as well as flexibility in execution of training, soldiers and commanders. ET must have the capability to train without significant external support. It must rapidly execute training with organic assets saving time for leaders to focus on execution and retraining. ET development may also aid in the areas of vehicle development and operational testing. The advent of emerging technologies such as enhanced visual systems, miniaturization, and computational processing power combine to support on-vehicle/on-location training that is realistic, low cost, and environmentally friendly. ET is a mandatory requirement for the Army's Future Combat System (FCS) and a requirement for other current force systems (e.g., Abrams, Stryker, and Bradley) as well as dismounted Ground Soldier Systems. The primary focus of research in this area is to mitigate the technology risk for FCS by providing a technology demonstration on current force systems, with the goal of accelerating ET into the current force by facilitating the integration of earlier

spirals of FCS ET into the current force. Pacing technologies include, but are not limited to the following: embedding training and mission rehearsal on current force vehicles (Stryker, Bradley, Abrams), innovative methods to inject image generation and stimulate weapon sensors for ET, innovative methods to modify mostly analog-based systems (brake, steering, direct view optics) to support ET, common ET implementation strategies across current force systems, embedded visual and display systems, embedded computer systems, mounted/dismounted interoperable ET environments to include ET on Future Warrior Technology Integration Ground Soldier Systems, ET for robotic systems, Live ET or Embedded Tactical Engagement Simulation System (ETESS), ET supporting complex urban areas, ET architectures using FCS Training Common Components (scaled as required) for current force ET designs(after action review (AAR), computer generated forces (CGF), Intelligent Tutoring, system management, etc.), game based systems to support ET, efficient use of terrain databases and models for Live, virtual and constructive domains, and embedded test environments.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Ms. Latika Eifert, (407)384-5338,
Latika.Eifert@us.army.mil.

TOPIC 3. DISMOUNTED SOLDIER TRAINING SYSTEMS

The Army needs portable/mobile simulation technology solutions to support the future dismounted Soldier. These systems will provide the small unit leaders and individual Soldiers with a capability to conduct fully immersive, self contained, simulation based training. The resulting capabilities should empower the dismounted Soldier and his unit with individual and/or collective training and simulation on-demand, anywhere, and anytime. RDECOM-STTC has an interest in researching, developing and demonstrating technologies and techniques for virtual immersion for dismounted Soldiers. A core requirement of the system is the ability to execute scenarios within an immersive, virtual environment that allows advanced mission planning, analysis, and rehearsal. This environment should replicate the full spectrum operations to include social and cultural situations. Warfighter payoffs include capability to provide on-demand, multi-function training to support the multi-skilled Soldier. The technology applied to solve these challenges should have the following characteristics or capabilities:

- A squad level self contained distributed and networked training system that is completely untethered, Soldier worn, battery powered, and requires no external facilities or infrastructure to operate.
- Low power man-portable CPU & Graphics processing hardware
- Exploits the use of gaming technology to provide immersive capabilities for learning and training.
- Flexibility to incorporate the latest Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures (TTP) for constantly changing mission environments
- Increased capabilities in FOV and resolution of display systems
- Exploration of multi-modality for the man-machine interface(MMI)

Pacing technologies include, but are not limited to: visual and display systems to include head mounted displays, computer systems, wireless tracking devices, mixed-reality systems to include optically aided video odometry, accurate depth sensing and occlusion mapping, visual landmark detection technology; mission rehearsal, distributed AAR systems, and advanced synthetic natural environments.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Mr. Tim Roberts, (407)208-3178,
Tim.E.Roberts@us.army.mil.

TOPIC 4. MEDICAL MODELING AND SIMULATION

RDECOM-STTC has an interest in researching, developing and demonstrating technologies, techniques and strategies for immersing the military medical community into a realistic, simulated military medical training environment for initial, transition, refresher, and sustainment training. Both individual and team training concepts shall be included in this area of interest. Areas for consideration include:

- Imaging systems (i.e., holographic technologies and laser-optical projection systems)
- Total immersion from the point of injury to Return-to-Duty status
- High-fidelity haptics
- Linking to existing warfighter simulations
- Medical training technologies that realistically simulate human trauma (e.g., look, feel, smell, bleeding, body functions)
- High fidelity patient simulation technologies.
- Portable, rugged training systems.
- Personal Computer (PC) and game based technologies.
- Virtual and Constructive medical simulation efforts.
- Methodologies that improve cost effectiveness and increase test scores as well as skill levels.
- Objective requirements to reduce or eliminate the use of live tissue and cadavers in military medical training.
- Objective performance measures of knowledge and psycho-motor skills.

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is Jack Norfleet (407) 384-3897,
Jack.Norfleet@us.army.mil.

TOPIC 5. COMPLEX ADAPTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS

Today's war is a different war. It is being fought at the small team level in congested urban environments. The environment and conditions are forcing the downward migration of leadership tasks, requiring tactical decisions which may have strategic consequences to be made at much lower echelons than in the past. The conditions are under constant change and the Soldier must learn to adapt accordingly in a complex environment facing situations they never experienced previously. Traditional training systems have focused on individual and collective skills. Today's war requires cognitive skills from Soldiers who must deal with social, cultural, and language barriers. As the Army develops leaders to successfully perform in this new environment, there is a great need to be able to rapidly capture and incorporate lessons learned from the Contemporary Operating Environment (COE) in multiple forms to include personal experiences and stories that can be used to develop adaptive leaders.

Given today's environment, there is an interest in the development of creative and innovative technologies to immerse Soldiers and leaders in a realistic, contemporary operating environment. Technologies should focus on individual and team learning that immerse participants in an interactive, complex environment through a modular, flexible and adaptable capability to replicate current and

future operational urban conflict/battle scenarios. The environments should provide participants with a large number of situations that require the participant to adapt to evolving scenarios and interact with complex environments that include terrain, weather, people, man-made and natural structures.

In addition to developing technologies which will improve the training of individuals and small teams operating in complex urban environments, today's military leaders must also be adept at planning and conducting the full range of kinetic and non-kinetic operations. Kinetic operations refer to the direct combat actions which our military leaders and forces traditionally have trained for. Non-kinetic operations are those actions which are being taken by leaders and our military forces today to help "win the peace." These operations include a wide range of actions such as those needed to develop a local, regional, or national government; an economy; an education system; infrastructure development and repair such as electricity, water, and communications; a wide range of reconstruction projects; law enforcement; and emergency operations during post-combat operations. These non-kinetic missions have actually assumed the dominant role for commanders and leaders in many areas in which our military operates. Most military simulations are still focused on the planning and execution of kinetic operations. Much research is needed to help develop the next generation of challenging, relevant simulations which are focused on preparing leaders for conducting a wide range of non-kinetic operations.

There is also interest in researching innovative uses of Virtual World Environments for Soldier education and training. Specifically, investigate the feasibility of improving training readiness by leveraging the unique strengths of Virtual Worlds, including:

- Social Networking, developing a sense of community to share ideas and solve problems together
- Experiential learning, through interaction with a realistic virtual world, i.e. physics, cultural modeling, etc.
- Immersive simulation, providing individual and team training
- Delivery methods of educational content, i.e. audio, 2-D billboards, 3-D models, animations, interactive simulations, etc.
- Learning Management Systems that allow exploration, but also serve as a roadmap of the Virtual World to deliver required training
- Virtual Mentors that provide guidance and feedback on trainee performance

RDECOM-STTC Point of Contact is Mr. Joe Traugott, (407) 384-3988, Joe.Traugott@us.army.mil.

TOPIC 6. THREE-DIMENSIONAL HOLOGRAPHY MODELING AND SIMULATION

There continues to be a need for visualization tools that provide a bridge between complex imagery data and the ability to view this data. This visualization problem is particularly acute for complex terrain, subterranean bunkers, and urban environments where two dimensional maps and photographs cannot adequately represent a three-dimensional (3D) area. RDECOM-STTC has an interest in research, development and demonstration of 3D holography to address this need for use in mission planning, mission rehearsal and mission execution. Areas for consideration include:

- Imaging systems (i.e., holographic technologies and laser-optical projection systems)
- 3D holographic technologies that do not require viewing aids

- 3D technologies to be viewed with existing military issued equipment (i.e. Night Vision Goggles)
- Holographic displays incorporating dynamic movement
- Lightweight, portable and rugged display systems
- Hologram output from multiple input sources; data agnostic
- Linking to existing warfighter simulations

RDECOM-STTC Technical Point of Contact is: Ms. Michelle Kalphat, (407)384-3862,
Michelle.Kalphat@us.army.mil

TOPIC 7. ADVANCED DISTRIBUTED LEARNING

To achieve the ADL Initiative's long-term goals, the ADL Co-Lab network provides research that facilitates the fusion of multiple learning environments in an effort to enhance learning and training performance throughout the Department of Defense. The Initiative looks at plausible means to reduce the barriers to effective implementation in support of learning and performance enhancement. This is done through discovery and publication of best practices, conducting research that facilitates technical and/or method solutions, working toward Standards and Specifications that ameliorate "Jointness," researching the ADL environment as a systems approach, and, in general, assisting the Services in any manner that our resources can accommodate

Given the number and diversity of different learning/training systems (games, simulations, virtual worlds, etc.), and the tremendous amount of learning content that is already developed—and will continue to be developed—this is a lofty task. The overall focus of the Tasks listed below is to assist the Military Service efforts to achieve the best of breed in all aspects of their distributed learning requirements.

There is a need to apply the scientific process in order to demonstrate the value of Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM), the ADL-Registry, and related ADL Initiative standards, specifications, and technologies. How is this "architecture" helping to accomplish the ADL vision of providing improved training at a lower cost, anytime and anywhere? The intent is to show whether successful implementation of ADL technologies and standards lead to improved realization of the ADL vision in every DoD organization of using COTS or open source tools.

Researching emerging technologies and standards can help ADL achieve learning harmonization. SCORM is a model that references other learning-oriented standards. Content packaging, sequencing, data models and metadata standards have come together to perform in the aggregate the functions of SCORM. There is a need to know what other types of standards can be used with SCORM that enhance or introduce new capabilities. Of interest to ADL is how to address content orchestration, "zip-less" packaging, improved content access through agent- and semantic-based technologies, and how SCORM learning content is managed and activated in a system of systems.

The lead for this research effort will be the Joint ADL Co-Lab, located in Orlando, FL. For more information about the Joint ADL Co-Lab or the other organizations within the ADL network, please visit our website at www.adlnet.gov

7-A. Interoperability of Learning and Training Systems. Interoperability specifications need to be developed that allow learning systems to communicate with training systems. When applied to the

training domain, simulations serve to provide the user with the opportunity for learning in a robust, motivating and engaging environment through a higher degree of user interactivity, fidelity or immersion, where context and practice are keys to learning. The target attributes of ADL, especially accessibility and interoperability, are best served by simulations that can run in a Web-based environment or on a typical desktop or laptop personal computer. Games, as a type of simulation, offer potential learning opportunities to learners, but such experiences are currently not linked with other learning systems. New models of instructional design are often required to determine that the new technology is meeting the needs of the learner, especially when dealing with integrated games and simulations. Determine the technical and instructional “best practices” for applying SCORM to training system content. In this vein, there is a need to develop best practices and objects for capturing learning and training requirements during a product’s design phase. The product design phase includes maintenance and operational planning that only later map to human performance competencies. Of interest to ADL is the early identification and mapping of equipment and human performance requirements. The goal is to plan for distributed learning, reusable content and human performance factors that can be tracked throughout the life of a product. Further, the term “cyber-infrastructure” describes new research environments that support advanced data acquisition, data storage, data management, data integration, data mining, data visualization and other computing and information processing over the internet. Of interest to ADL is how the advanced application of cyber-infrastructure research and data oriented design architectures enhance distributed learning capabilities and improves interoperability between content registries, databases, learning management systems, simulations and other learning-oriented tools.

7-B. Team Training. Military activities are often focused on the performance of a team of individuals acting in concert to accomplish a mission or goal. Team training may require the development of new instructional design models to support the training needs of groups of learners as well as to individual learners. How can team members be tracked, evaluated, and assessed in a team training environment? What dynamics come into play with distributed team training that do not appear in either distributed individual training or team training in which all team members are co-located? What are the technology and/or instructional methods required to resolve these dynamics?

7-C. Learner Profile Management. There is a need to research innovative technologies for capturing data about learners and sharing this data between multiple learning systems. This data must be useful for measuring and tracking learner performance, and comparing this performance to desired behavior to provide continuous feedback in learning experiences.

7-D. Advanced Content Management. Research topics in this area focus on the ability to reuse distributed learning content through effective management tools and methods. This research area will focus on the advancement of authoring tools to include open source and Service specific systems such as Authoring Instructional Materials (AIM) and Defense Acquisition Regulations System (DARS). This task would include acquisition and procurement guidelines. The S1000D and Darwin Information Typing Architecture (DITA) have recently added learning-oriented XML schemas to their schemas. These schemas will improve content management and data mapping, but further research needs to be accomplished. The unknown is the effect structured markup has on instructional design, modularized data, life cycle management and distributed learning. Of interest to ADL is how structured learning content markup harmonizes with instructional design, SCORM, and life cycle management.

7-E. Content Life-Cycle Management. There is a need to study the potential for Content Repositories to behave as interoperable components of a larger system of systems to support distributed learning. With the availability of the ADL Registry, Content Repositories have begun to play an increasingly important role within the distributed learning community. Further, there is a need

to research the potential of a global object repository for games, virtual worlds, and other immersive technologies. This focus area would include all types of “content” to include Maintenance documents, virtual world and game-based training applications, and technical publications.

7-F. Mobile Devices and Web 2.0 Technologies

Mobile devices free learners from traditional learning environments and allow greater knowledge sharing in social environments. There is a need at ADL to determine the practical relationship between distributed learning and knowledge sharing, and how mobile devices factor into that relationship, especially if SCORM is required. Of particular interest is how structured learning content that is based on predetermined product design requirements is maintained, updated then distributed to mobile devices at the time of need.

7-G. Return on Investment (ROI) and ADL Technologies

Reuse and distributed learning has always been equated with cost savings. There is a need to know how ADL technologies can be measured for ROI and reduction in total ownership costs using algorithms and calculations that transfer from project to project. Of interest to ADL is how interoperable data, integrated tools, structured markup, cyber-infrastructures, training needs analysis requirements, mobile learning and learning content life cycle management leads to reduced costs and returns on investment.

Joint ADL Co-Lab Technical Point of Contact is: Dr. Kristy Murray, Director, ADL Co-Labs, (407) 384-3913, kristy.murray@us.army.mil

TOPIC 8. DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY

The Defense Acquisition University (DAU) is partnering with the Army’s Research Development, and Engineering Command, Simulation and Training Technology Center (RDECOM-STTC) since it is anticipated that current research, projects and initiatives can be leveraged for dual use in both organizations. The intent of this partnership is to continue to move and transform DAU into a leading edge trainer and deliverer of game-based and simulation context learning. DAU is also interested in advanced learning/educational research and applied acquisition research which will enhance work performance across generations to improve Department of Defense (DoD) acquisition program outcomes. Additionally, these research efforts will help DoD to implement best practices, lessons learned, knowledge management and knowledge sharing. Findings from this research will improve DAU learning products and will ensure that DAU’s programs of instruction will maximize the transfer of the skills and knowledge from its courses to the Department of Defense acquisition workforce.

Some of the specific areas that DAU is most interested in are:

- a. The application of games and simulation technologies to :
 - i. Distance Learning Environments
 - ii. Classroom settings
 - iii. 508 Compliance
 - iv. Learning Management Systems
- b. The use of games within appropriate acquisition contexts in order to increase relevance and motivation of the AT&L workforce. Specifically the following types of games:
 - i. Mini Games

- ii. Decision Making Games
- iii. Strategy Games
- iv. Virtual Worlds
- v. Acquisition Systems Simulations
- vi. Fully immersive games
- vii. Console games for education
- c. Faculty and Staff Professional Development education and training methodologies for incorporating games and simulations into curriculum (?)
- d. Topical games related to the DoD Acquisition Life Cycle
- e. Games and Simulation based instructional design tools
- f. Research initiatives focused on generational differences in the following areas:
 - i. Learning
 - 1. Ubiquitous Computing
 - 2. Performance Oriented Outcomes
 - ii. Technology
 - 1. Technology uses
 - 2. Technology acceptance
 - 3. Technology adoption
 - iii. Teamwork and Leadership
 - iv. Distance Learning and Telework
 - v. Teaching a Generationally Diverse Workforce

Additionally, DAU is very interested in applied acquisition research. This research will enable the DoD acquisition workforce members to achieve improved success as they manage DoD weapon systems and information systems throughout development and operations. This research will result in the following types of products:

- g. Lessons Learned Data Base
- h. Best Practices Clearinghouse
- i. Knowledge Management Repository
- j. Knowledge Sharing Tools
- k. Learning Asset Development
- l. Learning Management System Suites
- m. Multi-Media Strategy
- n. Research Reports/Research Articles

DAU Technical POC is Chris Paddock, (813) 826-9426, (813) 951-5640, chris.paddock@dau.mil.

TOPIC 9. ADDITIONAL RESEARCH INTERESTS

Research conducted in technology fields that are broader than those identified in the preceding paragraphs may be of considerable interest to RDECOM-STTC. Such research will relate to resolving technical issues that will optimize Army research and development programs involving simulation, training and/or instrumentation systems in the most cost effective manner.

The RDECOM-STTC technical POC for simulation, training and instrumentation research is Ms. Thao Pham, who may be reached at (407) 384-3654 or e-mail Phuongthao.Pham@us.army.mil.

PART III - WHITE PAPER SUBMISSIONS

Steps 1 and 2 of the Technical Dialog provide for technical interchange prior to the submission of a formal proposal. Any questions or clarification of project objectives or methods may be directly discussed between the Government representatives and the potential offerors during the Technical Dialog. The purpose of the Technical Dialog is to obviate excessive expenditure of resources for projects that do not warrant consideration based on insufficient technical merits or funding limitations.

USE OF NON-GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL

Offerors are hereby notified that non-Government participants may have access to the offerors' white papers and that providing a white paper shall constitute consent to the disclosure of proprietary information to all non-Government participants in the white paper review process. The non-Government participants are employees of commercial firms under contract to the Government and they will be authorized access to only those portions of the white paper and discussions that are necessary to enable them to provide specific technical advice on specialized matters or on particular problems, and for tracking and recording purposes. All non-Government participants have executed a Certificate of Non-Disclosure.

WHITE PAPER FORMAT AND CONTENT

Each white paper must address all of the following elements and should be no more than 4 pages in length (exclusive of resumes and diagrams):

- Contractor format is acceptable.
- White Paper submissions shall be Unclassified.
- Project description addressing in sufficient detail the characteristics identified in Part II.
- Point of contact to provide information and answer further questions, if necessary.
- A rough order of magnitude (ROM) cost estimate to implement the research effort.
- An estimated timeline to complete the project.

PART IV - PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Section is intended to provide information needed in preparing research proposals for submission to RDECOM-STTC. Proposals submitted under this BAA must contain technical, administrative, cost, and other supporting information as described below.

Most of the information needed to prepare a proposal will be found within this Section. Blank proposal forms, included in Part VI, and are designed to provide the required information needed for contracting purposes. Use of the enclosed proposal forms will expedite award of the research contract.

All proposals should include the information specified in this announcement in order to avoid delays in evaluation.

RDECOM-STTC encourages nonprofit organizations, educational institutions, small business, and small disadvantaged business concerns to submit white papers for consideration.

Any questions concerning the preparation or content of the research proposal should be directed to:

Contract Specialist	Phone	E-Mail
Nikia Jelks	(407) 384-5585	Nikia.S.Jelks@us.army.mil

Eligibility

To be eligible for award of a contract, a prospective contractor (except other Governments, including State and Local Governments) must meet certain minimum standards pertaining to financial resources, ability to comply with the performance schedule, prior record of performance, integrity, organization, experience, operational controls, technical skills, facilities, and equipment.

Post-Employment Conflict of Interest

There are certain post-employment restrictions on former federal officers and employees, including special Government employees (Section 207 of Title 18, United States Code). If a prospective offeror believes that a conflict of interest may exist, the situation should be brought to the attention of the Contracting Officer before time and effort is expended in preparing a proposal.

Restrictive Markings on Proposals

Offerors that include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public for any purpose, or used by the Government except for evaluation purposes shall:

- (a) Mark the title page with the following legend: "This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed -- in whole or in part -- for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. If, however, a contract

is awarded to this offeror as a result of -- or in connection with -- the submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in this data if it is obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets];" and

(b) Mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend: "Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal."

All offerors should also complete the Research Proposal Cover Page Attachment (1) and should complete the statements of Attachment (2) indicating their preference for release of information contained in proposals and their understanding of the policy regarding evaluation of the proposals.

The offeror is cautioned, however, that portions of the proposal may be subject to release pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended.

Data and Software Clauses

Based on responses to DFARS 252.227-7017 and 252.227-7028 in Attachment (3), the appropriate DFARS clauses will be included in the resultant contract, such as:

252.227-7013 Rights in Technical Data-Noncommercial Items

252.227-7014 Rights in Noncommercial Computer Software and Noncommercial Computer Software Documentation

252.227-7016 Rights in Bid or Proposal Information

252.227-7019 Validation of Asserted Restrictions-Computer Software

252.227-7025 Limitations on the Use or Disclosure of Government Furnished Information Marked With Restrictive Legends

252.227-7027 Deferred Ordering of Technical Data or Computer Software

252.227-7030 Technical Data--Withholding of Payment

252.227-7037 Validation of Restrictive Markings on Technical Data

Reporting Requirements

The number and types of reports will be specified in the contractual document. The reports will be prepared and submitted in accordance with the procedures contained in the contract, which will be based on the reporting requirements contained in the contractor's proposal and mutually agreed on before award. RDECOM-STTC requires the delivery of a final report at the conclusion of each contract, notwithstanding the fact that the research may be continued under a follow-on contract.

The Data Item Descriptions most frequently used for the delivery of data under this announcement are DI-MGMT-80227 (Contractor's Progress, Status and Management Report), DI-MCCR-80700 (Computer Software Product End Items), DI-MGMT-81117 (Technical and Management Work Plan) and DI-MISC-80711A (Scientific and Technical Report).

Operational Security Education

Contractors awarded contracts under RDECOM-STTC BAA are required to receive Operational Security (OPSEC) training, which must include STTC's Elements of Essential Friendly Information (EEFI). A template OPSEC training presentation as well as the list of STTC's EEFI may be obtained from bill.pike@us.army.mil. Training will be documented in accordance with contractual instructions.

Non-U.S. Citizen Participation

If the proposed research (or a portion of the proposed research) requires access to critical technology, sensitive unclassified information, For Official Use Only material, or intelligence material, non-U.S. citizens may participate in the resultant contract (or portion of the resultant contract) **only** if special written permission is granted by the Contracting Officer in accordance with the International Traffic and Arms Regulations (ITAR) 22 CFR Ch 1. The Contracting Officer will require the review and concurrence of the RDECOM-STTC Foreign Disclosure Officer (FDO) before granting this permission.

If the proposed research (or a portion of the proposed research) requires access to classified information (i.e., confidential or secret), non-U.S. citizens may participate in the resultant contract (or portion of the resultant contract) **only** if a Limited Access Authorization (LAA) is granted. A LAA can be granted only in the event that there are no U.S. citizens that can perform the effort. Granting of LAAs is not anticipated under this Broad Agency Announcement.

If any non-U.S. citizen requires access to RDECOM-STTC buildings, or other Government facilities, special written permission must be requested and obtained from the Contracting Officer and Security Officer through the resultant contract's Technical Point of Contact. Requests shall specify purpose, duration, frequency, and location (specific room, lab, etc.).

Period of Performance

Proposals submitted in response to this BAA may be for a period of performance up to five (5) years. Such long-term proposals shall contain a brief summary of the work contemplated for each 12-month period, so contracts may be negotiated for an entire five-year program or for individual one-year increments up to five (5) years. Proposals for periods of less than 12 months will also be considered.

Contract Types

It is anticipated that all offers under **\$100,000** will be proposed and awarded on a firm-fixed-price completion basis.

It is anticipated that all offers over **\$100,000** will be proposed and awarded on a cost-reimbursement [cost plus fixed fee, cost (no fee), or cost sharing*] completion basis.

*Note: A cost-sharing contract is a cost-reimbursement contract in which the contractor receives no fee and is reimbursed only for an agreed-upon portion of its allowable costs. A cost-sharing contract may

be used when the contractor agrees to absorb a portion of the costs, in the expectation of substantial compensating benefits.

White Paper/Proposal Submission Cut-Off Date

This BAA is open and effective for a period of five years from the date of release (11 AUG 2008 through 11 AUG 2013), unless superseded or expired. It is **recommended** that white papers be received by **May** of each year to maximize the possibility of award. (See Appendix A) White Papers/Proposals will be accepted at any time, however, the later in each respective Government Fiscal Year, the least likely funding will be available.

Follow-On Contracts

A proposal for continuation of a given research project will be considered on the same basis as proposals for new research. The proposal should be submitted sufficiently in advance of the termination of the existing contract so that if it is accepted, contract performance may be continued without interruption.

Proposal Copies

Offerors shall submit copies of their proposal as follows:

Proposal Section	Paper	Electronic
Technical Proposal	Original	One
Administrative Proposal	Original	One
Timeline and Cost Proposal	Original	One

Each paper and electronic copy must contain any restrictive legends and the electronic copy must be in a format compatible with Microsoft Office 2000.

Mailing Addresses

If, as a result of the telephone contact, the research effort is determined to have sufficient interest, an **informal white paper** outlining the proposed approach should be submitted to the following address:

US Army RDECOM
Simulation Technology Center (STTC)
Attn: Ms. Thao Pham, BAA Coordinator
12423 Research Parkway
Orlando, FL 32826-3276

All proposals, written communications or documentation concerning this BAA shall be forwarded to the following address:

RDECOM Acquisition Center
Attn: Mrs. Vanessa T. Dobson, Procuring Contracting Officer
12423 Research Parkway
Orlando, FL 32826-3276

PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

The proposal is the only vehicle available to the offeror for receiving consideration for award. The proposal must stand on its own merit; only information provided in the proposal can be used in the evaluation process leading to an award. The proposal should be prepared simply and economically, providing straightforward, concise delineation of capabilities necessary to perform the proposed work. The technical proposal must be accompanied by a fully supported cost proposal as cost and technical considerations are reviewed simultaneously.

Each proposal shall be submitted under cover of Attachment (1) and shall contain three distinct sections. The first section shall contain the technical discussion. The second section shall contain contractual information, certifications, and other documentation. The last section shall contain a breakdown of the anticipated costs.

Technical Section Contents

The nature of the effort to be performed will determine its acceptability for award under this BAA. Proposed efforts shall be investigative in nature and explore innovative technology concepts. Development of specific hardware systems shall not be allowed; developments of prototypes to demonstrate the innovative technology concepts are allowed.

The Technical Section shall contain the following:

PART I - Technical Proposal

- a. **Cover Page:** The cover page should include the BAA Number, research topic and reference number, name and telephone number for the principal points of contact (both technical and contractual), and any other information that identifies the proposal. The cover page should also contain the proprietary data disclosure statement, if applicable.
- b. **Table of Contents:** It is highly recommended that the Offeror follow the above table of contents and use it for a final quality-control checklist.
- c. **List of Illustrations/Tables:** This list is a quick reference of charts, graphs, and other important information. A separate list of Tables is recommended.
- d. **Executive Summary:** The executive summary allows the offeror to present briefly and concisely the important aspects of its proposal to key management personnel. The summary should present an organized progression of the work to be accomplished, without the technical details, such that the reader can grasp the core issues of the proposed program. The Executive Summary should rarely exceed two pages.
- e. **Technical Approach:** In this section, the Offeror should provide as much technical detail and analysis as is necessary or useful to support the technical approach they are proposing. One must clearly identify the core of the intended approach. It is not effective to address a variety of possible solutions to the technology problems.

- (1) **Technical Discussion:** No technical approach is without its limitations or shortcomings. Every issue should be identified and compared with the successes/failures of previous approaches. A tradeoff analysis is a good way to make this comparison and should be supported by theory, simulation, modeling, experimental data, or other sound engineering and scientific practices. If the offeror has a "new and creative" solution to the problem(s), that solution should be developed and analyzed in this section. The preferred technical approach should be described in as much detail as is necessary or useful to establish confidence in the approach.
 - (2) **Technical Program Summary:** This section summarizes the above technical discussion in an orderly progression through the program, emphasizing the strong points of the proposed technical approach.
 - (3) **Potential Contribution:** Discuss the potential contribution to research programs relating to RDECOM-STTC initiatives, including:
 - Benefits that the technology will provide to the RDT&E community,
 - Identification of advancements that will be achieved,
 - Identification of opportunities for transition of the technology into existing and future systems and/or operational use, and
 - Identification of the specific areas of understanding and knowledge that are lacking that the proposed effort will address, the specific areas of investigation necessary to advance understanding and knowledge for the purpose of meeting RDECOM-STTC initiatives, and the current level of understanding and knowledge in the area of investigation.
 - (4) **Risk Analysis and Alternatives:** Every technology has its limitations and shortcomings. The proposal evaluator(s) will formulate a risk assessment and it is in the best interest of the Offeror to have its own understanding of the risk factors presented. Critical technologies should be identified along with their impact on the overall program as well as fallback positions that could still improve on existing approaches.
 - (5) **References:** Any good technology discussion must present the basis for and reference the findings cited in the literature.
- f. **Special Technical Factors:** In this section, the Offeror should describe any capabilities it has that are uniquely supportive of the technology to be pursued. The following subparagraphs are offered as possible areas to be addressed:
- (1) Capabilities and Relevant Experience
 - (2) Previous or Current Relevant IR&D Work
 - (3) Related Government Contracts

- (4) Identification of prediction or modeling techniques, test programs and data analysis programs that are key elements in the technical approach (with a discussion on the adequacy and effectiveness of each), and
 - (5) Information on facilities/resources that will be used to accomplish the proposed effort and an explanation of why they are adequate to conduct a successful program.
- g. **Schedule:** The schedule represents the Offeror's commitment to perform the program tasks in an orderly, timely manner.
- (1) **Time Line Chart by Task:** Each major task identified in the SOW must appear as a separate line on the program schedule. Planned meetings, such as kick-off, presentations (including final), Technical Interchange Meetings, etc., must be included in the Time Line. The Time Line must also indicate the anticipated meeting site.
- h. **Program Organization:** In this paragraph, the Offeror should present its organization's ability to conduct difficult technical programs. Any pertinent or useful information may be included in this paragraph, but a minimum recommended response should address the following subparagraphs:
- (1) **Organizational Chart(s) with Key Personnel:** Include prime contractor and subcontractor organization charts.
 - (2) **Management and Technical Team:** This should specifically identify what tasks will be performed by which party and why each subcontractor, if any, was selected to perform its task(s).
- (a) Prime Contractor Responsibilities
 - (b) Subcontractor(s) Responsibilities
 - (c) Consultant(s) Responsibilities
- (3) **Resumes of Key Personnel:** Key personnel are those skilled, experienced, professional and technical personnel essential for successful accomplishment of the proposal objectives, such as the principal investigator, team leader, etc. Information regarding the qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposed key personnel should be addressed. Include the resumes of the prime contractor, subcontractor, and consultant personnel to include the names, brief biography, and list of recent publications of the offeror's key personnel. Documentation of previous work or experience in the field of the proposer is especially important.
- i. **Appendix(es):** Appendices may include technical reports, published papers, and referenced material. A listing of these reports/papers with short descriptions of the subject matter is usually adequate. Do not provide commercial product advertising brochures; these are unwanted.

PART II - Offeror Statement of Work (SOW)

- a. It is the intent of the Government to use the Offeror's SOW, as written, provided that the Offeror's SOW accurately describes the work to be performed, is enforceable, and is void of inconsistencies. If, in the Government's opinion, the Offeror's SOW does not reflect these requirements, the Government will prepare a SOW using information available in the offeror's proposal; this process may delay the award. **The SOW shall be a separate and distinct part of the proposal, and must also be provided on a CDROM in the format called out previously.** The proposed SOW must contain a summary description of the technical methodology as well as the task description, but not in so much detail as to make the contract inflexible. **Do not include any proprietary information in the SOW.**
- b. The following is offered as a recommended format for the SOW. Begin this section on a new page. Start your SOW at Paragraph 1.0. Remember a SOW only has three sections. (See MIL-HDBK-245D for additional guidance)
 - (1) **1.0 Scope** -: This section is intended to give a brief overview of the specialty area and should describe why it is being pursued, and what you are trying to accomplish.
 - (2) **1.1 - Objective**: This section provides an overall concise picture of the work to be accomplished. This should include the technology area to be investigated, goals to be achieved and major milestones for the effort. The key elements of this section, however, are task development and deliverables. This section should describe in a clean-cut statement, the anticipated end result or end product of the effort. It must also be consistent with the detailed requirements stated in the 3.0 section.
 - (3) **1.2 - Background**: This section includes any information, explanations, or constraints that are necessary in order to understand the requirements. It may include relationship to previous, current and future operations. It may also include techniques previously tried and found ineffective.
 - (4) **2.0 - Applicable Documents**: The Offeror shall identify appropriate documents that are applicable to the effort to be performed. This section shall include a listing of all documents used as a reference in the technical requirements (Section 3.0) and specify the exact title, revision and date.
 - (5) **3.0 - Task/Technical Requirements**:
 - (a) The detailed description of tasks, which represent the work to be performed under the contract, are to be considered binding. Thus, it should be developed in an orderly progression and in enough detail to establish the feasibility of accomplishing the overall program goals. The work effort should be segregated into major tasks and identified in separately

numbered paragraphs according to a numeric decimal system. Each numbered major task should delineate by subtask the work to be performed. The SOW **MUST** contain every task to be accomplished. The tasks must be definite, realistic, and clearly stated in performance terms. Use "shall" whenever the work statement expresses a provision that is binding. Use "should" or "may" whenever it is necessary to express a declaration of purpose. Use "will" in cases where no contractor requirement is involved; i.e., power will be supplied by the Government.

- (b) If presentations/meetings are identified in your schedule, include the following paragraph in your SOW:

"Conduct presentations/meetings at times and places specified in the Contract Schedule."

- (c) The Offeror shall reference/acknowledge in the SOW all specified data items that were attached to the letter requesting a formal proposal.

To ensure all technical proposals receive proper consideration, the Government-recommended proposal format shown above should be followed as closely as possible.

Administrative Section Contents

This portion of the proposal shall contain the completed certifications and applicable forms contained in this BAA and shall include the following:

Contract Type

Identify the type of completion contract proposed. (**Note:** Offers proposed on a cost-reimbursement basis **MUST** contain evidence that the offeror's accounting system is approved for such type contracting; i.e., provide identification of audit agency and dates last accounting and estimating system audits were performed. If approval was not obtained before submission of the proposal, the proposal shall address how the offeror will obtain the required approvals. Evidence of an approved accounting system **MUST** be obtained prior to contract award.)

Environmental Considerations

Discuss all applicable environmental and energy conservation objectives associated with the acquisition (see FAR Part 23), the applicability of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement (see 40 CFR 1502), the proposed resolution of environmental issues, and any environmentally-related requirements to be included in the resultant contract.

Organizational Conflicts of Interest

Identify any members of the offeror's organization or team with potential conflicts of interest. Possible conflicts of interest include any people with prior federal employment, including employment of the Principal Investigator as a special Government employee (duties, agency with whom employed, dates of employment) within two years from the date of proposal submission. If none, so state.

Facility Clearance

Offerors requiring access to classified information shall have the facility and personnel security clearances, granted by the Defense Industrial Security Office, in place before access can be granted.

Offerors without the requisite security clearances shall request sponsorship through the Contracting Officer.

Disclosure Requirement

Completion of Attachment (2) is prerequisite to evaluation of the proposal under this BAA.

Understanding of Evaluation Policy

Completion of Attachment (2) is prerequisite to evaluation of the proposal under this BAA.

Representations, Certifications and Other Statements of Offerors

Attachment (3) is provided for **information only**. Each offeror is required to complete the Online Representations and Certifications prior to submission of proposal and verification/validation is a prerequisite to award under this BAA. (**Note:** Online Representations and Certifications Applications (ORCA), an e-Government initiative has replaced the paper based Representations and Certifications (Reps and Certs) process. The ORCA site can be found by going to <http://www.bpn.gov> and clicking on "Online Reps and Certs Application" on the left side of the screen.)

Subcontracting Plan

If the total amount of the proposal exceeds \$550,000 and the offeror is a large business, the offeror shall prepare and submit a Small, Small Disadvantaged and Women-Owned Small Business Subcontracting Plan. A mutually agreeable Subcontracting Plan will be included in and made a part of the resultant contract. The contract cannot be executed unless the Contracting Officer determines that the Subcontracting Plan provides the maximum practicable opportunity for small, small disadvantaged and women-owned small business concerns to participate in the performance of the contract. Should the offeror's Subcontracting Plan be determined acceptable and should the offeror fail to comply with the terms of the Subcontracting Plan, noncompliance will be considered to be a material breach of the contract.

Title to Equipment

Title to equipment or other tangible property purchased with contract funds will be disposed of in accordance with Contracting Officer instructions at the time of contract completion.

Cost Section Contents

In accordance with FAR 15.403-3(15.408 Table 15.2 when submission of Cost or Pricing Data is required), a detailed cost proposal shall be submitted with the research proposal and shall include, as a minimum, the following information (contractor's format is acceptable):

Period of Performance

Identify the proposed duration of the effort.

Direct Labor

Provide a list of participants, by category (and name, if appropriate), showing the hours and labor rates to be charged for each and the total amount per year proposed to be paid for each. For proposals from universities, the time and amounts to be charged should be identified by academic year and summer effort. Disclose and explain the basis of any escalation factors utilized.

Materials

Provide an itemized list of permanent equipment showing the cost of each item and the basis for the proposed cost. Provide a general description and total estimated cost of expendable equipment and supplies. Permanent equipment is any article of non-expendable tangible personal property having a useful life of more than two (2) years and an acquisition cost of \$500 or more per unit. Permanent equipment costs shall not be fee/profit bearing.

Other Direct Costs

Travel

Include contemplated expenditures for travel with explanations for each trip and its proposed length and number of participants. The breakdown of these costs shall show the airfare, per diem rates, car rental rate, and any other travel expenses (such as parking fees, etc.) and shall be in accordance with the Joint Travel Regulations (JTR).

Subcontracts

Subcontractor cost proposals shall meet all of the requirements stated herein for the prime contractor. Subcontractor cost breakdowns may be submitted under separate cover.

Consultants

Provide a breakdown of any costs for consulting services showing number of days, daily rates, and estimated travel/per diem costs to the level of detail described in the travel narrative above. The need for consulting services must be explained and the basis for the daily rates must be provided.

Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous costs may include such items as publication charges, copying, subscriptions, photography, graphics, etc., only if they are consistent with and allowable under the offeror's cost accounting system.

Indirect Costs

Indirect rates (overhead, G&A, etc.) utilized must be disclosed. Indicate whether any indirect rates used are fixed or provisional and the time frames to which they are applicable (e.g., a fixed rate may apply until a specified date, after which the rate becomes provisional). Proposals for contracts subject to FAR Subpart 31.2 shall complete Attachment (4). Facilities capital cost of money (FCCM) will not be an allowable cost in any resulting contract if the offeror's proposal fails to identify or propose FCCM (see FAR 15.408(i)).

Fee/Profit

The proposed fee or profit, if any, which the organization proposes to assess the research project and how the fee/profit was derived. Reminder: Permanent equipment costs and the cost of facilities when purchased for the account of the Government (i.e., charged as a direct cost) shall not be fee/profit bearing.

PART V - PROPOSAL EVALUATION

INITIAL REVIEW

Upon receipt of a proposal, the Government will perform an initial review of the proposal's scientific/technical merit and potential contribution to the RDECOM-STTC mission. The Government will also determine if funds are expected to be available based on the proposed cost for the effort. Proposals not considered having sufficient scientific/technical merit or relevance to the RDECOM-STTC mission, or those in areas for which funds are not expected to be available, may be declined without being subject to the detailed peer review described below. At this stage, scientific/technical merit, relevance to the RDECOM-STTC mission, and availability of funding are of equal importance.

PEER REVIEW

Formal proposals not declined as a result of the initial review will be subject to a detailed extensive peer review by highly qualified personnel from both inside and outside the Government.

Proposals submitted in response to this BAA will be evaluated in accordance with the following criteria, which are listed in **descending** order of importance:

Proposed Research

The overall scientific and/or technical merits of the proposed research, including the adequacy and effectiveness of any analysis and/or testing required to substantiate the technology being developed.

Potential Contribution

The potential contributions of the effort to the RDECOM-STTC mission and the extent to which the research effort will contribute to balancing the overall RDECOM-STTC Research Initiative relating to simulation, training and technology.

Offeror's Qualifications

The offeror's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques, or unique combinations of these that are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives.

Personnel

The qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposed key personnel, such as the principal investigator, team leader, etc. Key personnel are those skilled, experienced, professional and technical personnel essential for successful accomplishment of the proposal objectives.

Cost Realism

The reasonableness and realism of proposed costs and fees (if any).

Administrative Proposal

The Contracting Officer will review the administrative section of the proposal for compliance.

PROPOSAL COMPARISONS

Each proposal will be evaluated based on the merit and relevance of the specific research proposed as it relates to the overall RDECOM-STTC Research Initiative, rather than against other proposals for research in the same general area.

PART VII - PROPOSAL FORMS

<u>List of Attachments</u>	<u>Number of Pages</u>
(1) Research Proposal Cover Page	1
(2) Disclosure Requirement and Evaluation Policy Understanding: Policy Statement, Statement of Disclosure Concurrence, and Statement of Evaluation Policy Understanding	2
(3) Representations, Certifications and Other Statements of Offerors	21
(4) DD Form 1861, Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money	1
(5) APPENDIX A	1

RESEARCH PROPOSAL COVER PAGE					
1. To: RDECOM Acquisition Center Attn: Vanessa T. Dobson, Contracting Officer 12423 Research Parkway Orlando, FL 32826-3275		2. RDECOM-STTC Topic Area <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Research Topic 5			
3. From <i>(name and address of offeror)</i> :		4. Government Point of Contact During Technical Dialog 5. Type and Size of Business: <input type="checkbox"/> Large <input type="checkbox"/> Small Business <input type="checkbox"/> Individual <input type="checkbox"/> SDB <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Women-Owned SB <input type="checkbox"/> Corporation, incorporated in state of:			
6. CAGE:		7. DUNS:		8. TIN:	
9. Proposal Title:		10. Requested Start Date:		11. Total Proposed Contract Value:	
		12. Requested Duration:		13. Proposal Valid Until <i>(minimum six months)</i> :	
		14. Type of Contract Proposed: <input type="checkbox"/> Firm Fixed Price (<\$100K) <input type="checkbox"/> Cost Plus Fixed Fee <input type="checkbox"/> Cost, No Fee <input type="checkbox"/> Cost Sharing		15. Address to Which Payment Shall Be Mailed <i>(if different from Block 4)</i> :	
16. Offeror's technical representative authorized to conduct negotiations (Principal Investigator): Name Telephone No. _____ Primary _____ Alternate			17. Offeror's administrative representative authorized to conduct negotiations: Name Telephone No. _____ Primary _____ Alternate		
18. Proposal Contents <i>(if not applicable, enter "N/A" under Page)</i> :					
Page	Technical Section	Page	Administrative Section	Page	Cost Section
	Proposed Research		Contract Type		Detailed Cost Estimate Breakdown
	Potential Contribution		Organizational Conflicts of Interest		
	Offeror's Qualifications		Security Issues		DD Form 1861, Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money (see Attachment (4))
	Personnel		Disclosure Requirement and Evaluation Policy Understanding: Policy Statement, Statement of Disclosure Concurrence, and Statement of Evaluation Policy Understanding (see Attachment (2))		
	Past Performance		Representations, Certifications and Other Statements of Offerors or Quoters (see Attachment (3))		
	Draft Description of Work				
19. Authorized Representative:					
Typed Name: _____			Signature: _____		
Title: _____			Date signed: _____		

**DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT
AND
EVALUATION POLICY UNDERSTANDING**

POLICY STATEMENT

RDECOM-STTC has a continuing interest in receiving and evaluating proposals containing new ideas, suggestions for researching ways to enhance the state-of-the-art in simulation, training, learning and instrumentation technology capabilities for our Warfighters. However, Government personnel and contractors are constantly engaged in R&D activities, and the substance of your proposal may already be known to Government employees or contractors, or may even be in the public domain. For such reasons it is desirable, when receiving proposals for evaluation, to insure that the persons submitting them are aware of the conditions under which the RDECOM-STTC will consider them.

It must be understood that the receipt and evaluation of the proposal by RDECOM-STTC does not imply a promise to pay, recognition of novelty or originality, or any relationship, which might require the Government to pay for use of information to which it is otherwise lawfully entitled.

Due care will be exercised to ensure that, in addition to technical design or concept data submitted, administrative and cost data will not be used by the Government for any purpose other than evaluation of the proposal. Administrative and cost data will not be disclosed to non-Government participants. Additionally, such data will not be disclosed outside the Government or be duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part by the Government, except for tracking and record purposes or to evaluate the proposal. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in such data if it is obtained from another source, or is in the public domain.

All research proposals will be treated as privileged information before award and contents will only be disclosed for purposes of evaluation. Your voluntary submission will be handled in accordance with established Government procedures for safeguarding such articles or information against unauthorized disclosure. All Government reviewers will be made aware that proposals sent to them are not to be duplicated, used, or disclosed in whole or in part for any purpose other than to evaluate the proposal, without the written permission of the offeror.

You should be aware that, despite all precautions, we may be able to protect the confidentiality of proposal only to the extent that it is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (see FAR Subpart 24.2).

Upon receipt, your proposal will be submitted to the appropriate technical experts for evaluation. Your proposal will undergo initial review within sixty (60) days after receipt. If additional time for this review is required, you will be notified in writing. Processing of proposals not declined as a result of the initial review may require as much as 120 days.

Having read and understood the above policy, please execute and submit the following statements:

<p><u>STATEMENT OF DISCLOSURE PREFERENCE</u></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed -- in whole or in part -- for any purpose other than to evaluate this proposal. If, however, if a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of -- or in connection with -- the submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in this data if it is obtained from another source without restriction.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets]: _____ _____.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> All data contained in this proposal are subject to this restriction.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Permission is hereby granted to RDECOM-STTC to evaluate this proposal, which may include evaluation by evaluators both within and outside the Government, with the understanding that written agreement not to disclose this information shall be obtained from any non-Government evaluator.</p>	<p><u>STATEMENT OF UNDERSTANDING OF EVALUATION POLICY</u></p> <p>It is understood that RDECOM-STTC has accepted the above proposal for the purpose of evaluating it and advising of any possible interest.</p> <p>It is further understood that such acceptance does not imply or create a promise to pay; an obligation to give up any legal right or to assume any duty; a recognition of novelty, originality or priority; or any relationship, contractual or otherwise, such as would render the Government liable to pay for or give up any legal right or assume any obligation for disclosure or use of any information in the proposal to which the Government would otherwise lawfully be entitled.</p>
Company or Corporation Name:	
Proposal Title:	
Signature:	
Name and Title/Position of Authorized Rep Signing:	
Date:	
BAA Number:	

**REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF
OFFERORS OR QUOTERS**

A. The following FAR provision must be completed ONLY if the proposed contract type is firm fixed price:

52.203-2 CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT PRICE DETERMINATION (APR 1985)

(a) The offeror certifies that

(1) The prices in this offer have been arrived at independently, without, for the purpose of restricting competition, any consultation, communication, or agreement with any other offeror or competitor relating to (i) those prices, (ii) the intention to submit an offer, or (iii) the methods or factors used to calculate the prices offered;

(2) The prices in this offer have not been and will not be knowingly disclosed by the offeror, directly or indirectly, to any other offeror or competitor before bid opening (in the case of a sealed bid solicitation) or contract award (in the case of a negotiated solicitation) unless otherwise required by law; and

(3) No attempt has been made or will be made by the offeror to induce any other concern to submit or not to submit an offer for the purpose of restricting competition.

(b) Each signature on the offer is considered to be a certification by the signatory that the signatory

(1) Is the person in the offeror's organization responsible for determining the prices being offered in this bid or proposal, and that the signatory has not participated and will not participate in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) above; or

(2)(i) Has been authorized, in writing, to act as agent for the following principals in certifying that those principals have not participated, and will not participate in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) above _____

(insert full name of person(s) in the offeror's organization responsible for determining the prices offered in this bid or proposal, and the title of his or her position in the offeror's organization);

(ii) As an authorized agent, does certify that the principals named in subdivision (b)(2)(i) above have not participated, and will not participate, in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) above; and

(iii) As an agent, has not personally participated, and will not participate, in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) above.

(c) If the offeror deletes or modifies subparagraph (a)(2) above, the offeror must furnish with its offer a signed statement setting forth in detail the circumstances of the disclosure.

{End of provision}

B. *The following DFARS provisions must be completed ONLY if the proposal is for supplies or services involving supplies:*

252.225-7000

**BUY AMERICAN ACT - BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROGRAM
CERTIFICATE (APR 2003)**

(a) *Definitions.* "Domestic end product," "foreign end product," "qualifying country," and "qualifying country end product" have the meanings given in the Buy American Act and Balance of Payments Program clause of this solicitation.

(b) *Evaluation.* The Government-

- (1) Will evaluate offers in accordance with the policies and procedures of Part 225 of the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; and
- (2) Will evaluate offers of qualifying country end products without regard to the restrictions of the Buy American Act or the Balance of Payments Program.

(c) *Certifications and identification of country of origin.*

(1) For all line items subject to the Buy American Act and Balance of Payments Program clause of this solicitation, the offeror certifies that-

- (i) Each end product, except those listed in paragraphs (c)(2) or (3) of this provision, is a domestic end product; and
- (ii) Components of unknown origin are considered to have been mined, produced, or manufactured outside the United States or a qualifying country.

(2) The offeror certifies that the following end products are qualifying country end products:

<u>Line Item Number</u>	<u>Country of Origin</u>
-------------------------	--------------------------

(3) The following end products are other foreign end products:

<u>Line Item Number</u>	<u>Country of Origin (If known)</u>
-------------------------	-------------------------------------

(End of provision)

252.247-7022

REPRESENTATION OF EXTENT OF TRANSPORTATION BY SEA (AUG 1992)

(a) The Offeror shall indicate by checking the appropriate blank in paragraph (b) of this provision whether transportation of supplies by sea is anticipated under the resultant contract. The term "supplies" is defined in the Transportation of Supplies by Sea clause of this solicitation.

(b) *Representation.* The Offeror represents that it

Does anticipate that supplies will be transported by sea in the performance of any contract or subcontract resulting from this solicitation.

Does not anticipate that supplies will be transported by sea in the performance of any contract or subcontract resulting from this solicitation.

(c) Any contract resulting from this solicitation will include the Transportation of Supplies by Sea clause. If the Offeror represents that it will not use ocean transportation, the resulting contract will also include the Defense FAR Supplement clause at 252.247-7024, Notification of Transportation of Supplies by Sea.

C. The following FAR and DFARS provisions must be completed by ALL offerors.

52.203-11 CERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE REGARDING PAYMENTS TO INFLUENCE CERTAIN FEDERAL TRANSACTIONS (APR 1991)

(a) The definitions and prohibitions contained in the clause, at FAR 52.203-12, Limitation on Payments to Influence Certain Federal Transactions, included in this solicitation, are hereby incorporated by reference in paragraph (b) of this certification.

(b) The offeror, by signing its offer, hereby certifies to the best of his or her knowledge and belief that on or after December 23, 1989

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress on his or her behalf in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds (including profit or fee received under a covered Federal transaction) have been paid, or will be paid, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress on his or her behalf in connection with this solicitation, the offeror shall complete and submit, with its offer, OMB standard form LLL, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, to the Contracting Officer; and

(3) He or she will include the language of this certification in all subcontract awards at any tier and require that all recipients of subcontract awards in excess of \$100,000 shall certify and disclose accordingly.

(c) Submission of this certification and disclosure is a prerequisite for making or entering into this contract imposed by section 1352, title 31, United States Code. Any person who makes expenditure prohibited under this provision or who fails to file or amend the disclosure form to be filed or amended by this provision, shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000, and not more than \$100,000, for each such failure.
{End of provision}

52.204-3 TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION (OCT 1998)

(a) Definitions.

Common parent, as used in this provision, means that corporate entity that owns or controls an affiliated group of corporations that files its Federal income tax returns on a consolidated basis, and of which the offeror is a member.

Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN), as used in this provision, means the number required by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to be used by the offeror in reporting income tax and other returns. The TIN may be either a Social Security Number or an Employer Identification Number.

(b) All offerors must submit the information required in paragraphs (d) through (f) of this provision to comply with debt collection requirements of 31 U.S.C.7701(c) and 3325(d), reporting requirements of 26 U.S.C.6041, 6041A, and 6050M, and implementing regulations issued by the IRS. If the resulting contract is subject to the payment reporting requirements described in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 4.904, the failure or refusal by the offeror to furnish the information may result in a 31 percent reduction of payments otherwise due under the contract.

(c) The TIN may be used by the Government to collect and report on any delinquent amounts arising out of the offeror's relationship with the Government (31 U.S.C.7701(c)(3)). If the resulting contract is subject to the payment reporting requirements described in FAR 4.904, the TIN provided hereunder may be matched with IRS records to verify the accuracy of the offeror's TIN.

(d) Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN).

- TIN: _____
- TIN has been applied for.
- TIN is not required because:
- Offeror is a nonresident alien, foreign corporation, or foreign partnership that does not have income effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States and does not have an office or place of business or a fiscal paying agent in the United States;
- Offeror is an agency or instrumentality of a foreign government;
- Offeror is an agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government.

(e) Type of organization.

- Sole proprietorship;
- Partnership;
- Corporate entity (not tax-exempt);
- Corporate entity (tax-exempt);
- Government entity (Federal, State, or local);
- Foreign government;
- International organization per 26 CFR 1.6049-4;
- Other _____

(f) Common parent.

- Offeror is not owned or controlled by a common parent as defined in paragraph (a) of this provision.
- Name and TIN of common parent:

Name _____

TIN _____
{End of provision}

52.204-5WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESS (OTHER THAN SMALL BUSINESS) (MAY 1999)

(a) Definition. Women-owned business concern, as used in this provision, means a concern that is at least 51 percent owned by one or more women; or in the case of any publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of its stock is owned by one or more women; and whose management and daily business operations are controlled by one or more women.

(b) Representation. [Complete only if the offeror is a women-owned business concern and has not represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of FAR 52.219-1, Small Business Program Representations, of this solicitation.] The offeror represents that it is a women-owned business concern.
{End of Provision}

52.204-6 DATA UNIVERSAL NUMBERING SYSTEM (DUNS) NUMBER (OCT 2003)

(a) The offeror shall enter, in the block with its name and address on the cover page of its offer, the annotation “DUNS” or “DUNS+4” followed by the DUNS number or “DUNS+4” that identifies the offeror’s name and address exactly as stated in the offer. The DUNS number is a nine-digit number assigned by Dun and Bradstreet, Inc. The DUNS+4 is the DUNS number plus a 4-character suffix that may be assigned at the discretion of the offeror to establish additional CCR records for identifying alternative Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) accounts (see Subpart 32.11) for the same parent concern.

(b) If the offeror does not have a DUNS number, it should contact Dun and Bradstreet directly to obtain one.

(1) An offeror may obtain a DUNS number—

(i) If located within the United States, by calling Dun and Bradstreet at 1-866-705-5711 or via the Internet at <http://www.dnb.com> or

(ii) If located outside the United States, by contacting the local Dun and Bradstreet office.

(2) The offeror should be prepared to provide the following information:

(i) Company legal business name.

(ii) Tradestyle, doing business, or other name by which your entity is commonly recognized.

(iii) Company physical street address, city, state and Zip Code.

(iv) Company mailing address, city, state and Zip Code (if separate from physical).

(v) Company telephone number.

(vi) Date the company was started.

(vii) Number of employees at your location.

(viii) Chief executive officer/key manager.

(ix) Line of business (industry).

(x) Company Headquarters name and address (reporting relationship within your entity).

(End of Provision)

252.204-7001

**COMMERCIAL AND GOVERNMENT ENTITY (CAGE) CODE REPORTING
(AUG 1999)**

(a) The offeror is requested to enter its CAGE code on its offer in the block with its name and address. The CAGE code entered must be for that name and address. Enter “CAGE” before the number.

(b) If the offeror does not have a CAGE code, it may ask the Contracting Officer to request one from the Defense Logistics Information Service (DLIS). The Contracting Officer will –

(1) Ask the Contractor to complete section B of a DD Form 2051, Request for Assignment of a Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code;

(2) Complete section A and forward the form to DLIS; and

(3) Notify the Contractor of its assigned CAGE code.

(c) Do not delay submission of the offer pending receipt of a CAGE code.
{end of provision}

52.204-7004 Required Central Contractor Registration – ALT A (Nov 2003)

As prescribed in 204.1104, substitute the following paragraph (a) for paragraph (a) of the clause at FAR 52.204-7:

(a) *Definitions.* As used in this clause--

"Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database" means the primary Government repository for contractor information required for the conduct of business with the Government.

"Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code" means-

- (1) A code assigned by the Defense Logistics Information Service (DLIS) to identify a commercial or Government entity; or
- (2) A code assigned by a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization that DLIS records and maintains in the CAGE master file. This type of code is known as an "NCAGE code."

"Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number" means the 9-digit number assigned by Dun and Bradstreet, Inc. (D&B) to identify unique business entities.

"Data Universal Numbering System +4 (DUNS+4) number" means the DUNS number assigned by D&B plus a 4-character suffix that may be assigned by a business concern. (D&B has no affiliation with this 4-character suffix.) This 4-character suffix may be assigned at the discretion of the business concern to establish additional CCR records for identifying alternative Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) accounts (see Subpart 32.11 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation) for the same parent concern.

"Registered in the CCR database" means that-

- (1) The Contractor has entered all mandatory information, including the DUNS number or the DUNS+4 numbers, into the CCR database;
- (2) The Contractor's CAGE code is in the CCR database; and
- (3) The Government has validated all mandatory data fields and has marked the records "Active"

52.209-5 CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, PROPOSED DEBARMENT, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS (DEC 2001)

(a) (1) The Offeror certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that --

(i) The Offeror and/or any of its Principals --

(A) Are are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, or declared ineligible for the award of contracts by any Federal agency;

(B) Have have not , within a three-year period preceding this offer, been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for: commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, state, or local) contract or subcontract; violation of Federal or state antitrust statutes relating to the submission of offers; or commission of

embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, or receiving stolen property; and

(C) Are are not presently indicted for, or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity with, commission of any of the offenses enumerated in subdivision (a)(1)(i)(B) of this provision.

(ii) The Offeror has has not , within a three-year period preceding this offer, had one or more contracts terminated for default by any Federal agency.

(2) "*Principals*," for the purposes of this certification, means officers; directors; owners; partners; and, persons having primary management or supervisory responsibilities within a business entity (e.g., general manager; plant manager; head of a subsidiary, division, or business segment, and similar positions).

This Certification Concerns a Matter Within the Jurisdiction of an Agency of the United States and the Making of a False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Certification May Render the Maker Subject to Prosecution Under Section 1001, Title 18, United States Code.

(b) The Offeror shall provide immediate written notice to the Contracting Officer if, at any time prior to contract award, the Offeror learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

(c) A certification that any of the items in paragraph (a) of this provision exists will not necessarily result in withholding of an award under this solicitation. However, the certification will be considered in connection with a determination of the Offeror's responsibility. Failure of the Offeror to furnish a certification or provide such additional information as requested by the Contracting Officer may render the Offeror nonresponsible.

(d) Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render, in good faith, the certification required by paragraph (a) of this provision. The knowledge and information of an Offeror is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

(e) The certification in paragraph (a) of this provision is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when making award. If it is later determined that the Offeror knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Government, the Contracting Officer may terminate the contract resulting from this solicitation for default.

(End of Provision)

252.209-7001

**DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL BY THE GOVERNMENT OF A
TERRORIST COUNTRY (MAR 1998)**

(a) Definitions. As used in this provision --

(1) "Government of a terrorist country" includes the state and the government of a terrorist country, as well as any political subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof.

(2) "Terrorist country" means a country determined by the Secretary of State, under section 6(j)(1)(A) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2405(j)(i)(A)), to be a country the government of which has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism. As of the date of this provision, terrorist countries include: Cuba, Iran, Iraq, Libya, North Korea, Sudan, and Syria.

(3) "Significant interest" means --

(i) Ownership of or beneficial interest in 5 percent or more of the firm's or subsidiary's securities. Beneficial interest includes holding 5 percent or more of any class of the firm's securities in "nominee shares," "street names," or some other method of holding securities that does not disclose the beneficial owner;

(ii) Holding a management position in the firm, such as a director or officer;

(iii) Ability to control or influence the election, appointment, or tenure of directors or officers in the firm;

(iv) Ownership of 10 percent or more of the assets of a firm such as equipment, buildings, real estate, or other tangible assets of the firm; or

(v) Holding 50 percent or more of the indebtedness of a firm.

(b) Prohibition on award. In accordance with 10 U.S.C.2327, no contract may be awarded to a firm or a subsidiary of a firm if the government of a terrorist country has a significant interest in the firm or subsidiary or, in the case of a subsidiary, the firm that owns the subsidiary, unless a waiver is granted by the Secretary of Defense.

(c) Disclosure. If the government of a terrorist country has a significant interest in the Offeror or a subsidiary of the Offeror, the Offeror shall disclose such interest in an attachment to its offer. If the Offeror is a subsidiary, it shall also disclose any significant interest the government of a terrorist country has in any firm that owns or controls the subsidiary. The disclosure shall include --

(1) Identification of each government holding a significant interest; and

(2) A description of the significant interest held by each government.

{end of provision}

52.215-6 PLACE OF PERFORMANCE (OCT 1997)

(a) The offeror or respondent, in the performance of any contract resulting from this solicitation, intends, does not intend [check applicable block] to use one or more plants or facilities located at a different address from the address of the offeror or respondent as indicated in this proposal or response to request for information.

(b) If the offeror or respondent checks "intends" in paragraph (a) of this provision, it shall insert in the following spaces the required information:

Place of performance (Street address, City, County, State, Zip code)

Name and address of owner and operator of the plant or facility if other than offeror or quoter

{end of provision}

52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS (APR 2002) (ALTERNATE I – APR 2002)

(a)(1) The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for this acquisition is **NEED CODE** _____ [insert NAICS code].

(2) The small business size standard is _____ [insert size standard].

(3) The small business size standard for a concern which submits an offer in its own name, other than on a construction or service contract, but which proposes to furnish a product which it did not itself manufacture, is 500 employees.

(b) *Representations.*

- (1) The offeror represents as part of its offer that it * is, * is not a small business concern.
- (2) [Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.] The offeror represents, for general statistical purposes, that it * is, * is not, a small disadvantaged business concern as defined in 13 CFR 124.1002.
- (3) [Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.] The offeror represents as part of its offer that it * is, * is not a women-owned small business concern.
- (4) [Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.] The offeror represents as part of its offer that it * is, * is not a veteran-owned small business concern.
- (5) [Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a veteran-owned small business concern in paragraph (b)(4) of this provision.] The offeror represents as part of its offer that it * is, * is not a service-disabled veteran-owned small business concern.
- (6) [Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.] The offeror represents, as part of its offer, that –
 - (i) It * is, * is not a HUBZone small business concern listed, on the date of this representation, on the List of Qualified HUBZone Small Business Concerns maintained by the Small Business Administration, and no material change in ownership and control, principal office, or HUBZone employee percentage has occurred since it was certified by the Small Business Administration in accordance with 13 CFR part 126; and
 - (ii) It * is, * is not a joint venture that complies with the requirements of 13 CFR part 126, and the representation in paragraph (b)(6)(i) of this provision is accurate of the HUBZone small business concern or concerns that are participating in the joint venture. [The offeror shall enter the name or names of the HUBZone small business concern or concerns that are participating in the joint venture: _____.] Each HUBZone small business concern participating in the joint venture shall submit a separate signed copy of the HUBZone representation.

(c) *Definitions.* As used in this provision--

“Service-disabled veteran-owned small business concern”—

(1) Means a small business concern—

- (i) Not less than 51 percent of which is owned by one or more service-disabled veterans or, in the case of any publicly owned business, not less than 51 percent of the stock of which is owned by one or more service-disabled veterans; and
- (ii) The management and daily business operations of which are controlled by one or more service-disabled veterans or, in the case of a veteran with permanent and severe disability, the spouse or permanent caregiver of such veteran.

(2) Service-disabled veteran means a veteran, as defined in 38 U.S.C. 101(2), with a disability that is service-connected, as defined in 38 U.S.C. 101(16).

“Small business concern,” means a concern, including its affiliates that is independently owned and operated, not dominant in the field of operation in which it is bidding on Government contracts, and qualified as a small business under the criteria in 13 CFR Part 121 and the size standard in paragraph (a) of this provision.

“Veteran-owned small business concern” means a small business concern—

- (1) Not less than 51 percent of which is owned by one or more veterans (as defined at 38 U.S.C. 101(2)) or, in the case of any publicly owned business, not less than 51 percent of the stock of which is owned by one or more veterans; and
- (2) The management and daily business operations of which are controlled by one or more veterans.

“Women-owned small business concern,” means a small business concern --

- (1) That is at least 51 percent owned by one or more women; or, in the case of any publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of the stock of which is owned by one or more women; and
- (2) Whose management and daily business operations are controlled by one or more women?

(d) *Notice.*

(1) If this solicitation is for supplies and has been set aside, in whole or in part, for small business concerns, then the clause in this solicitation providing notice of the set-aside contains restrictions on the source of the end items to be furnished.

(2) Under 15 U.S.C. 645(d), any person who misrepresents a firm’s status as a small, HUBZone small, small disadvantaged, or women-owned small business concern in order to obtain a contract to be awarded under the preference programs established pursuant to section 8(a), 8(d), 9, or 15 of the Small Business Act or any other provision of Federal law that specifically references section 8(d) for a definition of program eligibility, shall --

- (i) Be punished by imposition of fine, imprisonment, or both;
- (ii) Be subject to administrative remedies, including suspension and debarment; and
- (iii) Be ineligible for participation in programs conducted under the authority of the Act.

(End of Provision)

Alternate I (Apr 2002). As prescribed in [19.307](#)(a)(2), add the following paragraph (b)(7) to the basic provision:

(7) *[Complete if offeror represented itself as disadvantaged in paragraph (b)(2) of this provision.]*
The offeror shall check the category in which its ownership falls:

___ Black American.

___ Hispanic American.

___ Native American (American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians).

___ Asian-Pacific American (persons with origins from Burma, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore, Brunei, Japan, China, Taiwan, Laos, Cambodia (Kampuchea), Vietnam, Korea, The Philippines, U.S. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (Republic of Palau), Republic of the Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Samoa, Macao, Hong Kong, Fiji, Tonga, Kiribati, Tuvalu, or Nauru).

___ Subcontinent Asian (Asian-Indian) American (persons with origins from India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Bhutan, the Maldives Islands, or Nepal).

___ Individual/concern, other than one of the preceding.

{End of provision}

52.222-22 PREVIOUS CONTRACTS AND COMPLIANCE REPORTS (FEB 1999)

The offeror represents that –

- (a) It has, has not participated in a previous contract or subcontract subject to the Equal Opportunity clause of this solicitation;
- (b) It has, has not filed all required compliance reports; and
- (c) Representations indicating submission of required compliance reports, signed by proposed subcontractors, will be obtained before subcontract awards.16.
{end of provision}

52.222-25 AFFIRMATIVE ACTION COMPLIANCE (APR 1984)

The offeror represents that (a) it has developed and has on file, has not developed and does not have on file, at each establishment, affirmative action programs required by the rules and regulations of the Secretary of Labor (41 CFR 60-1 and 60-2), or (b) it has not previously had contracts subject to the written affirmative action programs requirement of the rules and regulations of the Secretary of Labor.
{end of provision}

52.223-13 CERTIFICATION OF TOXIC CHEMICAL RELEASE REPORTING (AUG 2003)

(a) Executive Order 13148, of April 21, 2000, Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management, requires submission of this certification as a prerequisite for contract award.

(b) By signing this offer, the offeror certifies that --

- (1) As the owner or operator of facilities that will be used in the performance of this contract that are subject to the filing and reporting requirements described in section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (EPCRA) (42 U.S.C. 11023) and section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act of 1990 (PPA) (42 U.S.C. 13106), the offeror will file and continue to file for such facilities for the life of the contract the Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Form (Form R) as described in sections 313(a) and (g) of EPCRA and section 6607 of PPA; or
- (2) None of its owned or operated facilities to be used in the performance of this contract is subject to the Form R filing and reporting requirements because each such facility is exempt for at least one of the following reasons: *[Check each block that is applicable.]*

- * (i) The facility does not manufacture, process, or otherwise use any toxic chemicals listed in 40 CFR 372.65;
- * (ii) The facility does not have 10 or more full-time employees as specified in section 313(b)(1)(A) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. 11023(b)(1)(A);
- * (iii) The facility does not meet the reporting thresholds of toxic chemicals established under section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. 11023(f) (including the alternate thresholds at 40 CFR 372.27, provided an appropriate certification form has been filed with EPA);
- * (iv) The facility does not fall within the following Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes or their corresponding North American Industry Classification System sectors:

(A) Major group code 10 (except 1011, 1081, and 1094).

(B) Major group code 12 (except 1241).

(C) Major group codes 20 through 39.

(D) Industry code 4911, 4931, 4939 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating power for distribution in commerce).

(E) Industry code 4953 (limited to facilities regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Subtitle C (42 U.S.C. 6921, *et seq.*)), or 5169, 5171, 7389 (limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvent recovery services on a contract or fee basis); or

* (v) The facility is not located within any State of the United States or its outlying areas.

(End of Provision)

52.226-2 HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY AND MINORITY INSTITUTION REPRESENTATION (MAY 2001)

(a) Definitions. As used in this provision –

Historically black college or university means an institution determined by the Secretary of Education to meet the requirements of 34 CFR 608.2. For the Department of Defense, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the Coast Guard, the term also includes any nonprofit research institution that was an integral part of such a college or university before November 14, 1986.

Minority institution means an institution of higher education meeting the requirements of Section 1046(3) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1067k, including a Hispanic-serving institution of higher education, as defined in Section 316(b)(1) of the Act (20 U.S.C. 11101a)).

(b) Representation. The offeror represents that it --

is is not a historically black college or university;

is is not a minority institution.

{end of provision}

52.227-6 ROYALTY INFORMATION (APR 1984)

(a) *Cost or charges for royalties.* When the response to this solicitation contains costs or charges for royalties totaling more than \$250, the following information shall be included in the response relating to each separate item of royalty or license fee:

- (1) Name and address of licensor.
- (2) Date of license agreement.
- (3) Patent numbers, patent application serial numbers, or other basis on which the royalty is payable.
- (4) Brief description, including any part or model numbers of each contract item or component on which the royalty is payable.
- (5) Percentage or dollar rate of royalty per unit.
- (6) Unit price of contract item.
- (7) Number of units.
- (8) Total dollar amount of royalties.

(b) *Copies of current licenses.* In addition, if specifically requested by the Contracting Officer before execution of the contract, the offeror shall furnish a copy of the current license agreement and an identification of applicable claims of specific patents.

{end of provision}

252.227-7017 IDENTIFICATION AND ASSERTION OF USE, RELEASE, OR DISCLOSURE RESTRICTIONS (JUN 1995)

(a) The terms used in this provision are defined in following clause or clauses contained in this solicitation --

(1) If a successful offeror will be required to deliver technical data, the Rights in Technical Data -- Noncommercial Items clause, or, if this solicitation contemplates a contract under the Small Business Innovative Research Program, the Rights in Noncommercial Technical Data and Computer Software -- Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) Program clause.

(2) If a successful offeror will not be required to deliver technical data, the Rights in Noncommercial Computer Software and Noncommercial Computer Software Documentation clause, or, if this solicitation contemplates a contract under the Small Business Innovative Research Program, the Rights in Noncommercial Technical Data and Computer Software -- Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) Program clause.

(b) The identification and assertion requirements in this provision apply only to technical data, including computer software documentation, or computer software to be delivered with other than unlimited rights. For contracts to be awarded under the Small Business Innovative Research Program, the notification and identification requirements do not apply to technical data or computer software that will be generated under the resulting contract. Notification and identification is not required for restrictions based solely on copyright.

(c) Offers submitted in response to this solicitation shall identify, to the extent known at the time an offer is submitted to the Government, the technical data or computer software that the Offeror, its subcontractors or suppliers, or potential subcontractors or suppliers, assert should be furnished to the Government with restrictions on use, release, or disclosure.

(d) The Offeror's assertions, including the assertions of its subcontractors or suppliers or potential subcontractors or suppliers, shall be submitted as an attachment to its offer in the following format, dated and signed by an official authorized to contractually obligate the Offeror:

- Identification and Assertion of Restrictions on the Government's Use, Release, or Disclosure of Technical Data Computer Software.
- The Offeror asserts for itself, or the persons identified below, that the Government's rights to use, release, or disclose the following technical data or computer software should be restricted:

Technical Data Computer Software to be Furnished With Restrictions*	Basis for Assertion**	Asserted Rights Category***	Name of Person Asserting Restrictions****
[LIST]*****	[LIST]	[LIST]	[LIST]

* For technical data (other than computer software documentation) pertaining to items, components, or processes developed at private expense, identify both the deliverable technical data and each such item, component, or process. For computer software or computer software documentation identify the software or documentation.

** Generally, development at private expense, either exclusively or partially, is the only basis for asserting restrictions. For technical data, other than computer software documentation, development refers to development of the item, component, or process to which the data pertain. The Government's rights in computer software documentation generally may not be restricted. For computer software, development refers to the software. Indicate whether development was accomplished exclusively or partially at private expense. If development was not accomplished at private expense, or for computer software documentation, enter the specific basis for asserting restrictions.

*** Enter asserted rights category (e.g., government purpose license rights from a prior contract, rights in SBIR data generated under another contract, limited, restricted, or government purpose rights under this or a prior contract, or specially negotiated licenses).

**** Corporation, individual, or other person, as appropriate.

***** Enter "none" when all data or software will be submitted without restrictions.

Date _____
Printed Name and Title _____
Signature _____
(End of identification and assertion)

(e) An offeror's failure to submit, complete, or sign the notification and identification required by paragraph (d) of this provision with its offer may render the offer ineligible for award.

(f) If the Offeror is awarded a contract, the assertions identified in paragraph (d) of this provision shall be listed in an attachment to that contract. Upon request by the Contracting Officer, the Offeror shall provide sufficient information to enable the Contracting Officer to evaluate any listed assertion.
{end of provision}

252.227-7028 TECHNICAL DATA OR COMPUTER SOFTWARE PREVIOUSLY DELIVERED TO THE GOVERNMENT (JUN 1995)

The Offeror shall attach to its offer an identification of all documents or other media incorporating technical data or computer software it intends to deliver under this contract with other than unlimited rights that are identical or substantially similar to documents or other media that the Offeror has produced for, delivered to, or is obligated to deliver to the Government under any contract or subcontract. The attachment shall identify --

- (a) The contract number under which the data or software were produced;
- (b) The contract number under which, and the name and address of the organization to whom, the data or software were most recently delivered or will be delivered; and
- (c) Any limitations on the Government's rights to use or disclose the data or software, including, when applicable, identification of the earliest date the limitations expire.
{end of provision}

52.230-1 COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS NOTICES AND CERTIFICATION (JUNE 2000)

Note: This notice does not apply to small businesses or foreign governments. This notice is in three parts, identified by Roman numerals I through III.

Offerors shall examine each part and provide the requested information in order to determine Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) requirements applicable to any resultant contract.

If the offeror is an educational institution, Part II does not apply unless the contemplated contract will be subject to full or modified CAS coverage pursuant to 48 CFR 9903.201-2(c)(5) or 9903.201-2(c)(6), respectively.

I. *Disclosure Statement -- Cost Accounting Practices and Certification*

(a) Any contract in excess of \$500,000 resulting from this solicitation will be subject to the requirements of the Cost Accounting Standards Board (48 CFR Chapter 99), except for those contracts which are exempt as specified in 48 CFR 9903.201-1.

(b) Any offeror submitting a proposal which, if accepted, will result in a contract subject to the requirements of 48 CFR Chapter 99 must, as a condition of contracting, submit a Disclosure Statement as required by 48 CFR 9903.202. When required, the Disclosure Statement must be submitted as a part of the offeror's proposal under this solicitation unless the offeror has already submitted a Disclosure Statement disclosing the practices used in connection with the pricing of this proposal. If an applicable Disclosure Statement has already been submitted, the offeror may satisfy the requirement for submission by providing the information requested in paragraph (c) of Part I of this provision.

Caution: In the absence of specific regulations or agreement, a practice disclosed in a Disclosure Statement shall not, by virtue of such disclosure, be deemed to be a proper, approved, or agreed-to practice for pricing proposals or accumulating and reporting contract performance cost data.

(c) *Check the appropriate box below:*

(1) *Certificate of Concurrent Submission of Disclosure Statement.* The offeror hereby certifies that, as a part of the offer, copies of the Disclosure Statement have been submitted as follows:

(i) Original and one copy to the cognizant Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) or cognizant Federal agency official authorized to act in that capacity (Federal official), as applicable; and

(ii) One copy to the cognizant Federal auditor.

(Disclosure must be on Form No. CASB DS-1 or CASB DS-2, as applicable. Forms may be obtained from the cognizant ACO or Federal official and/or from the loose-leaf version of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.)

Date of Disclosure Statement: _____

Name and Address of Cognizant ACO or Federal Official Where Filed:

The offeror further certifies that the practices used in estimating costs in pricing this proposal are consistent with the cost accounting practices disclosed in the Disclosure Statement.

(2) *Certificate of Previously Submitted Disclosure Statement.* The offeror hereby certifies that the required Disclosure Statement was filed as follows:

Date of Disclosure Statement: _____

Name and Address of Cognizant ACO or Federal Official Where Filed:

The offeror further certifies that the practices used in estimating costs in pricing this proposal are consistent with the cost accounting practices disclosed in the applicable Disclosure Statement.

(3) *Certificate of Monetary Exemption.* The offeror hereby certifies that the offeror, together with all divisions, subsidiaries, and affiliates under common control, did not receive net awards of negotiated prime contracts and subcontracts subject to CAS totaling more than \$50 million or more in the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this proposal was submitted. The offeror further certifies that if such status changes before an award resulting from this proposal, the offeror will advise the Contracting Officer immediately.

(4) *Certificate of Interim Exemption.* The offeror hereby certifies that

(i) The offeror first exceeded the monetary exemption for disclosure, as defined in (3) of this subsection, in the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this offer was submitted and

(ii) In accordance with 48 CFR 9903.202-1, the offeror is not yet required to submit a Disclosure Statement. The offeror further certifies that if an award resulting from this proposal has not been made within 90 days after the end of that period, the offeror will immediately submit a revised certificate to the Contracting Officer, in the form specified under subparagraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of Part I of this provision, as appropriate, to verify submission of a completed Disclosure Statement.

Caution: Offerors currently required disclosing because they were awarded a CAS-covered prime contract or subcontract of \$50 million or more in the current cost accounting period may not claim this exemption (4). Further, the exemption applies only in connection with proposals submitted before expiration of the 90-day period following the cost accounting period in which the monetary exemption was exceeded.

II. *Cost Accounting Standards -- Eligibility for Modified Contract Coverage*

The offeror hereby claims an exemption from the Cost Accounting Standards clause under the provisions of 48 CFR 9903.201-2(b) and certifies that the offeror is eligible for use of the Disclosure and Consistency of Cost Accounting Practices clause because during the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this proposal was submitted, the offeror received less than \$50 million in awards of CAS-covered prime contracts and subcontracts. Checking the box below shall mean that the resultant contract is subject to the Disclosure and Consistency of Cost Accounting Practices clause in lieu of the Cost Accounting Standards clause.

The offeror hereby claims an exemption from the Cost Accounting Standards clause under the provisions of 48 CFR 9903.201-2(b) and certifies that the offeror is eligible for use of the Disclosure and Consistency of Cost Accounting Practices clause because during the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this proposal was submitted, the offeror received less than \$25 million in awards of CAS-covered prime contracts and subcontracts, or the offeror did not receive a single CAS-covered award exceeding \$1 million. The offeror further certifies that if such status changes before an award resulting from this proposal, the offeror will advise the Contracting Officer immediately.

Caution: An offeror may not claim the above eligibility for modified contract coverage if this proposal is expected to result in the award of a CAS-covered contract of \$50 million or more or if, during its current cost accounting period, the offeror has been awarded a single CAS-covered prime contract or subcontract of \$50 million or more.

III. *Additional Cost Accounting Standards Applicable to Existing Contracts*

The offeror shall indicate below whether award of the contemplated contract would, in accordance with subparagraph (a)(3) of the Cost Accounting Standards clause, require a change in established cost accounting practices affecting existing contracts and subcontracts.

yes no

{end of provision}

CONTRACT FACILITIES CAPITAL COST OF MONEY	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0267 Expires Apr 30, 2004
--	--

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0267), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THIS ADDRESS.
RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO YOUR CONTRACTING OFFICIAL.**

1. CONTRACTOR NAME	2. CONTRACTOR ADDRESS
3. BUSINESS UNIT	
4. RFP/CONTRACT PIIN NUMBER	5. PERFORMANCE PERIOD

6. DISTRIBUTION OF FACILITIES CAPITAL COST OF MONEY

POOL a.	ALLOCATION BASE b.	FACILITIES CAPITAL COST OF MONEY c.	
		FACTOR (1)	AMOUNT (2)
d. TOTAL			
e. TREASURY RATE			%
f. FACILITIES CAPITAL EMPLOYED (TOTAL DIVIDED BY TREASURY RATE)			

7. DISTRIBUTION OF FACILITIES CAPITAL EMPLOYED

	PERCENTAGE a.	AMOUNT b.
(1) LAND	%	
(2) BUILDINGS	%	
(3) EQUIPMENT	%	
(4) FACILITIES CAPITAL EMPLOYED	100%	

APPENDIX A

RDECOM STTC BAA SCHEDULE

New Starts: Obligating Early within New Fiscal Year

